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EVANGELICALS & CATHOLICS TOGETHER RESOURCES FOR REFUTATION

by Reg Barrow

SPECIFIC REFUTATION OF ROME

Kevin Reed, of Presbyterian Heritage Publications (P.O. Box 180922, Dallas, TX 75218 or 214-271-2595), has recently written a faithful response to the Evangelicals & Catholics Together (ECT hereafter) document. It is called Making Shipwreck of the Faith: Evangelicals and Roman Catholics Together (softcover, $10.95 - 40% = $6.57 Canadian funds, from Still Waters Revival Books). This is the best book, critiquing this unholy alliance, to appear yet. It is the only book that has gone to the heart of the issues, at the most basic level, and not merely dealt with the obvious external differences with Rome. It convincingly shows, that concerning “critical aspects of doctrine and practice,” many “modern evangelicals” have become very much like Rome. The two major areas dealt with are the doctrines of salvation (especially regarding justification, predestination, evangelism and the bondage of the will) and worship. Arminianism, in both these areas, has already made such inroads into “evangelicalism,” that most Protestant churches would not even be recognized by their own Protestant forefathers. For example, Reed writes, “[if you are resting your assurance of salvation upon your ‘decision,’ if you think that your ‘free will’ or ‘accepting Christ’ produced the new birth within you; then you are deceived — you are no better off than a Judaizer or a Romanist. You have made your ‘decision’ into a work, and subverted the doctrine of salvation by grace.” Furthermore, it is perceptively pointed out that “[t]oday, many Roman Catholics and evangelicals decry the sins of abortion and homosexuality as manifestations of our nation’s corruptions (which they are); but these same contemporary moralists are generally silent about the heinous sin of corrupt worship” (p. 35). You would think, that for much of “evangelicalism” today, the first table of the law was never a reflection of God’s unchanging moral imperatives, or that the God of the Old Testament has forgotten His own most important moral directions to mankind — at least since the coming of Christ. If you want the biblical reasons for rejecting man-made gospel and man-made worship (whether they be found in Rome, or among the Charismatics, Baptists, Independents, or other so-called “evangelicals”) this book tells it like it is. Again as Reed states, “[l]iving in an era of religious pluralism, we are too apt to forget that heresy is a form of moral corruption; it is classed among ‘works of the flesh’ along with adultery, fornication, uncleanness, idolatry, witchcraft, murder, and drunkenness (Gal. 5:19-21). That is how the Lord views heresy. And thus heresy is dangerous to our souls; there are heresies which are ‘damnable’ in their nature (2 Pet. 2:1). The issues which fostered the Protestant Reformation are not simply matters for academic debate. They are great and eternal matters respecting the way of salvation and the proper worship of God” (book, p. 82). Don’t miss this important and fiery rebuke against modern apostasy! For as our author states, “[t]o any evangelicals who have signed or supported the ECT accord, we have but one thing to say: Repent!”

Also most pertinent to this renewed debate is PHP’s reprint of True and False Worship: A Vindication of the Doctrine that the Sacrifice of the Mass is Idolatry by John Knox (from SWRB for $3.99 Canadian). Written in 1550, it addresses the issue at hand in an uncompromising manner. Moreover, it shows why the Scottish Reformation under Knox was the most God-honoring and thoroughgoing break with Popery that the world has ever seen. The large hardcover, Selected Writings of John Knox ($29.95 Canadian from SWRB), is now available too! It utterly destroys the false teaching, lies, and heresy of the Roman harlot; as well as containing many of the most influential of Knox’s writings — concerning the church, state, and the individuals response to widespread declension and apostacy. It clearly shows why Knox was used of God to build a Reformed nation out of Scotland in his day.
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John MacArthur's recent publication entitled Reckless Faith: When the Church Loses Its Will To Discern (Crossway Books, 1994) contains two excellent chapters exposing the danger and apostasy found in ECT. Chapter 5 is a specific refutation of ECT laying out how this document sells out the Protestant Reformation at its most basic and fundamental points. Appendix 1, "Is Roman Catholicism Changing?," is a lucid examination of modern Romanist rhetoric. It demonstrates that despite all the fine-sounding words of compromise and unity with Protestants, Rome remains steadfast in her opposition to Christ and His gospel. Both chapters are well documented and well written and form the best answer to ECT accessible today. (Available from SWRB for $19.99 Canadian.)

Christian Renewal, in their August 1994 issue, printed James White's review and response to ECT in an article entitled "Whatever Happened to the Gospel." It points out vital doctrinal errors in ECT and demonstrates how this document will spiritually harm the unawary. It is a faithful call for repentance (by name) on the part of the writers of ECT and has stirred a fair amount of controversy already, especially in eastern Canada. Christian Renewal can be contacted at P.O. Box 777, Jordan Station, ON Canada, L0R 1S0 or P.O. Box 770, Lewiston, NY 14092 — phone (905) 562-5719 or fax (905) 562-7828.

Richard Bennett, a former Dominican Catholic priest and compiler of the book Far From Rome Near To God: The Testimonies of 50 Converted Catholic Priests (Sovereign Grace Publications, 1994 — $13.50 Canadian from SWRB) is offering a critique of ECT called "We Ought to Obey God Rather Than Men" for $4 US. It includes the ECT document, a list of its signatories and a "petition" of reproof against the "evangelicals" who signed it. Insights that could only come from a ex-Romanist priest are found throughout, as Bennett marshalls scriptural arguments against Roman Catholic tradition, error, and deception. A one of a kind refutation of this proposed unholy alliance! Available from Berean Beacon, P.O. Box 55353, Portland OR 97238-5353 — phone or fax (503) 257-5995.

GENERAL REFUTATION OF ROME

(All items below are available from SWRB and are listed in Canadian funds. Our full 64 page discount Christian book catalogue will be sent with every order.)

Martin Luther, The Bondage of the Will (book, $12.88). Luther called this reply to the Roman Catholic scholar Erasmus his most important book. It focuses on the major issue of the early Reformation, the doctrine of salvation (especially the depravity and inability of man and the sovereignty of God).

John Calvin, The Necessity of Reforming the Church (rare bound photocopy, $4.98). One of the most important documents of the Reformation.

The Westminster Confession of Faith (hardcover, $14.98). The quintessential Protestant statement of belief, completed in 1647. Hetherington, In the History of the Westminster Assembly ($8.99), calls the WCF "the most perfect statement of systematic theology ever framed by the Christian church."

Carlos Eire, War Against the Idols: The Reformation of Worship from Erasmus to Calvin (book, $19.77). A splendid, edifying, and invigorating historical work that gets directly into the heart of the major issues of the Reformation. Translates portions of Reformation documents, and major Reformers, that have not previously been available in English — proving that concern for purity of worship and the true knowledge of salvation were the central concerns of Reformation theology. Also demonstrates how the worship question became primary as the Reformation gained strength. It is especially thorough (bordering on a masterpiece) concerning Calvin's views and influence.

William Cunningham, Historical Theology (2 volume bound photocopy set, $39.98). Covers the most important discussions of church history. Is especially helpful in dealing with Rome in the chapters on Justification, the Sacraments, the Council of Trent, Worship, the Fall, and the Church at the Reformation. Compares the differences in each area between Roman Catholics and Protestants.

Greg Bahnsen, Mass Confusion (cassette, $3.50) and A Protestant Roman Catholic Debate (3 cassettes, $10.50). The debate is especially interesting as Dr. Bahnsen takes on two Romanists at once.

Greg Price, Puritan Worship (video series, $11.99 per video — each video contains 2 sermons of approximately 1 hour each.) Sermons 5/44 "You Saw No Form: Overview of the Second Commandment" and 6/44 "Images and Idolatry" are most pertinent to the ECT debate and are a good introduction to Reformation worship and preaching. Both these sermons are on one video for $11.99 Canadian or on two cassettes for $4.99 Canadian.

TO

HIS GRACE THE LORD ARCHBISHOP
OF
CANTERBURY:
(DR. HERRING.)

MAY IT PLEASE YOUR GRACE,

WITH Your wonted condescension and goodness, to accept this offering from my hands, as a testimony of my veneration for Your Grace, and of my gratitude for your favours to me. A work of this kind I could not indeed address to any one so properly as to Your Grace, on account of your eminent station, and much more on account of your amiable qualities, and more still as I have the happiness to live under Your peculiar jurisdiction: and Your Grace is very kind in permitting me to inscribe it to Your Name, which kindness I will not abuse by the usual stile of dedications,
DEDICATION.

Your Grace's virtues are so well known, and so universally esteemed, as to stand in need of no commendation, and much less of mine.

I would rather beg leave to apprise Your Grace, and the reader, of the design of these dissertations: which is not to treat of the prophecies in general, nor even of those prophecies in particular which were fulfilled in the person and actions of our Saviour; but only of such as relate more immediately to these latter ages, and are in some measure receiving their accomplishment at this time. What first suggested the design, were some conversations formerly with a great General, who had for many years the chief commands in the army, and was a man of good understanding, and of some reading, but unhappily had no great regard for revealed religion or the clergy. When the prophecies were urged as a proof of revelation, he constantly derided the notion, asserted that there was no such thing, and that the prophecies which were pretended were written after the events. It was immediately replied that, though such a thing might with less scruple and more confidence be affirmed of some prophecies fulfilled long ago, yet it could never be proved of any, the contrary might be proved almost to a demonstration: but it could not be so much as affirmed of several prophecies without manifest absurdity; for there were several prophecies in scripture, which were not fulfilled till these later ages, and were fulfilling even now, and consequently could not be framed after the events, but undeniably were written and published many ages before. He was startled at this, and said he must acknowledge, that if this point could be proved to satisfaction, there would be no arguing against such plain matter of fact; it would certainly convince him, and he believed would be the readiest way to convince every reasonable man, of the truth of revelation.

* Marshal Wade.
DEDICATION.

It was this occasion, my Lord, that first gave rise to these dissertations, which were originally drawn up in the form of some sermons. But since that time, they have been new-modeled, much altered and much enlarged, and confirmed by proper authorities. And though some of them only are here published, yet each dissertation may be considered as a distinct treatise by itself. It is hoped indeed, that the whole, like an arch, will add strength and firmness to each part; but at the same time care hath been taken, that the parts should have strength in themselves, as well as a relation to the whole, and a connection with each other. The publication therefore of some parts cannot be improper; and the others shall go to the press as soon as an indifferent share of health, constant preaching twice a day, and other necessary duties and avocations will permit me to put the finishing hand to them.

Every reader must know, Your Grace and every scholar must know more especially, that such works are not to be precipitated. They require time and learned leisure, great reading—and great exactness, being disquisitions of some of the most curious points of history, as well as explications of some of the most difficult passages of scripture. And I should not presume to offer any of them to Your Grace, or to submit them to the public censure, if they had not been first perused and corrected by some of my friends, and particularly by three of the best scholars, and ablest critics of this age, Bishop Pearce, Dr. Warburton, and Dr. Jortin, who were also my friendly coadjutors in my edition of Milton; and as they excel in all good learning themselves, so they are very ready to promote and assist the well-meant endeavors of others.

When the other parts shall appear, they shall likewise beg Your Grace's patronage and protection. And in the mean time may Your Grace's health be re-established and continue
DEDICATION.

many years for the good of this church and nation! It is nothing to say that it is my earnest wish: It is the wish of all mankind: but of none more ardently than,

May it please your Grace,

Your Grace's most dutiful,

and obliged,

and obedient servant,

Oct. 5, 1754.

THOMAS NEWTON.

THE CONTENTS OF THE FIRST VOLUME.

INTRODUCTION.

p. 1—4.
Prophecies one of the strongest proofs of revelation; p. 1. A history of prophecy desired by Lord Bacon; p. 1. The consequence plain from the believing of prophecies to the believing of revelation; p. 2. The objection that the prophecies were written after the events, groundless, and betrays great ignorance, or something worse; p. 2. The truth of prophecy may be proved by instances of things fulfilling at this very time; p. 3. The evidence drawn from prophecy, a growing evidence; p. 3. Miracles the great proofs of Revelation to the first ages, Prophecies to the last; p. 3. The necessity to which infidels are reduced, either to renounce their senses, or to admit the truth of revelation; p. 4. Most of the principal prophecies of scripture will be comprehended in this work, as well as several of the most material transactions in history; p. 4.

DISSERTATION 1.

NOAH'S PROPHECY.

p. 5—22.

Very few prophecies till Noah; p. 5. Noah's drunkenness, and the behaviour of his sons thereupon; p. 6. In consequence of their different behaviour he was enabled to foretell the different
CONTENTS.

fortunes of their families; p. 7. The prophecy: p. 7. Not
to be understood of particular persons, but of whole
nations: p. 8. The curse upon Canaan, a curse upon the Caanamites for
their wickedness: p. 8. The wickedness of the Caanamites very
great; p. 9. The curse particularly implies the subjection of the
descendants of Caanam to the descendants of Shem and Japheth;
p. 10, 11. The completion of this shewn from the time of
Joshua to this day: p. 11. A different reading proposed of Ham
the father of Canaan instead of Canaan: p. 12, 13. The curse
in this larger sense also shown to be fulfilled from the earliest
times to the present: p. 13, 14. The promise to Shem of the
Lord being his God, how fulfilled; p. 15. The promise of in-
largement to Japheth, an allusion to his name; p. 15. How
fulfilled both in former and later times: p. 16. The following
clause, and he shall dwell in the tents of Shem, capable of two
senses, and in both punctually fulfilled: p. 17, 18. Conclusion;
p. 18. A mistake of Mr. Mede corrected; p. 18. Lord Bo-
ingbroke censured for his indecent reflections on this pro-
phesy; p. 19, 20. His ignorance about the Codex Alexandrius;
p. 20. His blunder about the Roman historians; p.
20. His sneer about believers refuted; p. 20. Condemned by
himself; p. 21. Had great talents, but misapplied them;
p. 22.

DISTRIBUTION II.

THE PROPHECIES CONCERNING ISHMAEL.

Abraham favored with several revelations; p. 22. Those con-
erning Ishmael or the Ishmaelites; p. 23. The promise of a
numerous posterity, how fulfilled; p. 23. The promise of twelve
princes, how fulfilled; p. 24. The promise of a great nation,
how fulfilled; p. 24. The saying that he should be a wild man,
how fulfilled; p. 25. The saying that his hand should be against
every man, and every man's hand against him, how fulfilled;
p. 26. The saying that he should dwell in the presence of all
his brethren, how fulfilled; p. 26. The Ishmaelites or Arabsians
have from first to last maintained their independency; p.
28. Against the Egyptians and Assyrians; p. 28. Against the
Persians; p. 28. Against Alexander and his successors; p. 29,
30. Against the Romans; p. 30, 31. Their state under Mohammed,
and since his time, and now under the Turks; p.
32, 33. Dr. Shaw's account of them; p. 33. Bp. Pococke's;
p. 34. And Mr. Hanway's; p. 35. Conclusion; p. 35. Won-
derful that they should retain the same manners for so many

DISTRIBUTION III.

THE PROPHECIES CONCERNING JACOB AND ESAU.

More prophecies concerning the posterity of Isaac than of Ishmael;
p. 39. The promise of the blessed seed, how fulfilled; p. 39.
The promise of the land of Canaan, how fulfilled; p. 39. The
promise of a numerous posterity, how fulfilled; p. 40, 41. The
promises concerning Esau and Jacob; p. 41. Not verified in
themselves, but in their posterity; p. 42. Comprehend several
particulars; p. 42. 1. The families of Esau and Jacob two dif-
ferent people and nations; p. 43, 44. 11. The family of the
elder subject to that of the younger; p. 44, 45. III. In situa-
tion and other temporal advantages much alike; p. 45, 47. IV.
The elder branch delighted more in war and violence, but sub-
duced by the younger; p. 47, 48. V. The elder at one time
shook off the dominion of the younger; p. 48, 49. VI. In all
spiritual gifts and graces the younger superior, and the happy
instrument of conveying the blessing to all nations; p. 49, 50.
Conclusion; p. 50. The prophecies fulfilled in the utter de-
struction of the Edomites; p. 51.

DISTRIBUTION IV.

JACOB'S PROPHECIES CONCERNING HIS SONS,
PARTICULARLY JUDAH.

An opinion of great antiquity, that the soul near death grew pro-
phetic; p. 51, 52. Jacob upon his death bed foretold his sons
what should befall them in the last days, the meaning of that
phrase; p. 53. Jacob bequeaths the temporal blessing to all his
sons, the spiritual to Judah; p. 54. The prophecies concerning
several tribes, how fulfilled; p. 54, 55. The temporal
blessing, how fulfilled to Judah; p. 55, 56. The spiritual
blessing; p. 56, 57. 1. An explanation of the words and mean-
ing of the prophecy; p. 57—62. The scepter shall not depart
CONTENTS.

from Judah, explained; p. 57. Nor a lawgiver from between his feet, explained; p. 58, 59. Shiloh in all the various senses of the word shown to be the Messiah; p. 60, 61. Le Clerc’s singular interpretation; p. 61, 62. Jews as well as Christians have by Shiloh generally understood the Messiah; p. 62. And unto him shall the gathering of the people be, capable of three different constructions; p. 62. II. The completion of the prophecy; p. 63—68. Judah hereby constituted a tribe or body politic, and so continued till the coming of the Messiah and the destruction of Jerusalem; p. 63—65. The latter clause fulfilled in the first sense, and the people gathered to Judah; p. 65, 66. Fulfilled in the second sense, and the people gathered to the Messiah; p. 66. Fulfilled in the last sense, and the people gathered to the Messiah before the sceptor’s departure; p. 66, 67. The prophecy with regard to Benjamin fulfilled; p. 67, 68. Conclusion that Jesus is the Messiah; p. 68.

DISSERTATION V.

BALAAM’S PROPHECIES.

p. 69—94.

The gift of prophecy not always confined to the chosen seed, or to good men; p. 69. Balaam both a heathen and an immoral man; p. 70. A ceremony among the heathens to curse their enemies; p. 71. The story of Balaam’s ass considered; p. 71—73. A proper sign to Balaam, and the prophecies render the miracle more credible; p. 73. The style of his prophecies beautiful; p. 74, 75. His prophecy of the singular character of the Jewish nation, how fulfilled even to this day; p. 75, 76. His prophecy of their victories much the same as Jacob’s and Isaac’s; p. 76, 77. His prophecy of a king higher than Agag, how fulfilled; p. 77, 78. His preface to his latter prophecies explained; p. 79. His prophecy of a star and sceptre to smite the princes of Moab, how fulfilled by David; p. 80. Who meant by the sons of Sheth; p. 80, 81. His prophecy against the Edomites, how fulfilled by David; p. 82. This prophecy of the star and the scepter applied by most Jewish and Christian writers to the Messiah; p. 82, 83. But principally to be understood of David; p. 84, 85. His prophecy against the Amalekites, how fulfilled; p. 85—87. His prophecy against the Kenites, and who the Kenites were; p. 87, 88. How fulfilled; p. 88. His prophecy of ships from the coast of Chittim; p. 89. The land of Chittim shown to be a general name for Greece, Italy, and the countries and lands in the Mediterranean; p. 89—

CONTENTS.


DISSERTATION VI.

MOSES’S PROPHECY OF A PROPHET LIKE UNTO HIMSELF.

p. 94—106.

Moses hath not only preserved several ancient prophecies, but hath likewise inserted several of his own; p. 95. His prophecy of another prophet like unto himself; p. 95. I. What prophet was here particularly intended; p. 95—99. The Messiah principally, if not solely; p. 96. Proved from the conclusion of the book of Deuteronomy; p. 96, 97. From God’s declaration to Miriam and Aaron; p. 97. From the text itself; p. 98. From this prophet’s being a lawgiver; p. 98, 99. From fact; p. 99. II. The great likeness between Moses and Christ; p. 99—104. Christ resembled Moses in more respects than any other person ever did; p. 99, 100. The comparison between them as drawn by Eusebius; p. 100, 101. As enlarged and improved by Dr. Jortin; p. 101—103. His conclusion from thence; p. 104. III. The punishment of the people for their infidelity and disobedience to this prophet; p. 104—106.

DISSERTATION VII.

PROPHETIES OF MOSES CONCERNING THE JEWS.

p. 106—120.

Prophecies of Moses abound in the latter part of his writings; p. 106. The 28th of Deuteronomy a lively picture of the state of the Jews at present; p. 106, 107. Prophecy of their enemies coming from far, how fulfilled; p. 107. Prophecy of the cruelty of their enemies, how fulfilled; p. 108. Of the sieges of their cities; p. 108, 109. Of their distress and famine in the sieges; p. 109, 110. Of women eating their own children; p. 100—112. Of their great calamities and slaughters; p. 112. Of their being carried into Egypt, and sold for slaves at a low price; p. 112, 113. Of their being plucked from off their own land;
Dissertation VIII.

Prophecies of Other Prophets Concerning the Jews.

p. 121—147.

Other prophecies relative to the present state of the Jews; p. 121.

I. The prophecies concerning the restoration of the tribes of Judah and Benjamin, and the dissolution of the ten tribes; p. 121—129. The restoration of the two tribes foretold to be after 70 years; p. 122. Fulfilled at three periods; p. 122. The ten tribes to cease from being a people within 60 years; p. 123. The prophecy now fulfilled; p. 123, 124. What is become of them since, and where are they at present; p. 125—127. Vain conjectures of the Jews thereupon; p. 127. Not all returned with the two tribes; p. 128. Not all swallowed up and lost among the heathen nations; p. 128. Whether they remained, or whether they returned, they ceased from being a distinct people, and were all comprehended under the name of Jews; p. 128, 129. The reason of this distinction between the two tribes and the ten tribes; p. 129. II. The preservation of the Jews, and the destruction of their enemies; p. 129—133. The preservation of the Jews one of the most illustrious acts of divine providence; p. 130, 131. Nor less the providence of God in the destruction of their enemies; p. 131, 132. Not only nations but single persons; p. 132. III. The desolation of Judea; another memorable instance of the truth of prophecy; p. 133—138. Foretold by the prophets; p. 133. The present state of Judea answerable to the prophecies; p. 134. No objection from hence to its having been a land flowing with milk and honey; p. 134. The ancients, Heathens as well as Jews, testify it to have been a good land; p. 135. Mr. Maudrell's account of its present state; p. 135—137. Dr. Shaw's; p. 137—138. IV. The prophecies of the infidelity and reprobation of the Jews, now fulfilled; p. 138—140. V. Of the calling and obedience of the Gentiles; p. 140, 141. This revolution effected by incompetent persons, and in a short time; p. 142, 143. The prophecies concerning the Jews and Gentiles have not yet received their entire completion; p. 143, 144. What hath been accomplished a sufficient pledge of what is to come; p. 144. Conclusion dissuading all persecution, and recommending humanity and charity to the Jews; p. 144—147.

Dissertation IX.

The Prophecies Concerning Nineveh.

p. 147—166.

Some prophecies relating to other nations which had connections with the Jews; p. 147. Want of ancient eastern historians to clear up the prophecies; p. 147, 148. The Assyrians terrible enemies to both Israel and Judah; p. 148, 149. Isaiah's prophecy against the Assyrians; p. 149. Nineveh, the capital of the Assyrian empire, a most ancient city; p. 150, 151. An exceeding great city likewise, and the scripture-account confirmed by heathen authors; p. 151—153. Like other great cities very corrupt, but the king and people repented, at the preaching of Jonah; p. 153. Some enquiry who this king was, and at what time Jonah prophesied; p. 153, 154. Their repentance of short continuance, for Nahum not long after foretold the destruction of the city; p. 154, 155. Some inquiry, when Nahum prophesied; p. 155, 156. Nineveh accordingly destroyed by the Medes and Babylonians; p. 156, 157. Some inquiry, by whom particularly; p. 158, 159. Nahum's prophecies of the manner of its destruction exactly fulfilled according to the accounts of Diodorus Siculus; p. 159—161. Nahum and Zephaniah foretold its total destruction contrary to all probability; p. 161, 162. These predictions fulfilled according to the accounts of the ancients; p. 162, 163. According to the accounts of the moderns; p. 164, 165. Conclusion; p. 165, 166.
CONTENTS.

DISSERTATION X.

THE PROPHECIES CONCERNING BABYLON.

p. 166—1100.

Babylon as well as Nineveh an enemy to the people of God; p. 166. A very great and very ancient city; p. 166. Considerably improved by Nebuchadnezzar; p. 167. One of the wonders of the world; p. 168. Issaiah and Jeremiah foretold its destruction; p. 169. Prophecies of Cyrus the conqueror of Babylon, fulfilled; p. 169, 170. The time of the reduction of Babylon foretold; p. 170. Several circumstances of the siege foretold; p. 171. Besieged by the Medes and Elamites or Persians; p. 172. Armenians and other nations united against it; p. 172. The Babylonians hide themselves within their walls; p. 173. The river dried up; p. 173, 174. The city taken during a feast; p. 174, 175. The facts related by Herodotus and Xenophon, and therefore no room for scepticism; p. 175. The prophets foretold its total desolation; p. 176, 177. These prophecies to be fulfilled by degrees; p. 177. Its state under Cyrus; p. 177, 178. Under Darius; p. 178, 179. Under Xerxes; p. 179, 180. Under Alexander and afterwards; p. 180, 181. The accounts of it since that time, by Diodorus; p. 181, 182. Strabo; p. 182. Pliny; p. 182. Pausanias; p. 183. Maximus Tyrius and Lucian; p. 183. Jerome; p. 183. Accounts of later Authors, of Benjamin of Tudela; p. 184. Texiera; p. 184. Rauwolf; p. 184, 185. Peter de la Valle; p. 185, 186. Tavernier; p. 186. Mr. Salmon; p. 186, 187. Mr. Hanway; p. 187. By these accounts it appears how punctually the prophecies have been fulfilled; p. 187, 188. Conclusion; such prophecies a convincing argument of the divinity of the scriptures, and likewise instances of fine writing, and of the spirit of liberty; p. 188—190.

DISSERTATION XI.

THE PROPHECIES CONCERNING TYRE.

p. 190—212.

Tyre another enemy to the Jews, its fall predicted by Isaiah and Ezekiel; p. 190. The prophecies relate to both old and new Tyre; p. 190, 191. A very ancient city; p. 191, 192. The daughter of Sidon, but in time excelled the mother, and became a mart of nations; p. 193. In this flourishing condition, when the prophets foretold her destruction, for her pride, and wickedness, and cruelty to the Jews; p. 194, 195. Several particulars included in the prophecies; p. 195, 196. 1. The city to be taken and destroyed by Nebuchadnezzar and the Chaldæans; p. 196, 198. 11. The inhabitants to pass over the Mediterranean, but to find no rest; p. 198—201. 111. The city to be restored after 70 years; p. 201, 202. IV. To be taken and destroyed again; p. 202—205. V. The people to forsake idolatry, and become converts to the true religion; p. 205—207. VI. The city at last to be totally destroyed, and become a place for fishers to spread their nets upon; p. 208. These prophecies to be fulfilled by degrees; p. 208. A short account of the place from the time of Nebuchadnezzar to the present; p. 208, 209. Inælius’s account of it; p. 209, 210. Dr. Shaw’s; p. 210. Mr. Maunderil’s; p. 210, 211. Conclusion with some reflections upon trade; p. 211, 212.
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CONTENTS.

p. 238. No one could have foretold this upon human conjecture; p. 239. Conclusion with some reflections upon the characters of the Egyptians as drawn by ancient and modern authors; p. 239—241.
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The Second Part which is the History of Prophecy, consisteth of two relatives, the Prophecy itself, and the accomplishment thereof. Wherefore the design of this work ought to be, that every Proposition of Scripture be sorted with the truth of the event; and that throughout all the ages of the world; both for confirmation of Faith; as also to plant a discipline, and skill in the interpretation of Prophecies, which are not yet accomplished. But in this work that latitude must be allowed which is proper, and familiar unto Divine Prophecies; that their accomplishments may be both perpetual and punctual: for they resemble the nature of their author to whom one day is as a thousand years, and a thousand years as but one day: and though the fulness and height of their complement be many times assigned to some certain age, or certain point of time, yet they have nevertheless many stairs and scales of accomplishment throughout divers ages of the world. This work I set down as deficient, but it is of that nature as must be handled with great wisdom, sobriety, and reverence, or not at all.

Bacon, of the Advancement of Learning, Book 2. chap. 11. § 2.

Dissertations

On the

Prophecies,

Which Have Remarkably Been Fulfilled, and at This Time Are Fulfilling in the World.

Introduction.

One of the strongest evidences for the truth of revealed religion is that series of prophecies which is preserved in the Old and New Testament; and a greater service perhaps could not be done to Christianity than to lay together the several predictions of scripture with their completions, to show how particularly things have been foretold, and how exactly fulfilled. A work of this kind was desired by the Lord Bacon in his (1) Advancement of Learning: and he intituleth it the history of prophecy, and therein would have "every prophecy of the scripture be sorted with the event fulfilling the same throughout the ages of the world, both for the better confirmation of faith," as he saith, "and for the better illumination of the church, touching those parts of prophecies which are yet unfulfilled: allowing nevertheless that latitude which is agreeable and familiar unto divine prophecies, being of the nature of the author with whom a thousand years are but as one day, and therefore they are not fulfilled punctually at once, but have springing and germinant accomplishment throughout many ages, though the height or fulness of them may refer to some one age."

Such a work indeed would be a wonderful confirmation of our faith, it being the prerogative of God alone, or of those who are

(1) Book the 2d. in English.
commissioned by him, certainly to foretell future events; and the consequence is so plain and necessary, from the believing of prophecies to the believing of revelation, that an infidel hath no way of evading the conclusion but by denying the premises. But why should it be thought at all incredible for God upon special occasions to foretell future events? Or how could a divine revelation (only supposing that there was a divine revelation) be better attested and confirmed than by prophecies? It is certain that God hath perfect and most exact knowledge of futurity, and foresees all things to come, as well as comprehends every thing past or present. It is certain too that as he knoweth them perfectly himself, so he may reveal them to others in what degrees and proportions he pleaseth; and that he actually hath revealed them in several instances, no man can deny, every man must acknowledge, who compares the several prophecies of scripture with the events fulfilling the same.

But so many ages have passed since the spirit of prophecy hath ceased in the world, that several persons are apt to imagine, that no such thing ever existed, and that what we call predictions are only histories written, after the events had happened, in a prophetic style and manner: which is easily said indeed, but hath never been proved, nor is there one tolerable argument to prove it. On the contrary there are all the proofs and authorities, which can be had in cases of this nature, that the prophets prophesied in such and such ages, and the events happened afterwards in such and such ages: and you have as much reason to believe these, as you have to believe any ancient matters of fact whatever; and by the same rule that you deny these, you might as well deny the credibility of all ancient history.

But such is the temper and genius of infidels; they understand neither what they say, nor whereof they affirm; and so betray their own ignorance, rather than acknowledge the force of divine truth; and assert things with the least shadow or color of proof, rather than admit the strongest proofs of divine revelation. It betrays ignorance indeed, altogether unworthy of persons of liberal education, not to know when such and such authors flourished, and such and such remarkable events happened; and it must be something worse than ignorance to assert things without the least shadow or color of proof, contrary to all the marks and characters by which we judge of the truth and genuineness of ancient authors, contrary to the whole tenor of history both sacred and profane, which in this respect give wonderful light and assistance to each other: and yet these are the men, who would be thought to see farther and to know more than other people, and will believe nothing without evident proof and demonstration.

The facts, say they, were prior to the predictions, and the prophecies were written after the histories. But what if we should be able to prove the truth of prophecy, and consequently the truth of revelation, not by an induction of particulars long ago foretold and long ago fulfilled, the predictions whereof you may therefore suppose to have been written after the histories, but by instances of things which have confessedly many ages ago been foretold, and have in these later ages been fulfilled, or are fulfilling at this very time; so that you cannot possibly pretend the prophecies to have been written after the events, but must acknowledge the events many ages after to correspond exactly with the predictions many ages before? This province we will now enter upon, this task we will undertake, and will not only produce instances of things foretold with the greatest clearness in ages preceding, and fulfilled with the greatest exactness in ages following, if there is any truth in history sacred or profane; but we will also (to cut up the objection entirely by the roots) insist chiefly upon such prophecies as are known to have been written and published in books many ages ago, and yet are receiving their completion, in part at least, at this very day.

For this is one great excellency of the evidence drawn from prophecy for the truth of religion, that it is a growing evidence; and the more prophecies are fulfilled, the more testimonies there are, and confirmations of the truth and certainty of divine revelation. And in this respect we have eminently the advantage over those, who lived even in the days of Moses and the prophets, of Christ and his apostles. They were happy indeed in hearing their discourses and seeing their miracles, and doubtless many righteous men have desired to see those things which they saw and have not seen them, and to hear those things which they heard and have not heard them; (Mat. xiii. 17.) but yet I say we have this advantage over them, that several things, which were then only foretold, are now fulfilled; and what were to them only matters of faith, are
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Become matters of fact and certainty to us, upon whom the later ages of the world are come. God in his goodness hath afforded to every age sufficient evidence of the truth. Miracles may be said to have been the great proofs of revelation to the first ages who saw them performed. Prophecies may be said to be the great proofs of revelation to the last ages who see them fulfilled. All pretence too for denying the prophecies of scripture is by these means absolutely precluded; for how can it be pretended that the prophecies were written after the events, when it appears that the latest of these prophecies were written and published in books near 1700 years ago, and the events have, many of them, been accomplished several ages after the predictions, or perhaps are accomplishing in the world at this present time? You are therefore reduced to this necessity, that you must either renounce your senses, and deny what you may read in your bibles, together with what you may see and observe in the world; or else must acknowledge the truth of prophecy, and in consequence of that the truth of divine revelation.

Many of the principal prophecies of scripture will by these means come under our consideration, and they may be best considered with a view to the series and order of time. The subject is curious as it is important, and will be very well worth my pains and your attention: and though it turn chiefly upon points of learning, yet I shall endeavour to render it as intelligible, and agreeable, and edifying as I can to all sorts of readers. It is hoped the work will prove the more generally acceptable, as it will not consist merely of abstract speculative divinity, but will be inflected with a proper intermixture of history, and will include several of the most material transactions from the beginning of the world to this day.

DISSERTATIONS
ON THE

PROPHECIES.

I.

NOAH’S PROPHECY.

The first prophecy that occurs in scripture, is that part of the sentence pronounced upon the serpent, which is, as I may say, the first opening of Christianity, the first promise of our redemption. We read in Genesis (iii. 15.) I will put enmity between thee and the woman, and between thy seed and her seed; it shall bruise thy head, and thou shalt bruise his heel. If you understand this in the sense which is commonly put upon it by christian interpreters, you have a remarkable prophecy and remarkably fulfilled. Taken in any other sense, it is not worthy of Moses, nor indeed of any sensible writer.

The history of the antediluvian times is very short and concise, and there are only a few prophecies relating to the deluge. As Noah was a preacher of righteousness to the old world, so he was a prophet to the new, and was enabled to predict the future condition of his posterity, which is a subject that upon many accounts requires a particular discussion.

It is an excellent character that is given of Noah, (Gen. vi. 9.) Noah was a just man, and perfect in his generations, and Noah walked with God. But the best of men are not without their infirmities; and Noah (Gen
arbitrary method of interpretation; no mention was made before of Canaan and of what he had done, but only of Ham the father of Canaan; and of him therefore must the phrase of little son or youngest son be naturally and necessarily understood.

In consequence of this different behaviour of his three sons, Noah as a patriarch was lightened, and as the father of a family who is to reward or punish his children was impoverished, to foretell the different fortunes of their families: for this prophecy relates not so much to themselves as to their posterity, the people and nations descended from them. He was not prompted by wine or resentment; for neither the one nor the other could infuse the knowledge of futurity or inspire him with the prescience of events, which happened hundreds, nay thousands of years afterwards. But God, willing to manifest his superintendence and government of the world, induced Noah with the spirit of prophecy, and enabled him in some measure to disclose the purposes of his providence towards the future race of mankind. At the same time it was some comfort and reward to Shem and Japheth, for their reverence and tenderness to their father, to hear of the blessing and enlargement of their posterity; and it was some mortification and punishment to Ham, for his mockery and cruelty to his father, to hear of the malediction and servitude of some of his children, and that as he was a wicked son himself, so a wicked race should spring from him.

This then was Noah's prophecy: and it was delivered, as (4) most of the ancient prophecies were delivered, in metre for the help of the memory, (Gen. ix. 25, 26, 27).

Cursed be Canaan.

A servant of servants shall he be unto his brethren.

Blessed be Jehovah the God of Shem;

And Canaan shall be their servant.

God shall enlarge Japheth.

(4) The reader may see this point proved at large in the very ingenious and learned Mr. Archdeacon Lowth's poetical Prophecies (particularly Prelection 18.) &c. a work that merits the attention of all who study the Hebrew language, and of the clergy especially.
And shall dwell in the tents of Shem, and Canaan shall be their servant.

Canaan was the fourth son of Ham according to the order wherein they are mentioned in the ensuing chapter. And for what reason can you believe that Canaan was so particularly marked out for the curse? for his father Ham's transgression? But where would be the justice or equity to pass by Ham himself with the rest of his children and to punish only Canaan for what Ham had committed? Such arbitrary proceedings are contrary to all our ideas of the divine perfections; and we may say in this case what was said in another, (Gen. xviii. 25.) Shall not the judge of all the earth do right? The curse was so far from being pronounced upon Canaan for his father Ham's transgression, that we do not read that it was pronounced for his own, nor was executed till several hundred years after his death. The truth is, the curse is to be understood not so properly of Canaan, as of his descendants to the latest generations: it is thinking meanly of the ancient prophecies of scripture, and having very imperfect, very unworthy conceptions of them, to limit their intention to particular persons. In this view the ancient prophets would be really what the Deists think them, little better than common fortune-tellers; and their prophecies would hardly be worth remembering or recording, especially in so concise and compendious a history as that of Moses. We must affix a larger meaning to them, and understand them not of single persons, but of whole nations; and thereby a nobler scene of things, and a more extensive prospect will be opened to us of the divine dispensations. The curse of servitude pronounced upon Canaan, and so likewise the promise of blessing and enlargement made to Shem and Japheth, are by no means to be confined to their own persons, but extend to their whole race, as afterwards the prophecies concerning Ishmael, and those concerning Esau and Jacob, and those relating to the twelve patriarchs, were not so properly verified in themselves as in their posterity, and thither we must look for their

full and perfect completion. The curse therefore upon Canaan was properly a curse upon the Canaanites. God foreseeing the wickedness of this people, (which began in their father Ham, and greatly increased in this branch of his family) commissioned Noah to pronounce a curse upon them, and to devote them to the servitude and misery, which their more than common vices and iniquities would deserve. And this account was plainly written by Moses, for the encouragement of the Israelites, to support and animate them in their expedition against a people, who by their sins had forfeit the divine protection, and were destined to slavery from the days of Noah.

We see the purport and meaning of the prophecy, and now let us attend to the completion of it. Cursed be Canaan; and the Canaanites appear to have been an abominably wicked people. The sin and punishment of the inhabitants of Sodom and Gomorrah and the cities of the plain are too well known to be particularly specified: and for the other inhabitants of the land, which was promised to Abraham and his seed, God bore with them, till their iniquity was full. (Gen. xv. 16.) They were not only addicted to idolatry, which was then the case of the greater part of the world, but were guilty of the worst sort of idolatry; for every abomination to the Lord, which he hateth, have they done unto their gods; for even their sons and their daughters they have burnt in the fire to their gods. (Deut. xxi. 31.) Their religion was bad, and their morality (if possible) was worse; for corrupt religion and corrupt morals usually generate each other, and go hand in hand together. Read the 15th and the 20th chapters of Leviticus, and you will find that unlawful marriages and unlawful lusts, witchcraft, adultery, incest, sodomy, bestiality, and the like monstrous enormities were frequent and common among them. And was not a curse in the nature of things, as well as in the just judgment of God, deservedly entailed upon such a people and nation as this? It was not for their own rightness that the Lord brought the Israelites in to possess the land; but for the wickedness of these nations did the Lord drive them.
Dissertations on

out: (Deut. ix. 4.) and he would have driven out the Israelites in like manner for the very same abominations. (Lev. xviii. 25, &c.) Defile not yourselves in any of these things; for in all these the nations are defiled which I cast out before you. And the land is defiled; therefore I do visit the iniquity thereof upon it, and the land itself vomiteth out her inhabitants. Ye shall therefore keep my statutes and my judgments, and shall not commit any of these abominations—That the land spue not you out also when ye defile it, as it spued out the nations that were before you. For whosoever shall commit any of these abominations, even the souls that commit them, shall be cut off from among their people.

But the curse particularly implies servitude and subjection. Cursed be Canaan; a servant of servants shall he be unto his brethren. It is very well known that the word brethren in Hebrew comprehends more distant relations. The descendents therefore of Canaan were to be subject to the descendents of both Shem and Japheth: and the natural consequence of vice, in communities as well as in single persons, is slavery. The same thing is repeated again and again in the two following verses, and Canaan shall be servant unto them, or their servant; so that this is as it were the burden of the prophecy. Some (5) critics take the phrase of servant of servants strictly and literally, and say that the prediction was exactly fulfilled, when the Canaanites became servants to the Israelites, who had been servants to the Egyptians. But this is refining too much; the phrase of (6) servant of servants is of the same turn and

cast as holy of holies, king of kings, song of songs, and the like expressions in scripture; and imports that they should be the lowest and basest of servants.

We cannot be certain as to the time of the delivery of this prophecy; for the history of Moses is so concise, that it hath not gratified us in this particular. If the prophecy was delivered soon after the transactions, which immediately precede in the history, Noah's beginning to be a husbandman, and planting a vineyard, it was soon after the deluge, and then Canaan was prophesied of before he was born, as it was prophesied of Esau and Jacob (Gen. xxv. 23.) the elder shall serve the younger, before the children were born and had done either good or evil, as St. Paul saith. (Rom. ix. 11.) If the prophecy was delivered a little before the transactions, which immediately follow in the history, it was a little before Noah's death, and he was enlightened in his last moments as Jacob was, to foretel what should befall his posterity in the latter days. (Gen. xlix. 1.) However this matter may be determined, it was several centuries after the delivery of this prophecy, when the Israelites, who were descendents of Shem, under the command of Joshua, invaded the Canaanites, smote above thirty of their kings, took possession of their land, slew several of the inhabitants, made the Gibeonites and others servants and tributaries, and Solomon afterwards subdued the rest. (2 Chron. viii. 7, 8, 9.) As for all the people that were left of the Hittites, and the Amorites, and the Perizzites, and the Hivites, and the Jebusites, which were not of Israel; but of their children who were left after them in the land, whom the children of Israel consumed not; them did Solomon make to pay tribute until this day. But of the children of Israel did Solomon make no servants for his work: but they were men of war, and chief of his captains, and captains of his chariots and horsemen. The Greeks and Romans too, who were de-

(5) Noe Chamum execerat fore ut ejus posteri serviret essent servorum: atque id impletum in Chananias, tum cum subire coacti sunt Israelitarum jugum qui Aegyptiis dui servierant. Rocharti Philog. Lib. 1. Cap. 1. Col. 3. 4. [Noah cursing Ham, foretold that a time should come, when his posterity would be the servant of servants. This prediction was fulfilled in the Canaanites, at that time, when they were compelled to come under the yoke of the Israelites, a people who for a long time had served the Egyptians. Rocharti Philog. Book I. Chap. i. Col. 3. 4.]

(6) S. Pompeius, studius rudis, libertorum suorum libertus, servorumque servus, speciosiss invidens ut pararet humilitas, Velleius Paterculus. II. 73. Hic vero valet postremus servorum. Vid. Sallust. Fragm. Id. Velleius II. 83. Infra servos cliens.—From some M. S. Notes of Mr. Wass's in the hands of Dr. Justin. [S. Pompey was a man without letters, a freedman of freedmen, and

a servant of servants. He envied the great, while he cringed to the basest. Velleius Paterculus, II. 73. Here indeed the lowest of servants obtains the superiority. A vassal below the condition of servants. See the fragments of Sallust and also Velleius Book II. 83.]
we not suppose therefore, (without taking such liberties as Father Houbigant hath with the Hebrew text) that the copyist by mistake wrote only Canaan, instead of Ham the father of Canaan, and that the whole passage was originally thus? And Ham the father of Canaan saw the nakedness of his father, and told his two brethren without —And Noah awoke from his wine, and knew what his younger Son had done unto him. And he said, Cursed be Ham the father of Canaan; a servant shall he be unto his brethren. And he said, Blessed be the Lord God of Shem; and Ham the father of Canaan shall be servant to them. God shall enlarge Japheth; and he shall dwell in the tents of Shem; and Ham the father of Canaan shall be servant to them.

By this reading all the three sons of Noah are included in the prophecy, whereas otherwise Ham, who was the offender, is excluded, or is only punished in one of his

in the Hebrew language and Hebrew metre, and hath given abundant proofs of his knowledge and judgment in these matters in his new translation and commentary on the song of Deborah, the prayer of Habakuk, &c. He asserts that according to Bishop Hare's metre, the words Ham d'hi are necessary to fill up the verse. He proposes a further emendation of the text, by the omission of one line, and the transposition of another, and would read the whole prophecy thus, according to the metre.

And Noah said, Cursed be Ham the father of Canaan; A servant of servants shall be to his brethren. And he said, Blessed be Jehovah the God of Shem; For he shall dwell in the tents of Shem. God shall enlarge Japheth; And Canaan shall be their servant.

If you will not allow this emendation to be right and certain, yet I think you must allow it to be probable and ingenious, to render the sense clearer and plainer, and to give every part its just weight and proportion. Or the whole may, with only a transposition and without any omission, be represented thus:

And Noah said, Cursed be Ham the father of Canaan, A servant of servants shall he be to his brethren. And he said, Blessed be the Lord God of Shem; For he shall dwell in the tents of Shem; And Ham the father of Canaan shall be their servant. God shall enlarge Japheth, And Ham the father of Canaan shall be their servant.
children. Ham is characterized as the father of Canaan particularly, for the greater encouragement of the Israelites, who were going to invade the land of Canaan: and when it is said Cursed be Ham, the father of Canaan; a servant of servants shall be he unto his brethren; it is implied that his whole race was devoted to servitude, but particularly the Canaanites. Not that this was to take effect immediately, but was to be fulfilled in process of time, when they should forfeit their liberties by their wickedness. Ham at first subdued some of the posterity of Shem, as Canaan sometimes conquered Japheth; the Carthaginians, who were originally Canaanites, did particularly in Spain and Italy: but in time they were to be subdued, and to become servants to Shem and Japheth; and the change of their fortune from good to bad would render the curse still more visible. Egypt was the land of Ham, as it is often called in scripture; and for many years it was a great and flourishing kingdom; but it was subdued by the Persians, who descended from Shem, and afterwards by the Greeks, who descended from Japheth; and from that time to this it hath constantly been in subjection to some or other of the posterity of Shem or Japheth. The whole Continent of (3) Africa was peopled principally by the children of Ham; and for how many ages have the better parts of that country lain under the dominion of the Romans, and then of the Saracens, and last of the Turks? In what wickedness, ignorance, barbarity, misery, live most of the inhabitants? And of the poor negroes how many hundreds every year are sold and bought like beasts in the market, and are conveyed from one quarter of the world to do the work of beasts in another?

Nothing can be more complete than the execution of the sentence upon Ham as well as upon Canaan: and now

let us consider the promises made to Shem and Japheth. And he said (ver. 26.) Blessed be the Lord God of Shem; and Canaan shall be his servant: or rather, and Canaan shall be a servant to them, or their servant, that is to his brethren; for that, as we observed before, is the main part of the prophecy, and therefore is so frequently repeated. A learned critic (4) in the Hebrew language, who hath lately published some remarks on the printed Hebrew text, saith that "if it should be thought preferable to refer the word blessed directly to Shem, as the word cursed is to Canaan; the words may be (and perhaps more pertinently) rendered—Blessed of Jehovah, my God, be Shem!" See Gen. xxiv. 31. Or if we choose (as most perhaps will choose) to follow our own as well as all the ancient versions, we may observe that the old patriarch doth not say Blessed be Shem, as he said, Cursed be Canaan; for men evil springeth of themselves, but their good from God: and therefore in a strain of devotion breaketh forth into thanksgiving to God as the author of all good to Shem. Neither doth he say the same to Japheth; for God certainly may dispense his particular favors according to his good pleasure, and salvation was to be derived to mankind through Shem and his posterity. God prefers Shem to his elder brother Japheth, as Jacob was afterwards preferred to Esau, and David to his elder brothers, to show that the order of grace is not always the same as the order of nature. The Lord being called the God of Shem particularly, it is plainly intimated that the Lord would be his God in a particular manner. And accordingly the church of God was among the posterity of Shem for several generations; and of them (Rom. ix. 5.) as concerning the flesh Christ came.

But still Japheth was not dismissed without a promise, (ver. 47.) God shall enlarge Japheth, and he shall dwell in the tents of Shem; and Canaan shall be servant to them, or their servant. God shall enlarge Japheth. Some render the word (it is so rendered in the margin of our bibles)

(3) Chal. lib. 4. Cap. 1. Col. 203. [Ham although cursed, yet was not excluded from earthly blessings. —For in the division of the world, besides Egypt and the whole continent of Africa, a large portion of Syria was likewise allotted to him. Bochart's Phileg.: Book IV. Chap. 1. Col. 203.]

God shall persuade or allure Japheth, so that he shall come over to the true religion, and dwell in the tents
of Shem. But the (5) best critics in the language have remarked, besides other reasons, that they who translate the word by persuade or allure, did not consider, that when it is so taken, it is used in a bad sense, and governs an accusative case, and not a dative as in this place.

God shall enlarge Japheth, or unto Japheth, is the best rendering: and in the original there is a manifest allusion to Japheth’s name, such as is familiar to the Hebrew writers. As it was said of Noah, (Gen. v. 29.) This same shall comfort us, the name of Noah being thought to signify comfort: As it is said of Judah, (Gen. xlix. 8.) Thou art he whom thy brethren shall praise, and the name of Judah signifies praise: As it is said of Dan, (ver. 16.) Dan shall judge his people, and the name of Dan signifies judging: As it is said of God, (ver. 19.) A troop shall overcome him, and the name of God signifies a troop or company: So it is said here, God shall enlarge Japheth, and the name of Japheth signifies enlargement.

Was Japheth then more enlarged than the rest? Yes he was both in territory and in children. The territories of Japheth’s posterity were indeed very large, for (6) besides all Europe, great and extensive as it is, they possessed the lesser Asia, Media, part of Armenia, Iberia, Albania, and those vast regions towards the north, which ancienly the Scythians inhabited, and now the Tartars.

(6) Saepe omni assentior, qui per hec verba volent Japhetho promittit formam in terra divisione amphissimam illi portionem habitandum. Deus assignet. Quod Deum absque privatur statum agnoscat quisquis, praeter Europam quanta quanta est, ad Japhethi portionem perimem cogitabit, Asian minorem, et Mediam, et Armeniam partem, et Iberiam, et Albaniam, et vastissimas illas regiones ad Boreum. quos oblitum Scythan, hoste Tartari obtinent. Ut de novo orbe faccam, in quem per fretum Anianis migrasse Scythus vero non est alemulam. Bochart Phaleg. Lib. 3. Cap. 1. Col. 149. [I altogether agree with those who think that by these words, it was promised to Japheth, that God in the division of the earth would bestow the greatest portion on his posterity. This must be acknowledged by every person, who considers that besides Europe, large as it is, they inhabit the lesser Asia, Media, a part of Armenia, Iberia, Albania, and those most extensive countries towards the north, which formerly the Scythians, but now the Tartars possess: to say nothing of the continent of America, into which, it is highly probable, they found their way by the straits of Anian. See Bochart’s Phaleg. Book III. Chap. 1. Col. 149.]
In the latter sense it was fulfilled first, when the Greeks and Romans, who sprung originally from Japheth, subdued and possessed Judea and other countries of Asia belonging to Shem; and again spiritually, when they were proselyted to the true religion, and they who were not Israelites by birth, became Israelites by faith, and lived as we and many others of Japheth's posterity do at this day, within the pale of the church of Christ.

What think you now? Is not this a most extraordinary prophecy; a prophecy that was delivered near four thousand years ago, and yet hath been fulfilling thro' the several periods of time to this day! It is both wonderful and instructive. It is the history of the world as it were in epitome. And hence we are enabled to correct a mistake of one author, and expose the petulance of another.

1. The first is the learned and excellent Mr. Mede, an author always to be read with improvement, and to be corrected with reverence: but yet I conceive that he hath carried matters too far in ascribing more to this prophecy than really belongs to it. For discoursing of the dispersions and habitations of the sons of Noah, he (8) saith that "there hath never yet been a son of Ham, " who hath shaken a sceptre over the head of Japheth: "Shem hath subdued Japheth, and Japheth hath sub-" dued Shem, but Ham never subdued either;" and this passage hath been cited by several (9) commentators to illustrate this prophecy. But this worthy person surely did not recollect, that Nimrod, the first monarch in the world was the son of Cush, who was the son of Ham. (Gen. x.) Misraim was another son of Ham; he was the father of the Egyptians, and the Egyptians detained the Israelites in bondage several years. Shishak king of Egypt subdued Rechoboam king of Judah, (1 Kings xiv.) Sesostris king of Egypt (the same probably as Shishak) conquered great part of Europe and of Asia, if there is any faith in ancient history. The Carthaginians too, who descended from the Canaanites, as we noted before,

(9) Patrick, &c.

2. The other is the famous author of the Letters on the study and use of history, who hath strangely abused his talents in abusing this prophecy. For the true meaning and exact completion of it rightly considered, what room is there for ridicule? and how absurd and impertinent as well as gross and indecent are his reflections? "The curse, says (1) he, pronounced in it 'contradicts "all our notions of order and of justice. One is tempted "to think, that the patriarch was still drunk; and that "no man in his senses could hold such language, or "pass such a sentence." But such will be the case, when men of more parts than judgment talk and write about things which they do not sufficiently understand: and especially in matters of religion, whereof they are by no means competent judges, having either never studied them at all, or studied them superficially and with prejudice. All that he hath written relating to these subjects betrays great weakness in a man of his capacity, weakness great as his malice; and we might have an easy victory over assertions without proofs, premises, without conclusions, and conclusions without premises. But I love not controversy, and will only

make two or three reflections, just to give a specimen of the boasted learning and abilities of this writer.

His lordship seemeth to take a particular pleasure in railing at pedants, at the same time that he is himself one of the most pedantic of writers, if he be pedantry to make a vain ostentation of learning, and to quote authors without either reading or understanding them, or even knowing so much as who and what they are. "The Codex Alexandrinus," (2) saith he, we owe to "George the monk." We are indebted indeed to George the monk, more usually called Syncellus, for what is intitled Vetus Chronicum an old chronicle. But the Codex Alexandrinus is quite another thing; it is, as all the learned know, the famous Greek MS. of the Old and New Testament, brought originally from Alexandria, and presented to Charles I. and now remaining in the King's library, of which it doth not appear that George the monk knew any thing, and it is evident that his lordship knew nothing. If he meant to say the Chronicum Alexandrinum, that is still another thing, and the work of another author.

His lordship is of opinion, (3) that "Virgil in those famous verses Excursus alii &c. might have justly ascribed to his countrymen the praise of writing history better than the Grecians." But which are the Roman histories, that are to be preferred to the Grecian? Why, "the remains, the precious remains," says his lordship, "of Sallust, of Livy, and of Tacitus." But it happened that (4) Virgil died, before Livy had written his history, and before Tacitus was born. And is not this an excellent chronologer now to correct all ancient history and chronology sacred and profane?

His lordship is likewise pleased to say, (5) that "Don Quixote believed, but even Sancho doubted:" and it may be asserted on the other side, that Sir Isaac Newton believed the prophecies, though his lordship

---

(2) Letter the 1st. p. 292. Ibid.
(4) Virgil died A. D. 793. Livy according to Dodwell finished his history in 742. Tacitus was Consu in 850. See Fabricius.
(5) Letter the 4th. p. 139.

---
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did not, the principal reason of which may be found perhaps in the different life and morals of the one and the other. Nay the wisest politicians and historians have been believers, as well as the greatest philosophers. Raleigh and Clarendon believed; Bacon and Locke believed; and where then is the discredit to revelation, if Lord Bolingbroke was an infidel? A scorner, as Solomon saith, (Prov. xiv. 6) seeketh wisdom, and findeth it not.

But there cannot be a stronger condemnation of his lordship's conduct, than his own words upon another occasion in his famous Dissertation upon parties. "Some men there are, the pests of society I think them, who pretend a great regard to religion in general, but who take every opportunity of declaiming publicly against that system of religion, or at least against that church establishment, which is received in Britain. Just so the men, of whom I have been speaking, affect a great regard to liberty in general; but they dislike so much the system of liberty established in Britain, that they are incessant in their endeavors to puzzle the plainest thing in the world, and to refine and distinguish the life and strength of our constitution, in favour of the little, present, momentary turns, which they are retained to serve. What would be the consequence, if all these endeavors should succeed? I am persuaded that the great philosophers, divines, lawyers, and politicians, who exert them, have not yet prepared and agreed upon the plans of a new religion, and of new constitutions in church and state. We should find ourselves therefore without any form of religion, or civil government. The first set of those missionaries would take off all the restraints of religion from the governed; and the latter set would remove, or render inefficient, all the limitations and controls, which liberty hath prescribed to those that govern, and disjoin the whole frame of our constitution. Entire dissolution of manners, confusion, anarchy, or perhaps absolute monarchy, would follow; for it is possible, may probable, that in such a state as this,
"and amidst such a rout of lawless savages, men would "choose this government, absurd as it is, rather than "have no government at all."

It is to be lamented that such a genius should be so employed: but the misapplication of those excellent talents with which God had intrusted him, was his reigning fault through every stage, through every scene of life. That which Lord (6) Digby said of the great Lord Strafford, may with more truth and justice be affirmed of him, that the malignity of his practices was hugely aggravated by those rare abilities of his, whereof God had given him the use, but the devil the application.

II.

THE PROPHECIES CONCERNING ISHMAEL.

ABRAHAM was the patriarch of greatest renown next after the times of Noah. He was favored with several revelations; and from him two very extraordinary nations descended, the Ishmaelites and Israelites, concerning each of whom there are some remarkable prophecies. Ishmael, though the son of the bond-woman, and not properly the child of promise, was yet distinguished by some express predictions for the comfort and satisfaction of both his parents. In the 16th chapter of Genesis, when Hagar fled from the face of her mistress who had dealt hardly with her, the angel of the Lord found her in the wilderness, and said unto her, Return to thy mistress, and submit thyself under her hands. And the angel of the Lord said unto her, I will multiply thy seed exceedingly, that it shall not be numbered for multitude. (6) Rushworth, Vol. 4. p. 225.

And the angel of the Lord said unto her, Behold thou art with child, and shall bear a son, and shall call his name Ishmael (that is God shall hear) because the Lord hath heard thy affliction. And he will be a wild man; his hand will be against every man, and every man's hand against him; and he shall dwell in the presence of all his brethren. (ver. 6, 7, 9, 10, 11, 12.) In the following chapter, when Isaac was promised to Abraham, God still reserved a blessing for Ishmael, Behold I have blessed him, and will make him fruitful, and will multiply him exceedingly; twelve princes shall he beget, and I will make him a great nation. (ver. 20.) Afterwards when Hagar and Ishmael were sent forth into the wilderness, God said unto Abraham, (Gen. xx. 13.) And also of the son of the bond woman will I make a great nation, because he is thy seed. The same is repeated to Hagar, (ver. 18.) I will make him a great nation. And if we are curious to trace the course of events, we shall see how exactly these particulars have been fulfilled from the earliest down to the present times.

I will multiply thy seed exceedingly, that it shall not be numbered for multitude: and again, Behold I have blessed him and will make him fruitful, and will multiply him exceedingly. These passages evince that the prophecy doth not so properly relate to Ishmael, as to his posterity, which is here foretold to be very numerous. Ishmael married an Egyptian woman, as his mother was likewise an Egyptian: (Gen. xx. 21.) and in a few years his family was increased so, that in the 37th chapter of Genesis we read of Ishmaelites trading into Egypt. Afterwards his seed was multiplied exceedingly in the Hagarenes, who probably were denominated from his mother Hagar; and in the Nabatheans, who had their name from his son Nebaioth; and in the Iturans, who were so called from his son Jetur or Itur; and in the Arabs, especially the Secuities, and the Saracens, who over-run a great part of the world: and his descendants, the Arabs, are a very numerous people at this day.

Twelve princes shall he beget. This circumstance is very particular; but it was punctually fulfilled; and
Moses hath given us the names of these twelve princes. (Gen. xxv. 16.) These are the sons of Ishmael, and these are their names, by their towns, and by their castles; twelve princes according to their nations: by which we are to understand, not that they were so many distinct soveran princes, but only heads of clans or tribes. Strabo frequently mentions the Arabian phylarch as he denominates them, or rulers of tribes: and Melo, quoted by Eusebius from Alexander Polyhistor, a heathen historian, relates (1) that "Abraham of his Egyptian wife begat 12 sons (he should have said one son who begat 12 sons) who departing into Arabia divided the region between them, and were the first kings of the inhabitants: whence even in our days the Arabs "have twelve kings of the same names as the first." And ever since the people have been governed by phylarchs, and have lived in tribes; and still continue to do so, as (2) Thevenot and other modern travelers testify.

And I will make him a great nation. This is repeated twice or thrice: and it was accomplished, as soon as in the regular course of nature it could be accomplished. His seed in process of time grew up into a great nation, and such they continued for several ages, and such they remain to this day. They might indeed emphatically be stiled a great nation, when the Saracens had made those rapid and extensive conquests, and erected one of the largest empires that ever were in the world.

And he will be a wild man. In the original it is a wild ass-man, and the learned (3) Bochart translates it "tam ferus quam onager," as wild as a wild ass; so that that should be eminently true of him, which in the book of Job (xl. 12.) is affirmed of mankind in general, Man is born like a wild ass's colt. But what is the nature of the creature to which Ishmael is so particularly compared? It cannot be described better than it is in the same book of Job. (xxxix. 5, &c.) Who hath sent out the wild ass free? or who hath loosed the bands of the wild ass? Whose house I have made the wilderness, and the barren land his dwellings. He scorneth the multitude of the city, neither regardeth he the crying of the driver. The range of the mountains is his pasture, and he searcheth after every green thing. Ishmael therefore and his posterity were to be wild, fierce, savage, ranging in the deserts, and not easily softened and tamed to society: and whoever hath read or known any thing of this people, knoweth this to be their true and genuine character. It is said of Ishmael, (Gen. xxi. 20.) that he dwelt in the wilderness, and became an archer: and the same is no less true of his descendents than of himself. He dwelt in the wilderness; and his sons still inhabit the same wilderness, and many of them neither sow nor plant (4) according to the best accounts ancient and modern. And he became an archer; and such were the Hurceans, whose bows and arrows are famous in all authors; such were the mighty men of Kedem in Isaiah's time: (Isaiah xxi. 17.) and such the Arabs have been from the beginning; and are at this time. It was late before they admitted the use of fire-arms among them; (6) the greater part of them still are strangers to them, and still continue skilful archers.


[——— the Hurcean yew
Receives the bending figure of a bow.]
Huresis cursus fuit unde suaestis.
[Here Hurcean arrows winged their course.]

Lucan. VII. 230.

His hand will be against every man, and every man's hand against him. The one is the natural, and almost necessary consequence of the other. Ishmael lived by prey and rapin in the wilderness; and his posterity have all along infested Arabia and the neighbouring countries with their robberies and incursions. They live in a state of continual war with the rest of the world, and are both robbers by land, and pirates by sea. As they have been such enemies to mankind, it is no wonder that mankind have been enemies to them again, that several attempts have been made to extirpate them; and even now as well as formerly travelers are forced to go with arms and in caravans or large companies, and to march and keep watch and guard like a little army, to defend themselves from the assaults of these free-booters, who run about in troops, and rob and plunder all whom they can by any means subdue. These robberies they also justify, "by alleging the hard usage of their father Ishmael, who being turned out of doors by Abraham had the open plains and deserts given him by God for his patrimony, with permission to take whatever he could find there. And on this account they think they may, with a safe conscience, indemnify themselves, as well as they can, not only on the posterity of Isaac, but also on every body else; always supposing a sort of kindred between themselves and those they plunder. And in relating their adventures of this kind, they think it sufficient to change the expression, and instead of I robbed a man of such or such a thing, to say, I gained it."

And he shall dwell in the presence of all his brethren; shall tabernacle, for many of the Arabs dwell in tents, and are therefore called Scenites. It appears that they dwelt in tents in the wilderness so long ago as in Isaiah and Jeremiah's time; (Isaiah xiii. 20. Jer. iii. 2.) and they do the same at this day. This is very extraordinary, that his hand should be against every man, and every

man's hand against him, and yet that he should be able to dwell in the presence of all his brethren: but extraordinary as it was, this also hath been fulfilled both in the person of Ishmael, and in his posterity. As for Ishmael himself, the sacred historian afterwards relates (Gen. xxv. 17, 18,) that the years of the life of Ishmael were an hundred and thirty and seven years, and he died in the presence of all his brethren. As for his posterity, they dwelt likewise in the presence of all their brethren, Abraham's sons by Keturah, the Moabites and Ammonites, descendents of Lot, the Ishmaelites descendents of Abraham, Isaac, and Jacob, and the Edomites, descendents of Abraham, Isaac, and Esau. And they still subsist a distinct people, and inhabit the country of their progenitors, notwithstanding the perpetual enmity between them and the rest of mankind. It may be said perhaps that the country was not worth conquering, and its barrenness was its preservation: but this is a mistake, for by all accounts, though the greater part of it be sandy and barren deserts, yet here and there are interspersed beautiful spots and fruitful valleys. One part of the country was anciently known and distinguished by the name of Arabia the happy. And now the proper Arabia is by the oriental writers generally divided into five provinces. Of these the chief is the province of Yaman, which, as a learned writer asserts upon good authorities, "has been famous from all antiquity for the happiness of its climate, its fertility and riches. The delightfulness and plenty of Yaman are owing to its mountains; for all that part which lies along the Red Sea, is a dry barren desert, in some places 10 or 12 leagues over, but in return bounded by those mountains, which being well watered, enjoy an almost continual spring; and besides coffee, the peculiar produce of this country, yield great plenty and variety of fruits, and in particular excellent corn, grapes and spicis. The soil of the other provinces is much more barren than that of Yaman; the greater part of their territories being


“covered with dry sands, or rising into rocks, interspersed here and there with some fruitful spots, which receive their greatest advantages from their water and palm trees.” But if the country was ever so bad, no one would think it should be for the interest of the neighbouring princes and states at any hazard to root out such a pestilent race of robbers: and actually it hath several times been attempted, but never accomplished. They have from first to last maintained their independency, and notwithstanding the most powerful efforts for their destruction, still dwell in the presence of all their brethren, and in the presence of all their enemies.

We find that in the time of Moses, they were grown up into twelve princes according to their nations; (Gen. xxv. 16.) and they dwelt (saith Moses, ver. 18.) from Havilah unto Shur, that is before Egypt, as thou goest towards Assyria: but yet we do not find that they were ever subject to either of their powerful neighbours, the Egyptians or Assyrians. The conquests of Sesostris, the great king of Egypt are much magnified by Diodorus Siculo; and probably he might subdue some of the western provinces of Arabia bordering upon Egypt, but he was obliged, as (9) Diodorus informs us, to draw a line from Heliopolis to Pelusium, to secure Egypt from the incursions of the Arabs. They were therefore not subjects, but enemies to the Egyptians; as they were likewise to the Assyrians, for they assisted (1) Belasis and Arbaeus in overthrowing that empire, assisted them not as fellow-rebels, but as an independent state with their auxiliary forces.

The next great conquerors of the cast were Cyrus and the Persians; but neither he nor any of his successors ever reduced the whole body of the Arabs to subjection. They might conquer some of the exterior, but never reached the interior parts of the country: and Herodotus, (2) the historian who lived nearest to those times, saith expressly, that the Arabs were never reduced by the Persians to the condition of subjects, but were considered by them as friends, and opened to them a passage into Egypt, which without the assistance and permission of the Arabs would have been utterly impracticable; and in (3) another place he saith, that while Phoenicia, Palestine, Syria, and the neighbouring countries were taxed, the Arabian territories continued free from paying any tribute. They were then regarded as friends, but afterwards they assisted with their forces. (4) Amyrtaeus king of Egypt against Darius Nothus, and (5) Eugoras king of Cyprus against Artaxerxes Mnemon; so that they acted as friends or enemies to the Persians, just as they thought proper, and as it suited their honor or their interest.

Alexander the great then overturned the Persian empire, and conquered Asia. The neighbouring princes sent their embassadors to make their submissions. The (6) Arabs alone disdain'd to acknowledge the conqueror, and scorn'd to send any embassy, or to take any notice of him. This slight provoked him to such a degree, that he meditated an expedition against them; and the great preparations which he made for it, showed that he thought them a very formidable enemy: but death intervened, and put an end to all that his ambition or resentment had formed against them. Thus they happily escaped the fury of his arms, and were never subdued.

---

(2) Αραβίας δι’ άθροιας κατεκενσα τε διδούσαν ψεύδη, αλλά εὑρίσκει γνώσεις, καθότι καρτεξία ε’ κατεγράφει, συνεχείς γαρ Αραβίαν, ου κα τι βασιλείαν

---

(3) Ibid. Sect. 91. p. 199. — Οδοι ποιείς τις Αραβίαν (ποτα μαξυν) ἀραβίνομεν, ἀραβίνομεν ἀραβίνομεν, ἀραβίνομεν, ἀραβίνομεν. (Except the territory of the Arabians, to this was exempt from tribute.)
by any of his successors. Antigonus, one of the greatest of his successors, (7) made two attempts upon them, one by his general Athenaeus, and the other by his own son Demetrius, but both without success; the former was defeated, and the latter was glad to make peace with them, and leave them at their liberty. Neither would they suffer the people employed by Antigonus, to gather the bitumen on the lake Asphaltites, whereby he hoped greatly to increase his revenue. The Arabs fiercely attacked the workmen and the guards, and forced them to desist from their undertaking. So true is the assertion of (8) Diodorus, that "neither the Assyrians formerly, nor the kings of the Medes and Persians, nor yet of the Macedonians, were able to subdue them; nay though they led many and great forces against them, yet they could not accomplish their attempts." We find them afterwards sometimes at peace, and sometimes at war with the neighbouring states; sometimes joining the Syrians, and sometimes the Egyptians; sometimes assisting the Jews, and sometimes plundering them; and in all respects acting like a free people, who neither feared nor courted any foreign power whatever.

The Romans then invaded the east, and subdued the countries adjoinning, but were never able to reduce Arabia into the form of a Roman province. It is too common with historians to say that such or such a country was conquered, when perhaps only a part of it was so. It is thus that (9) Plutarch asserts that the Arabs submitted to Lucullus; whereas the most that we can believe is, that he might subdue some particular tribes; but he was recalled, and the command of the Roman

[Translated in the text.]


(9) Plutarch in Lucullo passim.
(4) says the heathen historian, preserved the city by the backwardness of the emperor at one time, and by that of his forces at another. He made some assaults but was baffled and defeated, and returned with precipitation as great as his vexation for his disappointment. And if such great emperors and able warriors as Trajan and Severus could not succeed in their attempts, it is no wonder that the following emperors could prevail nothing. The Arabs continued their incursions and depredations, in Syria and other Roman provinces, with equal license and impunity.

Such was the state and condition of the Arabs to the time of their famous prophet Mohammed, who laid the foundations of a mighty empire: and then for several centuries they were better known among the European nations by the name of the Sarraecii or Saraceens, the Arraceni (5) of Pliny, and the (6) Hagarenes of holy scripture. Their conquests were indeed amazingly rapid; they can be compared to nothing more properly than to a sudden flood or inundation. In a few years the Saraceens over-ran more countries, and subdued more people than the Romans did in several centuries. They were then not only free and independent of the rest of the world, but were themselves masters of the most considerable parts of the earth: and so they continued for (7) about three centuries; and after their empire was dissolved, and they were reduced within the limits of their native country, they still maintained their liberty against the Tartars, Mameluc, Turks, and all foreign enemies whatever. Whoever were the conquerors of Asia, they were still unconquered, still continued their incursions, and preyed upon all alike. The Turks have now for several centuries been lords of the adjacent countries; but they have been so little able to restrain the depredations of the Arabs, that they have been (8) obliged to pay them a sort of annual tribute for the safe passage and security of the pilgrims, who usually go in great companies to Mecca, so that the Turks have rather been dependent upon them, than they upon the Turks. And they still continue the same practices, and preserve the same superiority, if we may believe the concurrent testimony of modern travellers of all nations.

Two of our own nation have lately traveled into those parts, and have written and published their travels, both men of literature, both reverend divines, and writers of credit and character, Dr. Shaw and Bishop Pococke; and in several instances they confirm the account that we have given of this people. "With regard to the manners and customs of the Bedouins, saith (9) Dr. Shaw, it is to be observed that they retain a great many of those we read of in sacred as well as profane history; being, if we except their religion, the same people they were two or three thousand years ago; without ever embracing any of those novelties in dress or behaviour, which have had so many periods and revolutions in the Moorish and Turkish cities." And after giving some account of their hospitality, he proceeds thus; "Yet the outward behaviour of the Arab frequently gives the lie to his inward temper and inclination. For he is naturally thievish and treacherous; and it sometimes happens that those very persons are overtaken and pillaged in the morning, who were entertained the night before, with all the in-
stances of friendship and hospitality. Neither are
they to be accused for plundering strangers only, and
attacking almost every person, whom they find un-
armed and defenceless, but for those many impicable
and hereditary animosities, which continually subsist
among them, literally fulfilling to this day the pro-
phesy, that Ishmael should be a wild man; his hand
should be against every man, and every man’s hand
against him,” Dr. Shaw himself (1) was robbed and
plundered by a party of Arabs in his journey from Ramah
to Jerusalem, though he was escorted by four bands of
Turkish soldiers; and yet the Turks at the same time
paid a stipulated sum to the Arabs, in order to secure a
safe passage for their caravans; and there cannot surely
be a stronger proof, not only of the independency of the
Arabs, but even of their superiority, not only of their
enjoying their liberty, but even of their abusing it to
licentiousness. Bishop Pococke was the last who tra-
veled into those parts; and he hath informed us, that
the present inhabitants of Arabia resemble the ancient
in several respects; that (2) they live under tents, and
stay in one place as long as they have water and shrubs
and trees for their camels to feed on, for there is no
tillage nor grass in all this country; that all their riches
consist in camels, a few goats, and sometimes sheep, so
that they live in great poverty, having nothing but a
few dates and a little goat’s milk, and bring all their corn
eight or ten days journey from Cairo; that they are in
different nations or clans, each obeying the orders of its
great chief, and every incampment those of its particular
chief; and tho’ seemingly divided, yet they are all united
in a sort of league together; that they (3) love plunder,
and the roving sort of life this disposition leads them to,
have good horses, and manage them and their pikes
with much address; those on foot use poles, with which
they fence off the spear, with great art. So that authors
both sacred and profane, Jewish and Arabian, Greek

and Roman, Christian and Mohammedan, ancient and
modern, all agree in the same account: and if any are
desirous of seeing the matter deduced more at large,
they may be referred to a dissertation upon the independ-
ency of the Arabs by the learned authors of the Univer-
sal History.

An author, who hath lately published an account of
Persia, having occasion to speak of the Arabians, (4) says,
“their expertness in the use of the lance and sabre,
renders them fierce and intrepid. Their skill in horse-
manship, and their capacity of bearing the heat of
their burning plains, gives them also a superiority over
their enemies. Hence every petty chief in his own
district considers himself as a sovran prince, and as
such exacts customs from all passengers. Their con-
duct in this respect has often occasioned their being
considered in no better light than robbers, &c. They
generally marry within their own tribe, &c. When
they plunder caravans traveling thro’ their territories,
they consider it as reprisals on the Turks and Persians,
who often make inroads into their country, and carry
away their corn and their flocks.”

Who can fairly consider and lay all these particulars
together, and not perceive the hand of God in this whole
affair from the beginning to the end? The sacred his-
torian saith, that these prophecies concerning Ishmael
were delivered partly by the angel of the Lord, and
partly by God himself; and indeed who but God, or
one raised and commissioned by him, could describe so
particularly the genius and manners, not only of a single
person before he was born, but of a whole people from
the first founder of the race to the present time? It was
somewhat wonderful, and not to be foreseen by human
sagacity or prudence, that a man’s whole posterity should
so nearly resemble him, and retain the same inclinations,
the same habits, the same customs throughout all ages.
The waters of the purest spring or fountain are soon
changed and polluted in their course; and the farther
still they flow, the more they are incorporated and lost

(1) Preface to his Travels, p. vii.

in other waters. How have the modern Italians degenerated from the courage and virtues of the old Romans? How are the French and English polished and refined from the barbarism of the ancient Gauls and Britons? Men and manners change with times; but in all changes and revolutions the Arabs have still continued the same with little or no alteration. And yet it cannot be said of them, as of some barbarous nations, that they have had no commerce or intercourse with the rest of mankind; for by their conquests they overran a great part of the earth, and for some centuries were masters of most of the learning that was then in the world; but however they remained and still remain the same fierce savage intractable people, like their great ancestor in every thing, and different from most of the world besides. Ishmael was circumcised; and so are his posterity to this day; and as Ishmael was circumcised when he was thirteen years old, so were the Arabs at the same age according to (5) Josephus. He was born of Hagar, who was a concubine; and they still indulge themselves in the use of mercenary wives and concubines. He lived in tents in the wilderness, shifting from place to place; and so do his descendents, particularly those therefore called (6) Scenites formerly, and those called Bedowcens at this day. He was an archer in the wilderness; and so are they. He was to be the father of twelve princes or heads of tribes; and they live in clans or tribes at this day. He was a wild man, his hand against every man, and every man's hand against him: and they live in the same state of war; their hand against every man, and every man's hand against them.

This, I say, is somewhat wonderful, that the same people should retain the same dispositions for so many ages; but it is still more wonderful, that with these dispositions, and this enmity to the whole world, they should still subsist in spite of the world an independent

and free people. It cannot be pretended, that no probable attempts were ever made to conquer them; for the greatest conquerors in the world have almost all in their turns attempted it, and some of them have been very near effecting it. It cannot be pretended that the dryness or inaccessibleness of their country hath been their preservation; for their country hath been often penetrated, tho' never entirely subdued. I know that (7) Diodorus Siculus accounts for their preservation from the dryness of their country, that they have wells digged in proper places known only to themselves, and their enemies and invaders through ignorance of these places perish for want of water: but this account is far from being an adequate and just representation of the case; large armies have found the means of subsistence in their country; none of their powerful invaders ever desisted on this account; and therefore that they have not been conquered, we must impute to some other cause. When in all human probability they were upon the brink of ruin, then (as we have before seen at large) they were signally and providentially delivered. Alexander was preparing an expedition against them, when an inflammatory fever cut him off in the flower of his age. Pompey was in the career of his conquests, when urgent affairs called him elsewhere. Aelius Gallus had penetrated far into the country, when a fatal disease destroyed great numbers of his men, and obliged him to return. Trajan besieged their capital city, but was defeated by thunder and lightning, whirlwinds and other prodigies, and that as often as he renewed his assaults. Severus besieged the same city twice, and was twice repelled from before it; and the historian Dion, a man of rank and character, though an heathen, plainly ascribes the defeat of these two emperors to the interposition of a divine power. We who know the prophecies, may be more assured of the reality of a divine interposition; and indeed otherwise how could a single nation stand out against the enmity of the whole world for any length of


time, and much more for nearly 4000 years together? The great empires round them have all in their turns fallen to ruin, while they have continued the same from the beginning, and are likely to continue the same to the end: and this in the natural course of human affairs was so highly improbable, if not altogether impossible, that as nothing but a divine prescience could have foreseen it, so nothing but a divine power could have accomplished it.

These are the only people besides the Jews, who have subsisted as a distinct people from the beginning; and in some respects they very much resemble each other. The Arabs as well as the Jews are descended from Abraham, and both boast of their descent from that father of the faithful. The Arabs as well as the Jews are circumcised, and both profess to have derived that ceremony from Abraham. The Arabs as well as the Jews had originally twelve patriarchs or heads of tribes, who were their princes or governors. The Arabs as well as the Jews marry among themselves and in their own tribes. The Arabs as well as the Jews are singular in several of their customs, and are standing monuments to all ages, of the exactness of the divine predictions, and of the veracity of scripture-history. We may with more confidence believe the particulars related of Abraham and Ishmael, when we see them verified in their posterity at this day. This is having as it were a peculiar demonstration of our faith. This is proving by plain matter of fact, that the most High ruleth in the kingdoms of men, and that his truth, as well as his mercy, endureth for ever.

III.

THE PROPHECIES CONCERNING JACOB AND ESAU.

As it pleased God to disclose unto Abraham the state and condition of his posterity by Ishmael, who was the son of the bond-woman: it might be with reason expected, that something should be predicted concerning his posterity also by Isaac, who was the son of a free-woman. He was properly the child of promise, and the prophecies relating to him and his family are much more numerous than those relating to Ishmael: but we will select and enlarge upon such only, as have reference to these later ages.

It was promised to Abraham before Ishmael or any son was born to him. (Gen. xii. 3.) In thee shall all families of the earth be blessed. But after the birth of Ishmael and Isaac, the promise was limited to Isaac, (Gen. xxii. 32.) for in Isaac shall thy seed be called. And accordingly to Isaac was the promise repeated. (Gen. xxvi. 4.) In thy seed shall all the nations of the earth be blessed. The Saviour of the world therefore was not to come of the family of Ishmael, but of the family of Isaac; which is an argument for the truth of the Christian religion in preference to the Mohammedan, drawn from an old prophecy and promise made two thousand years before Christ, and much more before Mohammed was born.

The land of Canaan was promised to Abraham and his seed four hundred years before they took possession of it. (Gen. xv.) It was promised again to Isaac, (Gen. xxvi. 3.) Sojourn in this land, and I will be with thee, and will bless thee; and unto thy seed I will give all these countries, and I will perform the oath which I sware unto Abraham thy father. Now it is very well known, that it was not till after the death of Moses, who wrote these things, that the Israelites got possession of the land under the command of Joshua. They remained in possession of it several ages in pursuance of these prophecies: and afterwards, when for their sins and iniquities they were to be removed from it, their removal also was foretold, both the carrying away of the ten tribes, and the captivity of the two remaining tribes for seventy years, and likewise their final captivity and dispersion into all nations, till in the fulness of time they shall be restored again to the land of their inheritance.
It was foretold to Abraham that his posterity should be multiplied exceedingly above that of others; (Gen. xii. 2.) I will make of thee a great nation; and (xxii. 17.) in blessing I will bless thee, and in multiplying I will multiply thy seed as the stars of heaven, and as the sand which is upon the sea-shore. The same promise was continued to Isaac. (Gen. xxvi. 4.) I will make thy seed to multiply as the stars of heaven. And not to mention the vast increase of their other posterity, how soon did their descendants by Jacob grow up into a mighty nation? and how numerous were they formerly in the land of Canaan? how numerous were they in other parts of the world according to the accounts of Philo and Josephus? and after the innumerable massacres and persecutions which they have undergone, how numerous are they still in their present dispersion among all nations? It is computed that there are as many Jews now, or more than ever there were, since they have been a nation. A learned (1) foreigner, who hath written a history of the Jews, as a supplement and continuation of the history of Josephus, says that "it is impossible to fix the number of persons this nation is at present composed of. But yet we have reason to believe, there are still near three millions of people, who profess this religion, and as their phrase is, are witnesses of the unity of God in all the nations of the world." And who could foretell such a wonderful increase and propagation of a branch only of one man's family, but the same divine power that could effect it?

But Isaac had two sons, whose families did not grow up and incorporate into one people, but were separated into two different nations: and therefore, as it had been necessary before to specify whether Ishmael or Isaac was to be heir of the promises, so there was a necessity for the same distinction now between Esau and Jacob. Accordingly, when their mother had conceived, the children struggled together within her; (Gen. xxv. 22.) and it was revealed unto her by the Lord, (ver. 23.) Two nations are in thy womb, and two manner of people shall be separated from thy bowels; and the one people shall be stronger than the other people, and the elder shall serve the younger. The same divine Spirit influenced and directed their father to give his final benediction to the same purpose: for thus he blessed Jacob, (Gen. xxvii. 28, 29.) God give thee of the dew of heaven, and the fatness of the earth, and plenty of corn and wine. Let people serve thee, and nations bow down to thee; be lord over thy brethren, and let thy mother's sons bow down to thee; cursed be every one that curseth thee, and blessed be he that blesseth thee; and thus he blessed Esau, (ver. 39, 40.) Behold, thy dwelling shall be the fatness of the earth, and of the dew of heaven from above. And by thy sword shalt thou live, and shalt serve thy brother; and it shall come to pass when thou shalt have the dominion, that thou shalt break his yoke from off thy neck. But for greater clearness and certainty a more express revelation was afterwards made to Jacob; and the land of Canaan, a numerous progeny, and the blessing of all nations, were promised to him in particular, (Gen. xxvii. 13, 14.) I am the Lord God of Abraham thy father, and the God of Israel: the land whereon thou liest, to thee will I give it, and to thy seed. And thy seed shall be as the dust of the earth; and thou shalt spread abroad to the west, and to the east, and to the north and to the south; and in thee, and in thy seed shall all the families of the earth be blessed.

We have here a farther and more ample proof of what was asserted before, that these ancient prophecies were meant not so much of single persons, as of whole people and nations descended from them. For what is here predicted concerning Esau and Jacob was not verified in themselves, but in their posterity. Jacob was so far from bearing rule over Esau, that he was forced to fly his country for fear of Esau, (Gen. xxvii.) He continued abroad several years; and when he returned to his native country, he sent a supplicatory message to his brother Esau, (Gen. xxxii. 5.) that he might find grace in his sight. When he heard of Esau's coming to meet him with four hundred men, he was greatly afraid and distressed, (ver. 7.) and cried unto the Lord, (ver. 11.)
Deliver me, I pray thee, from the hand of my brother, from the hand of Esau. He sent a magnificent present before him to appease his brother, calling Esau his lord, and himself Esau's servant. (ver. 18.) When he met him, he bowed himself to the ground seven times, until he came near to his brother. (Gen. xxxiii. 3.) And after he had found a gracious reception, he acknowledged (ver. 10.) I have seen thy face, as though I had seen the face of God, and thou wast pleased with me. Jacob then had no temporal superiority over Esau; and therefore we must look for the completion of the prophecy among their posterity. The prophecy itself refers us thither, and mentions plainly two nations and two manner of people, and comprehends these several particulars; that the families of Esau and Jacob should grow up into two different people and nations; that the family of the elder should be subject to that of the younger; that in situation and other temporal advantages they should be much alike: that the elder branch should delight more in war and violence, but yet should be subdued by the younger; that however there should be a time when the elder should have dominion, and shake off the yoke of the younger; but in all spiritual gifts and graces the younger should be greatly superior, and be the happy instrument of conveying the blessing to all nations.

1. The families of Esau and Jacob should grow up into two different people and nations. Two nations are in thy womb, and two manner of people shall be separated from thy bowels. The Edomites were the offspring of Esau, as the Israelites were of Jacob; and who but the author and giver of life could foresee, that two children in the womb would multiply into two nations? Jacob had twelve sons, and their descendents all united and incorporated into one nation: and what an overruling providence then was it, that two nations should arise from the two sons only of Isaac? But they were not only to grow up into two nations, but into two very different nations, and two manner of people were to be separated from her bowels. And have not the Edomites and Israelites been all along two very different people in their manners and customs and religions, which made them to be perpetually at variance one with another? The children struggled together in the womb, which was an omen and token of their future disagreement: and when they were grown up to manhood they manifested very different inclinations. Esau was a cunning hunter, and delighted in the sports of the field: Jacob was more mild and gentle, dwelling in tents, and minding his sheep and his cattle. (Gen. xxv. 27.) Our English translation, agreeably to the (2) Septuagint and the Vulgate, hath it that Jacob was a plain man; but he appears from his whole conduct and behaviour to have been rather an artful than a plain man. The (3) word in the original signifies perfect, which is a general term: but being put in opposition to the rough and rustic manners of Esau, it must particularly import that Jacob was more humane and gentle, as (4) Philo the Jew understands it, and as Le Clerc translates it. Esau slighted his birth right and those sacred privileges of which Jacob was desirous, and is therefore called (Hebr. xii. 16.) the profane Esau: but Jacob was a man of better faith and religion. The like diversity ran through their posterity. The religion of the Jews is very well known; but whatever the Edomites were at first, in process of time they became idolaters. Josephus (5) mentions an Idumean deity named Koze: and Amuziah king of Judah, after he had overthrown the Edomites, (2) Chron. xiv. 11.) brought their gods and set them up to be his gods, and bowed down himself before them, and burned incense unto them; which was monstrously absurd, as the prophet demonstrates, (ver. 15.) If by hast thou sought after the gods of the people, which could not deliver their own people out of

(2) a through the Hebrew word as translated. (3) D An integer, perfectus. Integer, Sry. Samaa. Perfectus. (4) Vide Clericus in locum. Jacobus vero mitis, etc. [See Le Clerc on the passage. "Jacob truly was mild, meek, or gentle."]
thine hand? Upon these religious differences and other accounts there was a continual grudge and enmity between the two nations. The king of Edom would not suffer the Israelites in their return out of Egypt, so much as to pass thro' his territories: (Numb. xx.) and the history of the Edomites afterwards is little more than the history of their wars with the Jews.

II. The family of the elder should be subject to that of the younger. And the one people shall be stronger than the other people, and the elder shall serve the younger, or as the words may be rendered, the greater shall serve the lesser. The family of Esau was the elder, and for some time the greater and more powerful of the two, there having been dukes and kings in Edom, before there reigned any king over the children of Israel. (Gen. xxxvi. 31.) But David and his captains made an entire conquest of the Edomites, slew several thousands of them, (1 Kings xi. 16. and I Chron. xviii. 12.) and compelled the rest to become his tributaries and servants, and planted garrisons among them to secure their obedience. (2 Sam. viii. 14.) And he put garrisons in Edom; throughout all Edom put he garrisons, and all they of Edom became David's servants. In this state of servitude they continued about (6) an hundred and fifty years without a king of their own, being governed by viceroys or deputies appointed by the kings of Judah. In the reign of Jehoshaphat king of Judah it is said, that there was then no king in Edom; a deputy was king. (1 Kings xxii. 47.) But in the days of Jehoram his son, they revolted, and recovered their liberties, and made a king over themselves. (2 Kings viii. 20.) But afterwards Amaziah king of Judah slew of Edom in the valley of salt ten thousand, and took Selah by war, and called the name of it Joktheel unto this day, says the sacred historian. (2 Kings xiv. 7.) And other ten thousand left alive, did the children of Judah carry away captive, and brought them unto the top of the rock, whereon Selah was built, and cast them down from the top of the rock, that they were broken all in pieces. (2 Chron. xxv. 12.) His son Azariah or Uzziah likewise took from them Elah, that commodious haven on the Red Sea, and fortified it anew, and restored it to Judah. (2 Kings xiv. 22. 2 Chron. xxvi. 2.) Judas Maccabaeus attacked and defeated them several times, killed no fewer than twenty thousand at one time, and more than twenty thousand at another, and took their chief city Hebron, and the towns thereof, and pulled down the fortress of it, and burned the towers thereof round about. (1 Macc. v. 2 Macc. x.) At last his nephew, (7) Hyrcanus the Son of Simon, took others of their cities, and reduced them to the necessity of embracing the Jewish religion, or of leaving their country and seeking new habitations elsewhere, whereupon they submitted to be circumcised, and became proselytes to the Jewish religion, and ever after were incorporated into the Jewish church and nation.

III. In situation and other temporal advantages they should be much alike. For it was said to Jacob, God give thee of the dew of heaven, and of the fatness of the earth, and plenty of corn and wine: and much the same is said to Esau, Behold thy dwelling shall be of the fatness of the earth, and of the dew of heaven from above. In this manner the latter clause is translated in (8) Jerome's and the old versions: but some modern commentators, (9) (Castalius, le Clerc, &c.) render it otherwise, that his dwelling should be far from the fatness of the earth, and from the dew of heaven: and they say that Idumea, the country of the Edomites, was a dry, barren, and desert country. But it is not probable, that any good

---

(6) From about the year of the world 2960 before Christ 1041 to about the year of the world 3115 before Christ 809. See Usher's Annals.


(8) In pinguedine terre, et in rore celli desuper. [In the fatness of the earth, and in the dew of heaven from above.]

(9) A terra pinguedine absorbit. Cast. [He shall be at a distance from the fatness of the earth. See Castalio.] A pinguedine quidem terra remota erit sedes tua, neque rore celli fecunditudinibus. — Nec sane Idumea fecunda aut pingui solo, aut tempesstitus pluvius rigata fuit. Clericus in locum. [Thy habitation shall be remote indeed from the fatness of the earth, nor shall it be fertilized by the dew of heaven — And truly, the land of Edom was not rich, fertile, or well watered. See Le Clerc on the passage.]
author should use the (1) very same words with the very same prepositions in one sense, and within a few lines after in a quite contrary sense. Besides Esau solicited for a blessing; and the author of the epistle to the Hebrews saith (xi. 20.) that Isaac blessed Jacob and Esau; whereas he consigned Esau to such a barren and wretched country, it would have been a curse rather than a blessing. The spiritual blessing indeed, or the promise of the blessed seed could be given only to one; but temporal good things might be communicated and imparted to both. Mount Seir and the adjacent country was at first the possession of the Edomites; they afterwards extended themselves farther into Arabia; as they did afterwards into the southern parts of Judea. But wherever they were situated, we find in fact that the Edomites in temporal advantages were little inferior to the Israelites. Esau had cattle, and beasts, and substance in abundance, and he went to dwell in Seir of his own accord, and he would hardly have removed thither with so many cattle, had it been such a barren and desolate country, as some would represent it. (Gen. xxxiv. 6, 7, 8.) The Edomites had dukes and kings reigning over them, while the Israelites were slaves in Egypt. In their return out of Egypt when the Israelites desired leave to pass thro’ the territories of Edom, it appears that the country abounded with fruitful fields and vineyards; Let us pass, I pray thee, thro’ thy country: we will not pass thro’ the fields, or thro’ the vineyards, neither will we drink of the water of the wells. (Numb. xx. 17.) And the prophecy of Malachi, (i. 2.) which is commonly alleged as a proof of the barrenness of the country, is rather an argument to the contrary: And I hated Esau, and laid his mountains end his heritage waste, for the dragons of the wilderness: for this implies that the country was fruitful before, and that its present unfruitfulness was

(1) Ver. 28. terra pinguedinum de et, ceci terre de.
earth the of fatness the of and, heaven of dwe the of

Ver 29. desuper ceci de et, terra pinguedinum de above from heaven of dwe the of and, earth the of fatness the of

rather an effect of war and devastation, than any natural defect and failure in the soil. If the country is barren and unfruitful now, so neither is Judea what it was formerly. The face of any country is much changed in a long course of years, and it is totally a different thing, when a country is regularly cultivated by inhabitants living under a settled government, than when tyranny prevails, and the land is left desolate. It is also frequently seen that God, as the Psalmist saith, (cvii. 34.) turneth a fruitful land into barrenness for the wickedness of them that dwell therein.

IV. The elder branch should delight more in war and violence, but yet should be subdued by the younger. And by thy sword shalt thou live, and shalt serve thy brother. Esau himself might be said to live much by the sword, for he was a cunning hunter, a man of the field. (Gen. xxv. 27.) He and his children got possession of mount Seir by force and violence, by destroying and expelling from thence the Horites, the former inhabitants. (Deut. ii. 22.) We have no account, and therefore cannot pretend to say, by what means they spread themselves farther among the Arabsians; but it (2) appears, that upon a sedition and separation several of the Edomites came, and seised upon the south-west parts of Judea during the Babylonish captivity, and settled there ever afterwards. Both before and after this they were almost continually at war with the Jews; upon every occasion they were ready to join with their enemies: and when Nebuchadnezzar besieged Jerusalem, they encouraged him utterly to destroy the city, saying Rase it, rase it, even to the foundation thereof. (Psal. cxxiv. 7.) Even long after they were subdued by the Jews, they still retained the same martial spirit, for (3) Josephus in his time

giveth them the character of a turbulent and disorderly nation, always erect to commotions and rejoicing in changes, at the least adulation of those who beseech them beginning war, and hastening to battles as it were to a feast. Agreeably to this character, a little before the last siege of Jerusalem, they came at the entreaty of the zealots to assist them against the priests and people, and there together with the zealots committed unheard-of cruelties, and barbarously murdered Ananus the high-priest, from whose death Josephus dateth the destruction of the city.

V. However there was to be a time when the elder should have dominion, and shake off the yoke of the younger. And it shall come to pass when thou shalt have dominion, that thou shalt break his yoke from off thy neck. The word which we translate have dominion is capable of various interpretations. Some render it in the sense of laying down or shaking off, as the (4) Septuagint and the Vulgar Latin, and it shall come to pass that thou shalt shake off, and shalt loose his yoke from off thy neck. Some again render it in the sense of mourning or repenting, as the (5) Syriac, but if thou shalt repent, his yoke shall pass from off thy neck. But the most common rendering and most approved is, when thou shalt have dominion; and it is not said or meant, that they should have dominion over the seed of Jacob, but simply have dominion, as they had when they appointed a king of their own.

The (6) Jerusalem Targum thus paraphraseth the whole, And it shall be when the sons of Jacob attend to the law, and observe the precepts, they shall impose the yoke of servitude upon thy neck; but when they shall turn themselves away from studying the law, and neglect the precepts, behold then thou shalt shake off the yoke of servitude from thy neck. David imposed the yoke, and at that time the Jewish people observed the law. But the yoke was very galling to the Edomites from the first: and toward the latter end of Solomon's reign, Hada the Edomite of the blood royal, who had been carried into Egypt in his childhood, returned into his own country, and raised some disturbances (1 Kings xi.) but was not able to recover his throne, (7) his subjects being overawed by the garrisons which David had placed among them. But in the reign of Jehoram the son of Jehoshaphat king of Judah, the Edomites revolted from under the dominion of Judah and made themselves a king. Jehoram made some attempts to subdue them again, but could not prevail. So the Edomites revolted from under the hand of Judah unto this day, saith the author of the books of Chronicles: (2 Chron. xxi. 8, 10.) and hereby this part of the prophecy was fulfilled about nine hundred years after it was delivered.

VI. But in all spiritual gifts and graces the younger should be greatly superior, and be the happy instrument of conveying the blessing to all nations. In thee and in thy seed shall all the families of the earth be blessed: and hitherto are to be referred in their full force those expressions, Let people serve thee, and nations bow down to thee; Cursed be every one that curseth thee, and blessed be he that blesseth thee. The same promise was made to Abraham in the name of God, I will bless them that bless thee, and curse him that curseth thee: (Gen. xii. 3.) and it is here repeated to Jacob, and is thus paraphrased in the (8) Jerusalem Targum. 'He who curseth thee, shall be cursed as Balaam the son of Beor; and he who blesseth thee, shall be blessed, as Moses the prophet, the lawgiver of Israel.' It appears that Jacob was a man of more religion, and believed the divine promises more

(4) Sept., tempusque vetitum cum excusatis et solvatis jugum ejus de servitutibus suis. Vulg. [Translated in the text.]

(5) At si pensitentiam ergeris, praebetib jugum ejus a collo tuo. Syr. [Translated in the text.]

(6) Et eum cum operam dabunt sibi Jacob legi, et servabunt mandato, imponent jugum servitutis super collum tuum: quando autem averteriet se sibi Jacob, ut non sudeat legi, nee servaverint mandato, essen tue aulumpes jugum jugum servitutis eorum a collo tuo. Targ. Hieros. [Translated in the text.]


(8) Quisquis maledixit ibi Jacob ibi ini, maledixit ibi Balaam filius Beor: quisquis autem benedixit ibi, erit benedictus, sicut Moses prophet, legislator Israelitarum. Targ. Hieros. [Translated in the text.]
furnished of Jeremiah, (xlii. 7. & c.) of Ezekiel, (xxv. 12. & c.) of Joel, (iii. 19.) Amos, (i. 11. & c.) and Obadiah. And at this day we see the Jews subsisting as a distinct people, while Edom is no more. For agreeably to the words of Obadiah (ver. 10.) For thy violence against thy brother Jacob, shame shall cover thee, and thou shalt be cut off for ever: and again (ver. 18.) there shall not be any remaining of the house of Esau, for the Lord hath spoken it.

IV.

JACOB’S PROPHECIES CONCERNING HIS SONS, PARTICULARLY JUDAH.

It is an opinion of great antiquity, that the nearer men approach to their dissolution, their souls grow more divine, and discern more of futurity. We find this opinion as early as (1) Homer, for he represents the dying Patroclus foretelling the fate of Hector, and the dying Hector denouncing no less certainly the death of Achilles. Socrates in his Apology to the Athenians a little before his death (2) asserts the same opinion. But now, saith he, I am desirous to prophesy to you who have condemned me, what will happen hereafter. For now I am arrived at that state, in which men prophesy most, when they are about to die.’ His scholar (3) Xenophon introduces the dying Cyrus de-

(1.) Ilom. Iliad. XVI. 852. et Iliad. XXII. 339.
(3) καὶ τί τι τοῦ αὐτοῦ φυσι γενήτω τίτιν τινα καλαφροσυναίνειαν, καὶ τί τι τούτου.
antiquity of this opinion. And it is possible, that (7) old experience may in some cases attain to something like prophecy and divination. In some instances also God may have been pleased to comfort and enlighten departing souls with a prescience of future events. But what I conceive might principally give rise to this opinion, was the tradition of some of the patriarchs being divinely inspired in their last moments to foretell the state and condition of the people descended from them; as Jacob upon his death-bed summoned his sons together that he might inform them of what should befall them in the latter days or the last days; by which phrase some commentators understand the times of the Messiah, or the last great period of the world; and Mr. Whiston particularly (8) asserts, that it is generally, if not always, a characteristic and spiare of prophecies not to be fulfilled till the coming of the Messiah; and accordingly he supposes that these prophecies of Jacob more properly belong to the second coming of the Messiah, at the restoration of the twelve tribes hereafter. But the phrase of the latter days or last days in the Old Testament signifies any time that is yet to come, though sometimes it may relate to the times of the Messiah in particular, as it comprehends all future time in general: and hence it is used in prophecies that respect different times and periods. I will advertise thee, said Balaam to Balak, (Numb. xxiv. 14.) what this people shall do to thy people in the latter days: but what the Israelites did to the Moabites, was done long before the times of the Messiah. I know, saith Moses, (Deut. xxxi. 29.) that after my death ye will utterly corrupt yourselves, and turn aside from the way which I have commanded you, and evil will befall you in the latter days: where the latter days are much the same as the time after the death of Moses. There is a God in heaven, saith Daniel, (ii. 28.) that revealeth secrets, and maketh known to the

(7) Alluding to these lines of Milton,

Till old experience do attain
To something like prophetic strain.

The Prophecies.

(1 Chron. iv. 39, &c.) and so were divided from the rest of their brethren. A constant tradition too (9) hath prevailed among the Jews (which is also confirmed by the Jerusalem Targum) that the tribe of Simeon were so straitened in their situation and circumstances, that great numbers were necessitated to seek a subsistence among the other tribes, by teaching and instructing their children—Of Zebulun it is said, (ver. 13.) He shall dwell at the haven of the sea, and shall be for an haven of ships: and accordingly the tribe of Zebulun extended from the sea of Galilee to the Mediterranean, (Josh. xix. 10, &c.) where they had commodious havens for shipping. And how could Jacob have foretold the situation of any tribe, which was determined 200 years afterwards by casting of lots, unless he had been directed by that divine Spirit, who disposest of all events?—Of Benjamin it is said, (ver. 27.) He shall ravin as a wolf: and was not that a fierce and warlike tribe, as appears in several instances, and particularly in the case of the Levite's wife, (Judg. xx.) when they alone waged war against all the other tribes, and overcame them in two battles?

In this manner he characterizes these and the other tribes, and foretells their temporal condition, and that of Judah as well as the rest: Binding his woe unto the vine, and his asses coll unto the choice vine, he washed his garments in wine, and his clothes in the blood of grapes. His eyes shall be red with wine, and his teeth white with milk: (ver. 11, 12.) and not to mention the valley of Eshcol and other fruitful places, the mountains about Jerusalem, by the accounts of the best travelers, were particularly fitted for the cultivation of the vine, and for the feeding of cattle. “The blessing, says (1) Dr. Shaw, that was

(9) Tradunt quoque Hebræi, scribas, paedotribas, pedagogos, et doctores puorum fere omnes ex tribu Schimeon fuisse, qui, ut inalien unde vicenum, sparsim et oppidum pueros informasse velabantur. Cui sententia adstiputatur et Thargum Hieros. &c. Fagius. [The Jews also have a tradition that the writers, tutors, schoolmasters, and teachers of youth were almost all of the tribe of Simeon, who, that they might procure a subsistence were forced to live separately, in the towns and villages of their brethren. This opinion is embraced by the author of the Jerusalem Targum. See Fagius.]

(1) Shaw's Travels, p. 366, 367.
given to Judah, was not of the same kind, with the blessing of Asher, or of Issachar that his bread should be fat, or his land should be pleasant, but that his eyes should be red with wine, and his teeth should be white with milk." He farther observes that "the mountains of the country abound with shrubs and a delicate short grass, both which the cattle are more fond of, than of such plants as are common to fallow grounds and meadows. Neither was this method of grazing peculiar to this country; inasmuch as it is still practised all over mount Libanus, the Castravan mountains and Barbary; in all which places the higher grounds are set apart for this use, and the plains and valleys for tillage. For besides the good management and economy, there is this farther advantage, that the milk of cattle fed in this manner is far more rich and delicious, as their flesh is more sweet and nourishing. It may be presumed likewise, that the vine was not neglected, in a soil and exposition so proper for it to thrive in." He mentions particularly the many tokens which are to be met with, of the ancient vineyards about Jerusalem and Hebron, and the great quantity of grapes and raisins, which are from thence brought daily to the markets of Jerusalem, and sent yearly to Egypt.

But Jacob bequests to Judah particularly the spiritual blessing, and delivers it in much the same form of word that it was delivered to him. Isaac had said to Jacob, (Gen. xxvii. 29.) Let people serve thee, and nations bow down to thee; be lord over thy brethren, and let thy mother's sons bow down to thee: and here Jacob saith to Judah, (ver. 8.) Thou art he whom thy brethren shall praise; thy hand shall be in the neck of thy enemies; thy father's children shall bow down before thee. And for greater certainty it is added, (ver. 10.) The scepter shall not depart from Judah, nor a lawgiver from between his feet, until Shiloh come, and unto him shall the gathering of the people be. I will not trouble the reader or myself with a detail of the various interpretations which have been put upon this passage, but will only offer that which appears to me the plainest, easiest, and best; I will first explain the words and meaning of the prophecy, and then show the full and exact completion of it. They who are curious to know the various interpretations of the learned, may find an account of them in (2) Huetius and (3) De Clerc: but no one hath treated the subject in a more masterly manner than the present (4) Lord Bishop of London; and we shall principally tread in his footsteps, as we cannot follow a better guide.

I. The scepter shall not depart from Judah. The word שבט, which we translate a scepter, signifies a rod or staff of any kind; and particularly the rod or staff which (5) belonged to each tribe as an ensign of their authority; and thence it is transferred to signify a tribe, as being united under one rod or staff of government, or a ruler of a tribe; and in this sense it is used twice in this very chapter, (ver. 16.) Dan shall judge his people as one of the tribes or rulers of Israel; and again (ver. 28.) All these are the twelve tribes or rulers of Israel. It hath the same signification in 2 Sam. vii. 7. In all the places wherein I have walked with all the children of Israel, spake I a word with any of the tribes or rulers of Israel (in the parallel place of Chronicles. 1 Chron. xvi. 6. it is judges of Israel) whom I commanded to feed my people Israel, saying, Why build ye not me an house of cedar? The word doth indeed sometimes signify a scepter, but that is apt to convey an idea of kingly authority, which was not the

(2) Demonstratio Evangelica, Prop. 9. Cap. 4.
(3) Comment. in Isaiam.
(4) See the 3d Dissertation in Bishop Sherlock's Discourses of the Use and Intent of Prophecy.
(5) Bishop Sherlock hath cited to this purpose Memochius de Repub. Hebr. Lib. i. Cap. 4. Traductum vero nomen est ad significandum tribum—quod unicaeque tribus suis praeclarum vigum habebat, nomine sua inscriptioni, quan tum tribum principes—numin gestare coeurevantis.—Cum Dominus Aaronom his verbis alterquitur, et dictis tuis de tribu Levi, et sceptrum patri tuo in vam incum, intellegi sceptrum ipsum, et totam tribum que sceptro significabilitur, et regi nabatur. [But the name (of a rod) hath been transferred to denote a tribe, because each tribe was in possession of its peculiar rod, with its name inscribed thereon, which the princes of the tribes used to carry in their hand. When the Lord addressed Aaron in these words, but take thou with thee, both thy brethren of the tribe of Levi and the rod of thy father, we are to understand both the rod itself, and the whole tribe, which was signified, and ruled, by the rod.]
thing intended here: and the (6) Seventy translate it αρχιτης a ruler, which answers better to a lawgiver in the following clause. It could not with any sort of propriety be said, that the scepter should not depart from Judah, when Judah had no scepter, nor was to have any for many generations afterwards; but Judah had a rod or staff of a tribe, for he was then constituted a tribe as well as the rest of his brethren. The very same expression occurs in Zechariah, (x. 11.) and the scepter of Egypt shall depart away, which implies that Egypt had a scepter, and that that scepter should be taken away; but no grammar or language could justify the saying that Judah's scepter should depart or be taken away, before Judah was in possession of any scepter. Would it not therefore be better, to substitute the word staff or ruler instead of scepter, unless we restrain the meaning of a scepter to a rod or staff of a tribe, which is all that is here intended? The staff or ruler shall not depart from Judah. The tribeship shall not depart from Judah. Such authority as Judah had then, was to remain with his posterity. It is not said or meant, that he should not cease from being a king or having a kingdom, for he was then no king; and had no kingdom; but only that he should not cease from being a tribe or body politic, having rulers and governors of his own, till a certain period here foretold.

Nor a lawgiver from between his feet. The sense of the word scepter will help us to fix and determin the meaning of the other word קפוח mechok, which we translate a lawgiver. For if they are not synonimous, they are not very different. Such as the government is, such must be the lawgiver. The government was only of a single tribe, and the lawgiver could be of no more. Nor had the tribe of Judah at any time a legislative authority over all the other tribes, no, not even in the reigns of David and Solomon. When David appointed the officers for the service of the temple; (1 Chron. xxv. I. Ezra viii. 20.) and when Solomon was appointed king and Zadok priest; (1 Chron. xxix. 22.) these things were done with the consent and approbation of the princes and rulers of Israel. Indeed the whole nation had but one law, and one lawgiver in the strict sense of the word. The king himself was not properly a lawgiver; he was only to have a copy of the law, to read therein, and to turn not aside from the Commandment, to the right hand or to the left. (Deut. xvii. 18,) Moses was truly, as he is styled, the lawgiver: (Num. xxxi. 18. Deut. xxxii. 21.) and when the word is applied to any other person or persons, as Judah is twice called by the Psalmist (Psal. lx. 7. 8.) my lawgiver, it is used in a lower signification. For it signifies not only a lawgiver, but a judge: not only one who maketh laws, but likewise one who exerciseth jurisdiction: and in the (7) Greek it is translated ιερευς a leader or president, in the (8) Chaldee a scribe, in the (9) Syriac an expositor, and in our English bible it is elsewhere translated a governor, as in Judges (v. 14.) Out of Machir came down governors, and out of Zebulun they that handle the pen of the writer. The lawgiver therefore is to be taken in a restrained sense as well as the scepter; and perhaps it cannot be translated better, than judge: Nor a judge from between his feet. Whether we understand it, that a judge from between his feet shall not depart from Judah, or a judge shall not depart from between his feet, I conceive the meaning to be much the same, that there should not be wanting a judge of the race and posterity of Judah, according to the Hebrew phrase of children's coming from between the feet. They who expound it of sitting at the feet of Judah, seem not to have considered that this was the place of scholars, and not of judges and doctors of the law. As Dan (ver. 16.) was to judge his people as one of the tribes or rulers of Israel; so was Judah, and with this particular prerogative, that the staff or ruler should not depart from Judah,

(6) ουκ εισελθη αρχιτης της Ιουδαιας. [A ruler out of Judah shall not be wanting.] Sept.
(7) και ερυθην ιερευς της μητρος αυτης. [And a leader from his loins.] Sept.
(8) Neque scribatur a filius filiorum ejus. [Nor a scribe from his children's children.] Chald.
(9) Et expositor de inter pedes ejus. [And an expositor from between his feet.] Syr.
not a judge from between his feet, until the time here foretold, which we are now to examine and ascertain.

Until Shiloh come, that is, until the coming of the Messiah, as almost all interpreters, both ancient and modern, agree. For howsoever they may explain the word, and whencesoever they may derive it, the Messiah is the person plainly intended.—The Vulgar Latin translates it Qui mittendum est, He who is to be sent; and to favor this version that passage in St. John's Gospel (ix. 7.) is usually cited, Go wash in the pool of Siloam, which is by interpretation sent: And who was ever sent with such power and authority from God as the Messiah, who frequently speaketh of himself in the Gospel under the denomination of him whom the Father hath sent?—The Seventy translate it το αποκημικα αυτοις the things reserved for him, or according to other copies το αποκημικα he for whom it is reserved: And what was the great treasure reserved for Judah, or who was the person for whom all things were reserved, but the Messiah, whom we have declaring in the Gospel, (Matt. xi. 27.) All things are delivered unto me of my Father, and again (xxviii. 18.) All power is given unto me in heaven and in earth?—the Syriac translates it to the same purpose is cujus illud est, he whose it is, I suppose meaning the kingdom; and the Arabic cujus ipse est, whose he is, I suppose meaning Judah: And whose was Judah, or whose was the kingdom so properly as the Messiah's who is so many times predicted under the character of the king of Israel?—Junius and Tremellius with others (3) translate it filius ejus his son: And who could be this son of Judah by way of eminence, but the Messiah, the seed in which all the nations of the earth shall be blessed?—In the Samaritan text and version it is pacificus, the peace-maker; and (4) this per-

haps is the best explication of the word: And to whom can this or any the like title be so justly applied as to the Messiah, who is emphatically stiled (Is. ix. 6) the prince of peace, and at whose birth was sung that heavenly anthem, (Luke ii. 15.) Glory to God in the highest, and on earth peace, good will towards men?

These are the principal interpretations, and which ever of these you prefer, the person understood must be the Messiah. But the learned Mr. Le Clerc would explain the text in such a manner as utterly to exclude the Messiah: and he was a very able commentator, the best perhaps upon the Pentateuch; but like other learned men, he was sometimes apt to indulge strange unaccountable fancies. Of this kind, I conceive, is his interpretation of this prophecy; for he (3) says that Shiloh signifies finis ejus aut cessatio, his end or ceasing, and that it may be referred to the lawgiver, or to the scepter, or even to Judah himself. But if it be referred to the lawgiver, or to the scepter, what is it but an unmeaning tautology. There shall be a lawgiver as long as there shall be a lawgiver. There shall not be an end of the scepter till the end of the scepter come? If it be referred to Judah or the tribe of Judah, the thing is by no means true; for the tribe of Judah subsisted, long after they had lost the kingdom, and were deprived of all royal authority. Not many readers, I imagine, will concur with this learned commentator. The generality of interpreters, Jewish as well as Christian, have by Shiloh always understood the Messiah. The Targum of Onkelos is commonly (6) supposed to have been made before our Saviour's time, and he (7) thus expresseth the sense of the passage, 'There Shilah tranquillus, pacificus fuit, in the same manner as γεγυ ατοκα τινς fumus is formed from καινομ υποσ μιγεται; and there are other words of that formation.

(1) As if St. Jerome had read שִׁלוֹחַ instead of שִׁלֹה, and hath derived it from שִׁלֹה Shiloh, and שִׁלוֹחַ Shiloh, and hath derived it from שִׁלוֹחַ Shiloh, which in Chaldee signifies exsurrexisse, descryere—finis aut cessatio verti poterit. The posito, finis ejus poterit ad leges temporarum ad secessuam referri, aut statim ad ipsum Judah. This being supposed, his end may be referred to the lawgiver, or to the scepters or even to Judah himself. Comment in locum.

(2) Deriving it from ש t quod or que, and ל ו in.

(3) As if it was derived from שָׁלֹח Shal, and Shal in the same as שִׁלֹה Shiloh, which in Chaldee signifies essurrexisse, descryere—finis aut cessatio verti poterit. The posito, finis ejus poterit ad leges temporarum ad secessuam referri, aut statim ad ipsum Judah. This being supposed, his end may be referred to the lawgiver, or to the scepters or even to Judah himself. Comment in locum.

(4) See Prideaux, Connect. Part 2, 9, 3, Anno 27.

(5) Nor saucerum labatur principatum a domo Juda, neque scriba filium ejus, neque in regnum; duces veniant Messias, cujus est regnum. [Translated in the text.]
some others. And each of these interpretations may very well be justified by the event.

II. Having thus explained the words and meaning of the prophecy, I now proceed to shew the full and exact completion of it. The twelve sons of Jacob are here constituted twelve tribes or heads of tribes, (ver. 28.) *All these are the twelve tribes of Israel; and this it is that their father spake unto them, and blessed them; every one according to his blessing he blessed them.* To Judah particularly it was promised, that the scepter or rod of the tribe should not depart from him, nor a judge or lawgiver from between his feet; his tribe should continue a distinct tribe with rulers and judges and governors of its own, until the coming of the Messiah. The people of Israel after this settlement of their government were reckoned by their tribes, but never before. It appears that they were reckoned by their tribes and according to their families, while they sojourned in Egypt; and the tribe of Judah made as considerable a figure as any of them. In number it was superior to the others: (Numb. i. and xxvi.) it had the first rank in the armies of Israel: (Numb. ii.) it marched first against the Canaanites: (Judg. i.) and upon all occasions manifested such courage as fully answered the character given of it, (ver. 9.) *Judah is a lion's whelp; from the prey, my son, thou art gone up: he stooped down, he couched as a lion, and as an old lion, who shall rouse him up?* If the first king of Israel was of the tribe of Benjamin, the second was of the tribe of Judah; and from that time to the Babylonish captivity Judah had not only the scepter of a tribe, but likewise the scepter of a kingdom. When it was promised to Judah particularly that the scepter should not depart from him, it was implied that it should depart from the other tribes: and accordingly the tribe of Benjamin became a sort of appendage to the kingdom of Judah; and the other ten tribes were after a time carried away captive into Assyria, from whence they never returned. The Jews also were carried captive to Babylon, but returned after seventy years: and during their captivity they were far from being treated as slaves, as it appears from the prophet's advice to them:
(Jerem. xxix. 5, &c.) Build ye houses, and dwell in them; and plant gardens, and eat the fruit of them, &c. and many of them were so well fixed and settled at Babylon, and lived there in such ease and affluence, that they refused to return to their native country. In their captivity they were still allowed to live as a distinct people, appointed feasts and fasts for themselves, and had rulers and governors of their own, as we may collect from several places in Ezra and Nehemiah. When Cyrus had issued his proclamation for the rebuilding of the temple, then rose up the chief of the fathers, saith Ezra; (i. 5.) so that they had chiefs and rulers among them. Cyrus ordered the vessels of the temple to be delivered to the prince of Judah; (Ezra i. 8.) so that they had then a prince of Judah. And these princes and rulers, who are often mentioned, managed their return and settlement afterwards. It is true that after the Babylonian captivity they were not so free a people as before, living under the dominion of the Persians, Greeks, and Romans; but still they lived as a distinct people under their own laws. The authority of their rulers and elders subsisted under these foreign masters, as it had even while they were in Egypt. It subsisted under the Asmonean princes, as it had under the government of the Judges, and Samuel, and Saul; for in the books of Maccabees there is frequent mention of the rulers and elders and council of the Jews, and of public acts and memorials in their name. It subsisted even in our Saviour's time, for in the Gospels we read often of the chief priests and the scribes and the elders of the people. Their power indeed in capital causes, especially such as related to the state, was abridged in some measure; they might judge, but not execute without the consent of the Roman governor, as I think we must infer from this passage, (John xviii. 31.) Then said Pilate unto them, Take ye him, and judge him according to your law: the Jews therefore said unto him, It is not lawful for us to put any man to death. The scepter was then departing, and in about forty years afterwards it totally departed. Their city was taken, their temple was destroyed, and they themselves were either slain with the sword, or sold for slaves. And from that time to this they have never formed one body or society, but have been dispersed among all nations; their tribes and genealogies have been all confounded, and they have lived without a ruler, without a lawgiver, and without supreme authority and government in any part of the earth. And this a captivity not for seventy years, but for seventeen hundred. "Nor will they ever be able (as the learned (1) prelate expresseth it) after all their pretences, to shew any signs or marks of the scepter among them, till they discover the unknown country "where never mankind dwelt, and where the apocryphal "Esdras has placed their brethren of the ten tribes." (2 Esdras xiii. 41.)

We have seen the exact completion of the former part of the prophecy, and now let us attend to that of the latter part, And unto him shall the gathering of the people be. If we understand this of Judah, that the other tribes should be gathered to that tribe, it was in some measure fulfilled by the people's going up so frequently as they did to Jerusalem, which was in the tribe of Judah, in order to obtain justice in difficult cases, and to worship God in his holy temple. Whither the tribes go up, (saith the Psalmist cxxii. 4, 5.) the tribes of the Lord; unto the testimony of Israel, to give thanks unto the name of the Lord. For there are set thrones of judgment; the thrones of the house of David. Upon the division of the kingdoms of Israel and Judah, the tribe of Benjamin, and the priests and Levites, and several out of all the other tribes, (2 Chron. xi. 13, 16.) went over to Judah, and were so blended and incorporated together, that they are more than once spoken of under the notion of one tribe: (1 Kings xi. 13, 32, 36.) and it is said expressly (1 Kings xii. 20.) there was none that followed the house of David, but the tribe of Judah only; all the rest were swallowed up in that tribe, and considered as parts and members of it. In like manner, when the Israelites were carried away captive into Assyria, it is said (2 Kings xvii. 18.) there

(1) Bishop Sherlock's Dissertat. 3d. p. 551. Edit. 5.
was none left but the tribe of Judah only; and yet we know that the tribe of Benjamin, and many of the other tribes remained too, but they are reckoned as one and the same tribe with Judah. Nay at this very time there was a remnant of Israel, that escaped from the Assyrians, and went and adhered to Judah: for we find afterwards, that in the reign of Josiah there were some of Manasseh and Ephraim and of the remnant of Israel, who contributed money to the repairing of the temple, as well as Judah and Benjamin; (2 Chor. xxxiv. 9.) and at the solemn celebration of the passover some of Israel were present as well as all Judah and the inhabitants of Jerusalem. When the people returned from the Babylonish captivity, then again several of the tribes of Israel associated themselves and returned with Judah and Benjamin; and in Jerusalem dwelt of the children of Judah, and of the children of Benjamin, and of the children of Ephraim and Manasseh. (1 Chron. ix. 3.) At so many different times, and upon such different occasions, the other tribes were gathered to this tribe, insomuch that Judah became the general name of the whole nation; and after the Babylonish captivity they were no longer called the people of Israel, but the people of Judah or Jews.

Again; if we understand this of Shiloh or the Messiah, that the people or Gentiles should be gathered to his obedience, it is no more than is foretold in many other prophecies of scripture; and it began to be fulfilled in Cornelius the centurion, whose conversion (Acts x.) was as I may say the first fruits of the Gentiles, and the harvest afterwards was very plenteous. In a few years the gospel was disseminated, and took root downward, and bore fruit upward in the most considerable parts of the world then known; and in Constantine’s time, when the empire became Christian, it might with some propriety be said, the kingdoms of this world are become the kingdoms of our Lord and of his Christ, and he shall reign for ever and ever. (Rev. xi. 15.) We ourselves were of the Gentiles, but are now gathered unto Christ.

Lastly; if we join this in construction with the words preceding until Shiloh come, two events are specified as forerunners of the scepter’s departing from Judah, the coming of the Messiah, and the gathering of the Gentiles to him; and these together point out with greater exactness the precise time of the scepter’s departure. Now it is certain that before the destruction of Jerusalem, and the dissolution of the Jewish commonwealth by the Romans, the Messiah was not only come, but great numbers likewise of the Gentiles were converted to him. The very same thing was predicted by our Saviour himself, (Matt. xxiv. 14.) This gospel of the kingdom shall be preached in all the world, for a witness unto all nations, and then shall the end come, the destruction of Jerusalem, and end of the Jewish constitution. The Jews were not to be cut off, till the Gentiles were grafted into the church. And in fact we find that the apostles and their companions preached the gospel in all the parts of the world then known. Their sound (as St. Paul applies the saying, Rom. x. 18.) went into all the earth, and their words unto the ends of the world. And then the end came, then an end was put to the Jewish polity in church and state. The government of the tribe of Judah had subsisted in some form or other from the death of Jacob to the last destruction of Jerusalem; but then it was utterly broken and ruined; then the scepter departed and hath been departed ever since. And now even the distinction of tribes is in great measure lost among them; they are called Jews, but the tribe of Judah is so far from bearing rule, that they know not for certain which is the tribe of Judah; and all the world is witness, that they exercise dominion nowhere, but every where live in subjection.

Before we conclude, it may not be improper to add a just observation of the learned prelate before cited. As the tribe of Benjamin annexed itself to the tribe of Judah as its head, so it ran the same fortune with it; they went together into captivity, they returned home together, and were both in being when Shiloh came. This also was foretold by Jacob, (ver. 27.) Benjamin shall ram as a wolf; in the morning he shall devour the prey, and at night he shall divide the spoil. The morning and
night here can be nothing else but the (2) morning and night of the Jewish state; for this state is the subject of all Jacob's prophecy from one end to the other: and consequently it is here foretold of Benjamin, that he should continue to the very last times of the Jewish state. This interpretation is confirmed by Moses's prophecy, for the prophecy of Moses is in truth an exposition of Jacob's prophecy. Benjamin, saith Moses, (Deut. xxxiii. 12.) shall dwell in safety; the Lord shall cover him all the day long. What is this all the day long? The same certainly as the morning and night. Does not this import a promise of a longer continuance to Benjamin, than to the other tribes? And was it not most exactly fulfilled?

To conclude. This prophecy and the completion of it will furnish us with an invincible argument, not only that the Messiah is come, but also that Jesus Christ is the person. For the scepter was not to depart from Judah, until the Messiah should come: but the scepter hath long been departed, and consequently the Messiah hath been long come. The scepter departed at the final destruction of Jerusalem, and hath been departed seventeen centuries; and consequently the Messiah came a little before that period: and if the Messiah came a little before that period, prejudice itself cannot long make any doubt concerning the person. All considerate men must say, as Simon Peter said to Jesus, (John vi. 68, 69.) Lord, to whom shall we go? thou hast the words of eternal life. And we believe, and are sure that thou art the Christ, the son of the living God.

(2) Thus some Jewish interpreters referred to by Eusebius, understood the expression, Manc. id est primus Israelitici regn. temporibus—Sub vesperam, id est post captivitatem Babylonicam tempus. [In the morning, that is, in the first or early times of the Israelitish Kingdoms. In the evening, that is, after the time of the Babylonish captivity.]—Zunzonic. Par. prior. Lib. 3. Cap. 10. Col. 838.
Balaam was a remarkable instance of both kinds, both of a prophet who was a heathen, and of a prophet who was an immoral man. He came from Aram or Mesopotamia, out of the mountains of the east: (Numb. xxiii. 7. Deut. xxiii. 4.) and the east was famous for soothsayers and diviners. (Is. ii. 6.) However he was a worshipper of the true God, (as were also Melchizedek, and Job, and others of the heathen nations) and this appears by his applying to God, (Numb. xxii. 8.) I will bring you word again, as the Lord shall speak unto me; and by his calling the Lord his God, (ver. 18.) I cannot go beyond the word of the Lord my God to do less or more. But his worship was mixed and debased with superstition, as appears by his building seven altars, and sacrificing on each altar, (Numb. xxiii. 1, 2.) and by his going to seek for enchantments, whatever they were (Numb. xxiv. 1.) He appears to have had some pious thoughts and resolutions, by declaring I cannot go beyond the word of the Lord my God to do less or more: and by so earnestly wishing, Let me die the death of the righteous, and let my last end be like his. (xxiii. 10.) But his heart was unsound, was mercenary, was corrupt; he loved the wages of unrighteousness, (2 Pet. ii. 15.) and ran greedily after rewards: (Jude 11.) His inclinations were contrary to his duty; he was ordered to stay, but yet he wished to go; he was commanded to bless, but yet he longed to curse; and when he found that he was overruled, and could do the people no hurt as a prophet, he still contrived to do it as a politician, and taught Balak to cast a stumbling block before the children of Israel, to eat things sacrificed unto idols, and to commit fornication. (Rev. ii. 14.) So that he was indeed a strange mixture of a man; but so is every man more or less. There are inconsistencies and contradictions in every character, though not so great perhaps and notorious as in Balaam. If he is called a soothsayer in one part of the scripture, (Josh. xiii. 22.) in another part he is called a prophet; (2 Pet. ii. 16.) and his name must have been in high credit and estimation, that the king of Moab and the elders of Midian should think it worth their while to send two honourable embassies to him at a considerable distance, to engage him to come and curse the people of Israel. It was a superstitious ceremony in use among the Heathens to devote their enemies to destruction at the beginning of their wars, as if the gods would enter into their passions, and were as unjust and partial as themselves. The Romans had public officers to perform the ceremony, and (1) Macrobius hath preserved the form of these execrations. Now Balaam being a prophet of great note and eminence, it was believed that he was more intimate than others with the heavenly powers, and consequently that his imprecations would be more effectual; for as Balak said unto him, (Numb. xxii. 6.) I wit that he whom thou blessest is blessed, and he whom thou cursest is cursed.

But the strangest incident of all is the part of Balaam's ass. This usually is made the grand objection to the truth of the story. The speaking ass from that time to this hath been the standing jest of every infidel brother. Philo the Jew seemeth to have been ashamed of this part of the story: for in the first book of his life of Moses, wherein he hath given an account of Balaam, he hath purposely omitted this particular of the ass's speaking; I suppose not to give offence to the Gentiles; but he needed not to have been so cautious of offending them, for similar stories were current among them. The learned (2) Bochart hath collected several instances, the ass of Bacchus, the ram of Plirixus, the horse of Achilles, and the like, not only from the poets and mythologists, but also from the gravest historians, such as Livy and Plutarch, who frequently affirm that oxen have spoken. The proper use of citing such authorities is not to prove, that those instances and this of Balaam are upon an equal footing; and equally true; but only to prove, that the Gentiles believed such things to be true, and to lie within the power of their gods, and consequently could not object to the truth of scripture-history on this account. Maimonides and others have

(1) Saturnal. Lib. 3. Cap. 9.
conceived, that the matter was transacted in a vision; and it must be confessed that many things in the writings of the prophets are spoken of as real transactions, which were only visionary; and these visions made us strong impressions upon the minds of the prophets as realities. But it appears rather more probable from the whole tenor of the narration, that this was no visionary, but a real transaction. The words of St. Peter show, that it is to be understood, as he himself understood it, literally: (2 Pet. ii. 14, 15, 16.) Cursed children: Which have forsaken the right way, and are gone astray, following the way of Balaam the son of Bosor, who loved the wages of unrighteousness; but was rebufed for his iniquity; the dumb ass speaking with man’s voice, forbad the madness of the prophet. The ass was enabled to utter such and such sounds, probably, as parrots do, without understanding them: and say what you will of the construction of the ass’s mouth, of the formation of the tongue and jaws being unfit for speaking, yet an adequate cause is assigned for this wonderful effect, for it is said expressly, that the Lord opened the mouth of the ass; and no one who believes a God, can doubt of his having power to do this, and much more. If the whole transaction was visionary, no reason can be given why it was said particularly that the Lord opened the mouth of the ass. But it is thought strange that Balaam should express no surprise upon this extraordinary occasion; but perhaps he had been accustomed to prodigies with his enchantments: or perhaps believing the eastern doctrin of the transmigration of human souls into the bodies of brutes, he might think such a humanized brute not incapable of speaking: or perhaps he might not regard, or attend to the wonder, through excess of rage and madness, as the word is in St. Peter: or perhaps (which is the most probable of all) he might be greatly disturbed and astonished, as (3) Josephus affirms he was, and yet
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Moses in his short history might omit this circumstance. The miracle was by no means needless or superfluous; it was very proper to convince Balaam, that the mouth and tongue were under God’s direction, and that the same divine power which caused the dumb ass to speak contrary to its nature, could make him in like manner utter blessings contrary to his inclination. And accordingly he was over-rulled to bless the people, tho’ he came prepared and disposed to curse them, which according to (4) Bochart was the greater miracle of the two, for the ass was merely passive, but Balaam resisted the good motions of God. We may be the more certain that he was influenced to speak contrary to his inclination, because after he had done prophesying, though he had been ordered in anger to depart and flee to his place; (Numb. xxiv. 10, 11.) yet he had the meanness to stay, and gave that wicked counsel, whereby the people were inticed to commit whoredom with the daughters of Moab, and twenty and four thousand died in the plague. (Numb. xv.)

This miracle then was a proper sign to Balaam, and had a proper effect; and we may the more easily believe it, when we find Balaam afterwards inspired with such knowledge of futurity. It was not more above the natural capacity of the ass to speak, than it was above the natural capacity of Balaam to foretell so many distant events. The prophecies render the miracle more credible; and we shall have less reason to doubt of the one, when we


(4) Radh. in Numeros Sect. 20. Deum asservit ut anima idea sperisse, ut Balaamum duceret, ut ut ingram potest esse, aliqua ut ipsa Balaam, si quæreret Israelis molestias. Et vero id deum eventus, cum Balaam ipse invitus beneficit, quibus molestiá tumus tantó apparatu vēnerat, non minore oraculo, aut eftani majore, quam cum assa locutus est. Asina enim crat merē patiens, sed Balaam moventi Deo proviò obsibat, ut Sauli, cum prophētandam egi. Hierozoic. Pars prior. Lib. 2. Cap. 11. [Radh. in his twentieth section on the book of Numbers, affirms that God opened the mouth of Balaam’s ass, to teach him, that the mouth and the tongue were in his power, and so those of Balaam himself, if he should go about to curse Israel. And indeed, the event itself shewed the same thing, seeing Balaam in spite of all that could be done, blessed the very persons, whom with so much parade he had come to curse. This was even a greater miracle than when the ass spoke. For the ass was merely passive, whereas Balaam to the utmost opposed himself to the influences of God, as Saul did when he prophesied.]
see the accomplishment of the others. His predictions are indeed wonderful, whether we consider the matter or the style; as if the same divine Spirit that inspired his thoughts, had also raised his language. They are called parables in the sacred text: he took up his parable and said. The same word is used after the same manner in the book of Job, (xxvii. 1. xxxix. 1.) Moreover Job continued his parable, and said. It is commonly translated parable or proverb. Le Clerc translates it figurationem: and thereby is meant a weighty and solemn speech delivered in figurative and majestic language. Such, remarkably such (5) are the prophecies

(5) See to this purpose Mr. Lowth's poetical Preflections, particularly Preflect. 4. p. 41. Preflect. 18. p. 173, and his ingenious version of part of Balaam's prophecies into Latin verse, Preflect. 20. p. 206. The learned reader will not be displeased to see it here

Tuis, Jacobo, quanta est castitas decor?
Tuisque signis, Israel!
Ut rigena vallis fertilem pandens sinuum;
Horti ut secatentes rivulis;
Sacres Edemae costit in sylvis vircent,
Cedrique propter illumina.
Illi ulla multo rote stellant germina,
Factusque alunt juges aquae.
Sancti usque fines promovet imperi
Rex usque victor hostium.
Illum subaece duxit ab Nilo Deus,
Novis supernum viribus,
Qualis remotis liber in jugis oryx
Fert cela cedro cornua,
Vorabit hostes; ossa frangat; irritas
Lacerabit hastas dentibus.
Ut Leo, recumbit; ut leona, decubat;
Quis ausert alcessere?
Quam quisque tibi precabitur, ferat bona!
Mala que precabitur, laet!

"In proud array thy teats expand,
O Israel, o'er the subject land;
As the broad vales in prospect rise,
As gardens by the waters spread;
As cedars of majestic size,
That shade the sacred fountain's head.
Thy torrents shall the earth o'erflow,
O'ermelowing each obdurate foe.
In vain the mind essays to trace
The glories of thy countless race;
In vain thy king's imperial state
Shall haughty Agag emulate.

His mighty God's protecting hand,
Led him from Pharaoh's tyrant land,
Strong as the beast that rules the plain.
What power his fury shall restrain?
Who dares resist, his force shall feel,
The nations see, and trembling fly;
Or in the unequal conflict die;
And glut with blood his thirsty steel.

With aspect keen he marked his prey,—
He couched—in secret ambush lay,—
Who shall the furious lion dare?
Who shall unmind his terrors see?
—Blest, who for thee exalts his prayer!
And curse the wretch who curseth thee!"]

Dr. Gregory.
little intercourse or communion with them. An (6) eminent author hath shown, that there was a general intercommunity amongst the gods of Paganism; but no such thing was allowed between the God of Israel and the gods of the nations. There was to be no fellowship between God and Belial, tho’ there might be between Belial and Dagon. And hence the Jews were branded for their inhumanity and unsociableness; and they as generally hated, as they were hated by the rest of mankind. Other nations, the conquerors and the conquered, have often associated and united as one body under the same laws; but the Jews in their captivities have commonly been more bigotted to their own religion, and more tenacious of their own rites and customs, than at other times. And even now, while they are dispersed among all nations, they yet live distinct and separate from all, trading only with others, but eating, marrying and conversing chiefly among themselves. We see therefore how exactly and wonderfully Balaam characterized the whole race from the first to the last, when he said, Lo, the people shall dwell alone, and shall not be reckoned among the nations. In the conclusion too when he poured forth that passionate wish, Let me die the death of the righteous, and let my last end be like his, (ver. 10.) he had in all probability some forebodings of his own coming to an untimely end, as he really did afterwards, being slain with the five kings of Midian by the sword of Israel. (Numb. xxxi. 8.)

After the second sacrifice he said among other things, (Numb. xxii. 24.) Behold, the people shall rise up as a great lion, and lift up himself as a young lion: he shall not lie down until he eat of the prey, and drink of the blood of the slain: and again to the same purpose after the third sacrifice, (xxiv. 8, 9.) He shall eat up the nations his enemies, and shall break their bones, and pierce them with his arrows: He couched, he lay down as a lion, and as a great lion; who shall stir him up? Blessed is he that blesseth thee, and cursed is he that curseth thee. Which passages are a manifest prophecy of the victories which the Israelites should gain over their enemies, and particularly the Canaanites, and of their secure possession and quiet enjoyment of the land afterwards, and particularly in the reigns of David and Solomon. It is remarkable too, that God hath here put into the mouth of Balaam much the same things which Jacob had before predicted of Judah, (Gen. xlix. 9.) Judah is a lion’s whelp; from the prey, my son thou art gone up: he stooped down, he couched as a lion, and as an old lion; who shall rouse him up? and Isaac had predicted of Jacob, (Gen. xxvii. 29.) Cursed be every one that curseth thee, and blessed be he that blesseth thee: there is such analogy and harmony between the prophecies of scripture.

At the same time Balaam declared, (ver. 7.) His king shall be higher than Agag; his kingdom shall be exalted. Some copies have Gog instead of Agag, which reading is embraced by the (7) authors of the Universal History, who say that “as the Samaritan, Septuagint, Syria, and Arabic read Gog instead of Agag, and “Gog doth generally signify the Scythians and northern “nations, several interpreters have preferred this latter “reading to the first, and not without good grounds.” But it is a mistake to say, that the Syriac and Arabic read Gog; it is found only in the (8) Samaritan and the Septuagint, and in Symmachus according to Grocius: the (9) Syriac and Arabic have Agag as well as

(8) For, Edit. note Y.
(9) Exultetur quem Gog rex ejus. [And his king shall be exalted above Gog.]
(10) Exultetur quem Agag rex ejus. [And his king shall be exalted above Agag.]
(11) Exaltabitur rex Agag, et exaltabitur regnum. [He shall be exalted above king Agag, and his kingdom shall be exalted.]
(12) Exaltabitur inique Agag rex ejus, et exaltabitur regnum ejus. [His king shall be strengthened more than Agag, and his kingdom shall be exalted.]

the Targum of Onkelos and the Vulgate, tho' this latter with a different sense and construction of the words. Neither have we any account that Gog was a famous king at that time, and much less that the king of Israel was ever exalted above him; and indeed the Scythians and northern nations lay too remote to be the proper subject of a comparison. The reading of the Hebrew copies, his king shall be higher than Agag, is without doubt the true reading; and we must either suppose that Agag was prophesied of by name particularly, as Cyrus and Josiah were several years before they were born: or we must say with (1) Moses Gerundensis, a learned rabbi quoted by Munster, that Agag was the general name of the kings of Amalek, which appears very probable, it being the custom of those times and of those countries to give one certain name to all their kings, as Pharaoh was the general name of the kings of Egypt, and Abimelech for the kings of the Philistines. Amalek too was a neighbouring country, and therefore is fitly introduced upon the present occasion: and it was likewise at that time a great and flourishing kingdom, for (in ver. 20.) it is stiled the first of the nations; and therefore for the king of Israel to be exalted above the king of Amalek was really a wonderful exaltation. But wonderful as it was, it was accomplished by Saul, who smote the Amalekites from Havilah, until thou comest to Shur, that is over against Egypt: and he took Agag the king of the Amalekites alive, and utterly destroyed all the people with the edge of the sword. (1 Sam. xv. 7, 8.) The first king of Israel subdued Agag the king of the Amalekites, so that it might truly and properly be said, his king shall be higher than Agag, and his kingdom shall be exalted, as it was afterwards greatly by David and Solomon.

His latter prophecies Balaam useth in with a remark-

(1) Ed. secundum Mosen Gerundensin, quilibet res Amalekitarum fuit vocatus Agag, transitque primum regis nomen in omnem posteros solum regni occupantes; scit a Cesar primo omnes Romanorum reges Caesaris appel- lantur. [And according to Moses Gerundensis, every king of the Amalekites was named Agag. And the name of the first king was transferred to all that succeeded him in the throne of the kingdom; as from the first Caesar all the Roman emperors were called Caesar.] Munsterius.

The prophecies. Balaam the son of Beor hath said, and the man whose eyes are open, hath said: He hath said, which heard the words of God, which saw the vision of the Almighty, falling into a trance, but having his eyes open. (ver. 3, 4, and 15, 16.) Which hath occasioned much perplexity and confusion, but the words rightly rendered will admit of an easy interpretation. Balaam the son of Beor hath said, and the man whose eyes are open hath said: It should be the man whose eye was shut: for the word נב שחת is used only here and in Lamentations, (iii. 8.) and there it signifies to shut: and the word פש satam which is very near of kin to it, I think, hath always that signification. St. Jerome translates it exuvium obturatus est ocultus: and in the margin of our bibles it is rendered who had his eyes shut but with this addition, but now open. It plainly alludes to Balaam's not seeing the angel of the Lord, at the same time that the ass saw him. He hath said, which heard the words of God, which saw the vision of the Almighty; for in this story we read several times, that God came unto Balaam and said unto him; and possibly he might allude to former revelations. Falling into a trance but having his eyes open; in the original there is no mention of a trance; the passage should be rendered, falling and his eyes were opened, alluding to what happened in the way to Balaam's falling with his falling ass, and then having his eyes opened: And when the ass saw the angel of the Lord she fell down under Balaam—Then the Lord opened the eyes of Balaam, and he saw the angel of the Lord standing in the way, and his sword drawn in his hand; and he bowed down his head, and fell flat on his face. (xxii. 27, &c.) A contrast is intended between having his eyes shut, and having his eyes opened; the one answers to the other. The design of this preface was to excite attention; and so Balaam proceeds to advertise Balak what this people shall do to his people in the latter days, by which phrase is meant the time to come, be it more or less remote.

He begins with what more immediately concerns the Moabites, the people to whom he is speaking, (ver. 17, 18, 19.) I shall see him, but not now; I shall behold him, but not nigh; or rather, I see him, but not now; I behold
him but not nigh; the future tense in Hebrew being often used for the present. He saw with the eyes of prophecy, and prophets are emphatically stiled seers. There shall come a star out of Jacob, and a scepter shall rise out of Israel. The star and the scepter are probably metaphors borrowed from the ancient hieroglyphics, which much influenced the language of the east; and they evidently denote some eminent and illustrious king or ruler, whom he particularizes in the following words. And he shall smite the corners of Moab, or the princes of Moab according to other versions. This was executed by David, for he smote Moab, and measured them with a line, casting them down to the ground; even with two lines measured he, to put to death; and with one full line to keep alive: that is he destroyed two thirds, and saved one third alive: and so the Moabites became David's servants, and brought gifts. (2 Sam. viii. 2.)

And destroy all the children of Sheth. If by Sheth was meant the son of Adam, then all the children of Sheth are all mankind, the posterity of Cain and Adam’s other sons having all perished in the deluge, and the line only of Sheth having been preserved in Noah and his family: but it is very harsh to say that any king of Israel would destroy all mankind, and therefore the (2) Syriac and Chaldee soften it, that he shall subdue all the sons of Sheth, and rule over all the sons of men. The word occurs only in this place, and in Isaiah (xxii. 5.) where it is used in the sense of breaking down or destroying: and as particular places, Moab and Edom, are mentioned both before and after; so it is reasonable to conclude that not all mankind in general, but some particular persons were intended by the expression of the sons of Sheth. The (3) Jerusalem Targum translates it the sons of the east, the Moabites lying east of Judaea.

(2) Et subjungat annus filios Seth. [And he shall subdue all the children of Seth.] Syr.
Et dominator omnium filiorum hominum. [And he shall rule over all the children of men.] Chal.
(3) Hic Jerusalem. Paraphrases filios orientis vert. Moabites cum erant ad ortum Judeae. [Hence the Jerusalem paraphrast rendereth it the sons of the east. For the Moabites dwelt on the east of Judea.] Le Clerc.

Rabbi Nathan (4) says that Sheth is the name of a city in the border of Moab. Grotius (5) imagines Sheth to be the name of some famous king among the Moabites. Our Poole, who is a judicious and useful commentator, says that Sheth ‘seems to be the name of some then eminent, though now unknown, place or prince in Moab, where there were many princes, as appears from Numb. xxiii. 6. Amos ii. 3. there being innumerable instances of such places or persons sometimes famous, but now utterly lost as to all monuments and remembrances of them.’ Vitringa in his commentary upon Isaiah, (6) conceives that the Idumeans were intended, the word Sheth signifying a foundation or fortified place, because they trusted greatly in their castles and fortifications. But the Idumeans are mentioned afterwards; and it is probable that as two hemistichs relate to them, two also relate to the Moabites; and the reason of the appellation assigned by Vitringa is as proper to the Moabites as to the Idumeans. It is common in the stile of the Hebrews, and especially in the poetic parts of scripture, and we may observe it particularly in these prophecies of Balaam, that the same thing in effect is repeated in other words, and the latter member of each period is exegetical of the former, as in the passage before us; I see him, but not now; I behold him, but not nigh; and then again, there shall come a star out of Jacob,

(4) R. Nathan dicit Seth nonem urbem esse in termino Moab. Vide Lirm. [Rabbi Nathan saith, that Seth was a city in the confines of Moab. See Lyr.] Drusius.
(5) Nihil vero propius quam Seth nominatumuisse regem aliquem eximium inter Moabites. [Nothing is more probable, than that Seth was the name of some distinguished king among the Moabites.] Grot.
(6) Non desitto ab ille sententia, vocem לֶּאַרָּחָה karshar in verbis Bilémiti certo significare destructionem, eversionem, devastacionem, etiam hierarum foundationem. [Nor shall I omit the word אָרָחָה karshar, in the speech of Balaam, signifies, destruction, overthrow, devastation, but I am in some doubt about the phrase, the children of Seth, whom from the circumstances of the place, I imagine to have been Idumeans; the word Seth, being understood appositively, as signifying a foundation or fortified place, because the Idumeans placed the greatest trust in their castles and fortifications.] Vitring. in Jesaiam. Cap. 22. ver. 5. p. 641. Vol. 1.
'and a scepter shall rise out of Israel: and again afterwards, And Edom shall be a possession, Seir also shall be a possession for his enemies. There is great reason therefore to think, that the same manner of speaking was continued here, and consequently that Sheth must be the name of some eminent place or person among the Moabites; and shall smite the princes of Moab, and destroy all the sons of Sheth.

And Edom shall be a possession. This also was fulfilled by David; for he put garrisons in Edom; throughout all Edom put he garrisons, and all they of Edom became David's servants. (2 Sam. viii. 14.) David himself in two of his psalms hath mentioned together his conquest of Moab and Edom, as they are also joined together in this prophecy; Moab is my wash-pot, over Edom will I cast out my shoe. (Psal. lx. 8. cviii. 9.) Seir also shall be a possession for his enemies, that is for the Israelites. Seir is the name of the mountains of Edom, so that even their mountains and fastnesses could not defend the Idumans from David and his captains. And Israel shall do valiantly, as they did particularly under the command of David, several of whose victories are recorded in this same 8th chapter of the 2d book of Samuel, together with his conquest of Moab and of Edom. Out of Jacob shall come he that shall have dominion, and shall destroy him that remaineth of the city; not only to defeat them in the field, but destroy them even in their strongest cities, or perhaps some particular city was intended, as we may infer from Psal. lx. 9. cviii. 10. Who will bring me into the strong city? who will lead me into Edom? And we read particularly that Joab, David's general, smote every male in Edom: for six months did Joab remain there with all Israel, until he had cut off every male in Edom. (1 Kings xi. 15, 16.)

We see how exactly this prophecy hath been fulfilled in the person and actions of David: but most Jewish as well as Christian writers apply it, primarily perhaps to David, but ultimately to the Messiah, as the person chiefly intended, in whom it was to receive its full and entire completion. Onkelos, who is the most ancient and valuable of the Chaldee paraphrases, interprets it of the Messiah. 'When a prince, (7) says he, shall arise of the house of Jacob, and Christ shall be anointed of the house of Israel, he shall both slay the princes of Moab, and rule over all the sons of men:' and with him agree the other Targums or paraphrases. Maimonides, who is one of the most learned and famous of the Jewish doctors, understands it partly of David, and partly of the Messiah: and with him agree other rabbies, whom you may find cited by the critics and commentators to this purpose. It appears to have been generally understood by the Jews, as a prophecy of the Messiah, because the false Christ, who appeared in the reign of the Roman emperor Adrian, (8) assumed the title of Barchochebas or the son of the star, in allusion to this prophecy, and in order to have it believed that he was the star whom Balaam had seen afar off. The Christian fathers, I think, are unanimous in applying this prophecy to our Saviour, and to the star which appeared at his nativity. Origen in particular saith, that (9) in the law there are many typical and enigmatical references to the Messiah: but he produceth this as one of the plainest and clearest of prophecies: and both (1) Origen and Eusebius affirm, that it was in consequence of Balaam's prophecies, which were known and believed in the east, that the Magi, upon the appearance of a new star, came to Jerusalem to worship him who was born king of the Jews. The stream of modern divines and commentators runneth the same way, that is they apply the pros.

(7) Cum consurgeret rex de domo Jacob, et unguetur Christus de domo Israel; et occidet principes Moab, et dominabitur omnium filiorum hominum. [Translated in the text] Onk.


(9) τυποι πος ει και εν εκεινης απομνημονευη εις τον φρονηματι των γνωστων των Γαλαται, δε και των εισαχθης ἐν τη καθημερινη της τελωνευσεως συμπερασματιζοντων της ου κατοικηθης των εκει εστιν της παλαιοτητος. Ομως εις χρυσον της προσωπου και της ημερας της, που εντευθεν εις την εποχην της καις, αποπερας ηταν εν τινας της. Wherefore, although in the law, there may be found many things which typically and enigmatically have a reference to Christ, yet at present, I see none, which more plainly and manifestly have an allusion to him, than the one now before us.

here a noble figurative expression to signify the image of your God; for a star being employed in the hiero-
lyphics to signify God, it is used here with great eleg-
ance to signify the material image of a God; the
words the star of your God being only a repetition (so
usual in the Hebrew tongue) of the preceding—Chium
your images; and not (as some critics suppose) the
same with your God star, sidus Dei vestrarum. Hence
we conclude that the metaphor here used by Balaam of
a star was of that abstruse mysterious kind, and so to be
understood; and consequently that it related only to
Christ, the eternal son of God.” Thus far this excel-
lient writer. But though for these reasons the Messiah
might be remotely intende; yet we cannot allow that he
was intended solely, because David might be called a star
by Balaam, as well as other rulers or governors are by
Daniel, (viii. 10.) and by St. John: (Rev. i. 20.) and we
must insist upon it, that the primary intention, the literal
meaning of the prophecy respects the person and actions
of David; and for this reason particularly, because Ba-
laam is here advertising Balak. What this people should
do to his people in the latter days, that is, what the Isr-
elites should do to the Moabites hereafter.

From the Moabites he turned his eyes more to the south
and west, and looked on their neighbours, the Amalekites;
and took up his parable and said, (ver. 20.) Amalek was
the first of the nations, but his latter end shall be that he
perish for ever. Amalek was the first of the na-
tions, the first and most powerful of the neighbouring na-
tions, or the first that warred against Israel, as it is in the
margin of our bibles. The latter interpretation is pro-
posed by (4) Onkelos and other Jews, I suppose because
they would not allow the Amalekites to be a more an-
cient nation than themselves: but most good critics pre-
fer the former interpretation as more easy and natural,
and for a very good reason, because the Amalekites ap-
pear to have been a very ancient nation. They are rec-

(2) See the Divine Legislation, &c. Book 4, Sect. 4.

(4) Principium bellorum Israel sicut Amalek. [Amalek was the first that warred against Israel.] Onk.
The Amalekites, and utterly destroyed all the people with the edge of the sword. (1 Sam. xv. 7, 8) When they had recovered a little, David and his men went up and invaded them; and David smote the land, and left neither man nor woman alive, and took away the sheep and the oxen, and the asses and the camels, and the apparel. (1 Sam. xxvii. 8, 9.) David made a further slaughter and conquest of them at Zinah; (1 Sam. xxx.) and at last the sons of Simon, in the days of Hezekiah king of Judah, smote the rest of the Amalekites that were escaped, and dwelt in their habitations. (1 Chron. iv. 41, 42, 43.) And where is the name or the nation of Amalek subsisting at this day? What history, what tradition concerning them is remaining anywhere? They are but just enough known and remembered to show, that what God had threatened he had punctually fulfilled; I will utterly put out the remembrance of Amalek from under heaven; and his latter end shall be that he perish for ever.

Then he looked on the Kenites: and took up his parable, and said (ver. 21, 22.) Strong is thy dwelling place, and thou puttest thy nest in a rock. Nevertheless the Kenite shall be wasted, until Assyria shall carry thee away captive. Commentators are perplexed, and much at loss to say with any certainty who these Kenites were. There are Kenites mentioned (Gen. xv. 19.) among the Canaanitish nations, whose land was promised unto Abraham; and (6) Le Clerc imagines that those Kenites were the people here intended: But the Canaanitish nations are not the subject of Baham's prophecies; and the Canaanitish nations were to be rooted out, but these Kenites were to continue as long as the Israelites themselves, and to be carried captive with them by the Assyrians; and in the opinion of (7) Bochart, those Kenites as well as the


(6) Hee antiquiores illi Keneci intelligendi. Le Clerc in hom. [Here these more ancient Kenites are to be understood. See Le Clerc on the passage.]

(7) Iuorun ego nonm delectum fuisset putaverim in controversia: quod ab omnibus antiquitatis aliis annis. Igic si necessarium, quia in divisione terra, nescio in genere, si quia se devictaejusque dominum esse lapsam. [I am of opinion, that their names perished in the period, which intervened between the times of Abra-
Kenizzites became extinct in the interval of time which passed between Abraham and Moses, being not mentioned by Joshua in the division of the land, nor reckoned among the nations conquered by him. The most probable account of these Kenites I conceive to be this. Jethro, the father-in-law of Moses, is called in one place the priest of Midian (Exod. iii. 1) and in another the Kenite. (Judg. i. 16.) We may infer therefore, that the Midianites and the Kenites were the same, or at least that the Kenites were some of the tribes of Midian. The Midianites are said to be confederates with the Moabites in the beginning of the story, and the elders of Midian as well as the elders of Moab invited Balaam to come and curse Israel; and one would naturally expect some notice to be taken of them or their tribes in the course of these prophecies. Now of the Kenites, it appears, that part followed Israel: (Judg. i. 16.) but the greater part we may presume, remained among the Midianites and Amalekites. We read in (1 Sam. xv. 6.) that there were Kenites dwelling among the Amalekites, and so the Kenites are fitly mentioned here next after the Amalekites. Their situation is said to be strong and secure among the mountains. Strong is thy dwelling place, and thou puttest thy nest in a rock; wherein is an allusion to the name, the same word in Hebrew signifying a nest and a Kenite. Nevertheless the Kenite shall be wasted, until Asshur carry thee away captive. The Amalekites were to be utterly destroyed, but the Kenites were to be carried captive. And accordingly, when Saul was sent by divine commission to destroy the Amalekites, he ordered the Kenites to depart from among them. (1 Sam. xv. 6.) And Saul said unto the Kenites. Go depart, get you down from among the Amalekites, lest I destroy you with them: for ye showed kindness to all the children of Israel when they came up out of Egypt; for the kindness which some of them showed to Israel, their posterity was saved. So

The Kenites departed from among the Amalekites. This showeth that they were wasted, and reduced to a low and weak condition; and as the kings of Assyria carried captive not only the Jews, but also the Syrians (2 Kings xvi. 9.) and several other nations; (2 Kings xix. 12, 13.) it is most highly probable that the Kenites shared the same fate with their neighbours, and were carried away by the same torrent; and especially as we find some Kenites mentioned among the Jews after their return from captivity. (1 Chron. ii. 55.)

The next verse, (ver. 23.) And he took up his parable, and said, Alas, who shall live when God doth this! is by several commentators referred to what precedes; but it relates rather to what follows. And he took up his parable, and said: this preface is used when he enters upon some new subject. Alas, who shall live when God doth this! this exclamation now implies that he is prophesying of very distant and very calamitous times. And ships, or rather for ships, as the particle י often signifies, and this instance among others is cited by (8) Noldius. For ships shall come from the coast of Chittim, and shall afflict Asshur, and shall afflict Eber, and he also shall perish for ever. (ver. 24.)

Chittim was one of the sons of Javan, who was one of the sons of Japheth, by whose posterity, the isles of the Gentiles (Gen. x. 5.) were divided and peopled, that is Europe, and the countries to which the Asians passed by sea, for such the Hebrews called lands. Chittim is used for the descendants of Chittim, as Asshur is put for the descendants of Asshur, that is the Assyrians: but what people were the descendants of Chittim, or what country was meant by the coasts of Chittim, it is not so easy to determine. The critics and commentators are generally divided into two opinions: the one asserting that Macedonia, and the other that Italy was the country here intended: and each opinion is recommended and authorised by some of the first and greatest names in learning: as not to mention any others, (9) Grotius and Le Clerc

(8) Noldius P. 127.
(9) Grotius in locum et Clericus in locum, et in Genes. x. 4.
pass over to Chittim, there also shalt thou have no rest; the inhabitants might fly from Tyre, and pass over to the countries and islands in the Mediterranean, but even there they should find no secure place of refuge; God's judgments should still pursue them. Jeremiah expostulating with the Jews concerning their causeless revolt, saith (xxii. 10.) Pass over to the isles of Chittim, and see, that is the isles of the Mediterranean which lay westward of Judea; and send unto Kedar, which was in Arabia and lay eastward of Judea; and consider diligently, and see if there be such a thing; go search east and west, and see if you can find any such instance of apostasy as this of the Jews. Ezekiel describing the luxury of the Tyrians even in their shipping, saith (xxvii. 6.) according to the (6) true reading and interpretation of the words, they made their benches of ivory inlaid on box, brought out of the isles of Chittim, that is out of the isles of the Mediterranean, and most probably from Corsica, which was famous above all places for box, as Bochart hath proved by the testimonies of Pliny, Theophrastus, and Diodorus. Daniel foretelling the exploits of Antiochus Epiphanes, saith (xii. 29, 30.) that he should come towards the south, that is invade Egypt; but the ships of Chittim shall come against him, therefore he shall be grieved and return; the ships of Chittim can be none other than the ships of the Romans, whose (7) embassadors coming from Italy to Greece, and from thence to Alexandria, obliged Antiochus, to his great grief and disappointment, to depart from Egypt without accomplishing his designs. The author of the first book of Maccabees, speaking of Alexander son of Philip the Macedonian, saith (i. 1.) that he came out of the land of Chettim: and afterwards (viii. 5.) Persesus, the last king of Macedon, he calleth king of the Cittim. By these instances it appears, that the land of Chittim was a general name for the countries and islands in the Mediterranean: and therefore when Balaam said that ships should come from the coast of Chittim, he might

contend for the former, (1) Bochart and Vitrina are strenuous for the latter. But there is no reason why we may not adopt both opinions, and especially as it is very well known and agreed on all hands, that colonies came from Greece to Italy; and as (2) Josephus saith, that all islands and most maritime places are called Chethim by the Hebrews; and as manifest traces of the name are to be found in both countries; the ancient name of Macedonnia having been (3) Macedta, and the Latins having before been called Celi. What appears most probable is, that the sons of Chittim settled first in Asia Minor, where were a people called Celii, and a river called Cetium, according to (4) Homer and Strabo. From Asia they might pass over into the land Cyprus, which (5) Josephus saith was possessed by Chethim, and called Chethima; and where was also the city Cittium, famous for being the birth-place of Zeno, the founder of the sect of the Stoics, who was therefore called the Cittian. And from thence they might send forth colonies into Greece and Italy. This plainly appears, that wherever the land of Chittim or the isles of Chittim are mentioned in scripture, there are evidently meant some countries or islands in the Mediterranean.

Isaiah prophesying of the destruction of Tyre by Nebuchadnezzar, saith (xxiii. 1.) Howl ye ships of Tarshish, that is the ships trading from Tyre to Tartessus in Spain; for Tyre is laid waste: from the land of Chittim it is revealed to them; the news is brought first to the countries and islands in the Mediterranean, and from thence it is conveyed to Spain; and afterwards, (ver. 12.) Arise,
mean either Greece, or Italy, or both, the particular names of those countries being at that time perhaps unknown in the east; and the passage may be the better understood of both, because it was equally true of both, and Greece and Italy were alike the scourges of Asia.

And shall afflict Assyria. Assyria, as we noted before, signifies properly the descendants of Asshur, the Assyrians: but (8) their name was of as large extent as their empire, and the Syrians and Assyrians are often confounded together, and mentioned as one and the same people. Now it is so well known as to require no particular proof, that the Grecians under the command of Alexander the Great subdued all these countries. The Romans afterwards extended their empire into the same regions; and as (9) Dion informs us, Assyria properly so called was conquered by the emperor Trajan.

And shall afflict Eber. Two interpretations are proposed of the word Eber, either the posterity of a man so called, or the people who dwelt on the other side of the river Euphrates. If by Eber we understand the posterity of Asshur, as by Asshur the posterity of Asshur, which appears a very natural construction; then Balaam, who was commissioned to bless Israel at first, prophesied evil concerning them at last, though under another name: but men and manners usually degenerate in a long course of time; and as the virtues of the progenitors might intitle them to a blessing, so the vices of the descendants might render them obnoxious to a curse. However we may avoid this seeming inconsistency, if we follow the other interpretation, and by Eber understand the people who dwelt on the other side of the river Euphrates, which sense is given by (1) Onkelos, and is approved by several of the ancients, as well as by many of the most able commentators among the moderns, and is particularly

(8) Tam latè patuit hoc nomen quam latè patuit imperium.—multu veterum Syros et Assyriam pro idem halent. [This name extended as widely as their empire.—Many of the ancients consider the Syrians and the Assyrians as the same people.] Diod. Phalig. Lib. 2. Cap. 3. Col. 72.
(1) Et tribuent trans flumen Euphratem. [And they shall subdue beyond the river Euphrates.] Onk.

enforced by a learned (2) professor of eminent skill in the oriental languages. The two members of the period would then better connect together, and the sense of the latter would be somewhat exegetical of the former; and shall afflict Asshur and shall afflict Eber, shall afflict the Assyrians and other neighbouring nations bordering upon the river Euphrates. And this interpretation I would readily embrace, if I could see any instance of a parallel expression. Beyond the river, meaning Euphrates, is indeed a phrase that sometimes occurs in scripture, and the concordance will supply us with instances; but where doth beyond alone ever bear that signification? I know Gen. x. 21. is usually cited for this purpose; but that text is as much controverted as this, and the question is the same there as here, whether Eber be the proper name of a man, or only a preposition signifying beyond, and beyond signifying the people beyond the river Euphrates: or in other words, whether the passage should be translated the father of all the children of Eber, or the father of all the children of the people on the other side of the river Euphrates. Isaiah's manner of speaking of the same people is by them beyond the river, by the king of Assyria: (vii. 20.) and one would expect the like here, shall afflict Asshur, and shall afflict them beyond the river. But which ever of these interpretations we prefer, the prophecy was alike fulfilled. If we understand it of the people bordering upon the Euphrates, they as well as the Assyrians were subdued both by the Grecians and Romans. If we understand it of the posterity of Eber, the Hebrews were afflicted, though not much by Alexander himself, yet by his successors the Seleucidæ, and particularly by Antiochus Epiphanes, who spoiled Jerusalem, defiled the temple, and slew all those who adhered to the law of Moses. (1 Maccab. i.) They were worse afflicted by the Romans, who not only subdued and oppressed them, and made their country a province of the empire, but at last took away their place and nation, and sold and dispersed them over the face of the earth.

And he also shall perish for ever, that is Chittim, who is the main subject of this part of the prophecy, and whose ships were to afflict Asshur, and to afflict Eber: but this notwithstanding he also shall be even to perdition, he also shall be destroyed as well as Amalek, for in the original the words are the same concerning both. He in the singular number cannot well refer to both Asshur and Eber. He must naturally signify Chittim the principal agent: and if by Chittim be meant the Grecians, the Grecian empire was entirely subverted by the Roman; if the Romans, the Roman empire was in its turn broken into pieces by the incursion of the northern nations. The name only of the Roman empire and Cæsar in majesty is subsisting at this day, and is transferred to another country and another people.

It appears then that Balaam was a prophet divinely inspired, or he could never have foretold so many distant events, some of which are fulfilling in the world at this time: and what a singular honour was it to the people of Israel, that a prophet came from another country, and at the same time a wicked man, should be obliged to bear testimony to their righteousness and holiness? The commendations of an enemy, among enemies, are commendations indeed. And Moses did justice to himself as well as to his nation in recording these transactions. They are not only a material part of his history, but are likewise a strong confirmation of the truth of his religion. Balaam's bearing witness to Moses is somewhat like Judas's attesting the innocence of Jesus.

VI.

MOSES'S PROPHECY OF A PROPHET LIKE UNTO HIMSELF.

MOSES is a valuable writer, as upon many accounts so particularly upon this, that he hath not only preserved and transmitted to posterity several ancient pro-

phecies, but hath likewise shown himself a prophet, and inserted several predictions of his own. Among these none is more memorable, than that of another prophet to be raised like unto himself. He was now about to leave his people, and comforts them with the promise of another prophet. Deut. xviii. 15. The Lord thy God will raise up unto thee a prophet from the midst of thee, of thy brethren, like unto me; unto him ye shall hearken. The same is repeated at ver. 18, in the name of God, I will raise them up a prophet from among their brethren, like unto thee. and will put my words in his mouth, and he shall speak unto them all that I shall command him. It is farther added at ver. 19. And it shall come to pass that whosoever will not hearken unto my words which he shall speak in my name, I will require it of him. Plain as this prophecy is, it hath strangely been perverted and misapplied: but I conceive nothing will be wanting to the right understanding both of the prophecy and the completion, if we can show first what prophet was here particularly intended, if we show secondly that this prophet resembled Moses in more respects than any other person ever did, and if we show thirdly that the people have been and still are severely punished for their infidelity and disobedience to this prophet.

I. We will endeavour to show what prophet was here particularly intended. Some have been of opinion, (1) that Joshua was the person; because he is said in Ecclesiasticus (xvi. 1.) to have been successor of Moses in prophecies: and as the people were commanded to hearken unto this prophet, unto him ye shall hearken: so they said unto Joshua (i. 17.) According as we hearkened unto Moses in all things, so will we hearken unto thee. Some again have imagined, (2) that Jeremiah was the person; because he frequently applies (say they) the words of Moses; and Abarbanel in his preface to his commentary upon Jeremiah reckons up fourteen particulars wherein they resemble each other, and observes

(1) See Munster, Drusius, Fagius, Calmet, &c.
(2) See Munster, Fagius, Patrick, Calmet, &c.
that Jeremiah prophesied forty years, as Moses also did. Others, and those many more in number, (3) understand this neither of Joshua, nor of Jeremiah, nor of any single person, but of a succession of prophets to be raised up like unto Moses; because (say they) the people being here forbidden to follow after enchanters and diviners, as other nations did, nothing would have secured them effectually from following after them, but having true prophets of their own, whom they might consult upon occasion; and the latter are opposed to the former. But still the propounders and favorers of these different opinions, I think, agree generally in this, that though Joshua, or Jeremiah, or a succession of prophets was primarily intended, yet the main end and ultimate scope of the prophecy was the Messiah: and indeed there appear some very good reasons for understanding it of him principally, if not of him solely, besides the preference of a literal to a typical interpretation.

There is a passage in the conclusion of this book of Deuteronomy, which plainly refers to this prophecy, and entirely refutes the notion of Joshua's being the prophet like unto Moses. And Joshua the son of Nun was full of the spirit of wisdom; for Moses had laid his hands upon him: and the children of Israel hearkened unto him, and did as the Lord commanded Moses. And there arose not a prophet since in Israel like unto Moses, whom the Lord knew face to face: In all the signs and the wonders which the Lord sent him to do, &c. We cannot be certain at what time, or by what hand this addition was made to the sacred volume: but it must have been made after the death of Moses; and consequently Joshua was not a prophet like unto Moses in the opinion of the Jewish church, both of those who made and of those who received this addition as canonical scripture. There arose not a prophet since in Israel; the manner of expression plainly implies, that this addition must have been made at some considerable distance of time after the death of Moses; and consequently the Jewish church had no conception of a perpetual succession of prophets to be raised up like unto Moses: and if this addition was made, as it is commonly believed to have been made, by Ezra after the Babylonish captivity, then it is evident, that neither Jeremiah nor any of the ancient prophets was esteemed like unto Moses. Consider what are the peculiar marks and characters, wherein it is said that none other prophet had ever resembled Moses. There arose not a prophet since in Israel like unto Moses, whom the Lord knew face to face, in all the signs and the wonders which the Lord sent him to do. And which of the prophets ever conversed so frequently and familiarly with God, face to face? which of them ever wrought so many and so great miracles? No body was ever equal or comparable to Moses in these respects, but Jesus the Messiah.

God's declaration too, upon occasion of Miriam's and Aaron's sedition, plainly evinces that there was to be no prophet in the Jewish church, and much less a succession of prophets like unto Moses. Miriam and Aaron grew jealous of Moses, and mutinied against him, saying, Hath the Lord indeed spoken only by Moses? hath he not spoken also by us? (Numb. xii. 2.) The controversy was of such importance, that God himself interposed; and what was his determination of the case? If there be a prophet among you, I the Lord will make myself known unto him in a vision, and will speak unto him in a dream. My servant Moses is not so, who is faithful in all mine house. With him will I speak mouth to mouth, even apparently, and not in dark speeches; and the similitude of the Lord shall he behold: wherefore then were ye not afraid to speak against my servant Moses? (ver. 6, 7, 8.) We see here that a great difference was made between Moses and other prophets, and also wherein that difference lay. God revealed himself unto other prophets in dreams and visions, but with Moses he conversed more openly, mouth to mouth, or, as it is said elsewhere, face to face: and Moses saw the similitude of the Lord. These were singular privileges and prerogatives, which eminently distinguished Moses from all the other prophets of the Jewish dispensation: and yet there was a prophet to be

(3) See Fagius, Poole, Le Clerc, Calmet, &c.
raised up like unto Moses: but who ever resembled Moses in these superior advantages, but Jesus the Messiah?

It is likewise no inconsiderable argument, that the letter of the text favours our interpretation. The word is in the singular number, *The Lord thy God will raise up unto thee a prophet;* and why then should we understand it of a succession of prophets? why should we depart from the literal construction without any apparent necessity for it? Other nations hearkened unto enchanters and diviners, but the Lord would not suffer them so to do; he had given them a better guide already, and would raise up unto them another prophet superior to all the enchanters and diviners in the world: unto him they should hearken.

Moreover it is implied, that this prophet should be a lawgiver. *A prophet like unto thee;* not simply a prophet, but a prophet like unto Moses, that is a second lawgiver, as (4) Eusebius explains it. The reason too that is assigned for sending this prophet, will evince that he was to be vested with this character. The people had requested, that the divine laws might not be delivered to them in so terrible and awful a manner as they had been in Horeb. God approved their request, and promised therefore, that he would raise up unto them a prophet like unto Moses, a lawgiver who should speak unto them his commands in a familiar and gentle way. This prophet therefore was to be a lawgiver: but none of the Jewish prophets were lawgivers, in all the intermediate time between Moses and Christ.

If we farther appeal unto fact, we shall find that there never was any prophet, and much less a succession of prophets, whom the Jews esteemed like unto Moses. The highest degree of inspiration they term the (5) Mosaical,

and enumerate several particulars, wherein that hath the preeminence and advantage above all others. There was indeed, in consequence of this prophecy, a general expectation of some extraordinary prophet to arise, which prevailed particularly about the time of our Saviour. The Jews then, as well as (6) since, understood and applied this prophecy to the Messiah, the only prophet whom they will ever allow to be as great or greater than Moses. When our Saviour had fed five thousand men, by a miracle like that of Moses, who fed the Israelites in the wilderness, then those men said *This is of a truth that prophet that should come into the world.* (John vi. 14.) St. Peter and Stephen directly apply the prophecy to him: (Acts iii. 22, 23. v. 37.) and they may very well be justified for so doing; for he fully answers all the marks and characters which are here given of the prophet like unto Moses. He had immediate communication with the deity, and God spake to him face to face, as he did to Moses. He performed signs and wonders as great or greater than those of Moses. He was a lawgiver as well as Moses. I will raise them up a prophet, saith God; and the people glorified God saying, That a great prophet is risen up among us. (Luke vii. 16.) I will put my words in his mouth, saith God, in Hebrew will give my words; and our Saviour saith, I have given unto them the words which thou gavest me. (John xvii. 8.) He shall speak unto them all that I shall command him, saith God; and our Saviour saith, I have not spoken of myself; but the Father which sent me, he gave me a commandment, what I should say, and what I should speak. And I know that his commandment is life everlasting: whatsoever I speak therefore, even as the Father saith unto me, so I speak. (John xii. 49, 50.)

II. We shall be more and more confirmed in this opinion, when we consider the great and striking likeness between Moses and Jesus Christ, and that the latter resembled the former in more respects than any other person ever did. Notice hath been taken already of

(5) See Smith's Discourse of Prophecy, Chap. 2, and 11, wherein it is shown from Maimonides, that Moses's inspiration excelled all others in four particulars. 1. All other prophets prophesied in a dream or vision, but Moses waking and standing. 2. All other prophets prophesied by the help or ministry of an angel, but Moses prophesied without the ministry of an angel. 3. All other prophets were afraid, and troubled, and fainted, but Moses was not so, for the scripture saith, that God spake to him even as a man speaketh to his friend. 4. None of the prophets did prophesy at what time they would, save Moses.
some instances, wherein they resemble each other, of
God speaking to both face to face, of both performing
signs and wonders, of both being lawgivers: and in
these respects none of the ancient prophets were like
unto Moses. None of them were lawgivers; they only
interpreted and enforced the law of Moses. None of
them performed so many and so great wonders. None
of them had such clear communications with God; they
all saw visions, and dreamed dreams. Moses and Jesus
Christ are the only two who perfectly resemble each
other in these respects. But a more exact and particular
comparison may be drawn between them, and hath been
drawn by two eminent hands, by one of the best and
ablest of the ancient fathers, and by one of the most
learned and ingenious of modern divines: and as we can-
not pretend to add anything to them, we must be content
to copy from them.

Eusebius treating of the prophecies concerning Christ,
(7) produceth first this of Moses: and then asketh, which
of the prophets after Moses, Isaiah for instance, or Jere-
miah, or Ezekiel, or Daniel, or any other of the twelve,
was a lawgiver, and performed things like unto Moses?
Moses first rescued the Jewish nation from Egyptian
superstition and idolatry, and taught them the true
theology; Jesus Christ in like manner was the first
teacher of true religion and virtue to the Gentiles.
Moses confirmed his religion by miracles; and so like-
wise did Christ. Moses delivered the Jewish nation from
Egyptian servitude; and Jesus Christ all mankind from
the power of evil demons. Moses promised a holy land,
and therein a happy life to those who kept the law: and
Jesus Christ a better country, that is a heavenly, to all
righteous souls. Moses fasted forty days: and so like-
wise did Christ. Moses supplied the people with bread
in the wilderness; and our Saviour fed five thousand at
one time, and four thousand at another, with a few
loaves. Moses went himself, and led the people thro'
the midst of the sea; and Jesus Christ walked on the

sea, and enabled Peter to walk likewise. Moses stretched
out his hand over the sea, and the Lord caused the sea
to go backward; and our Saviour rebuked the wind and
the sea, and there was a great calm. Moses's face shone,
when he descended from the Mount, and our Saviour's
did shine as the sun in his transfiguration. Moses by
his prayers cured Miriam of her leprosy; and Christ
with greater power by a word healed several lepers.
Moses performed wonders by the finger of God; and
Jesus Christ by the finger of God did cast out devils.
Moses changed Osea's name to Joshua; and our Sa-
vior did Simon's to Peter. Moses constituted seventy
rulers over the people; and our Saviour appointed
seventy disciples. Moses sent forth twelve men to spy
out the land; and our Saviour twelve apostles to visit
all nations. Moses gave several excellent moral pre-
cepts; and our Saviour carried them to the highest per-
fection.

Dr. Jortin (8) hath enlarged upon these hints of Euse-
bius, and made several improvements, and additions to
them. Moses in his infancy was wonderfully preserved
from the destruction of all the male children; so was
Christ. Moses fled from his country to escape the hands
of the king; so did Christ, when his parents carried him
into Egypt: afterwards the Lord said to Moses in Mi-
dian, Go, return into Egypt; for all the men are dead
which sought thy life: (Exod. iv. 19.) so the angel of the
Lord said to Joseph in almost the same words, Arise, and
take the young child, and go into the land of Israel; for
they are dead which sought the young child's life: (Matt.
ii. 20.) pointing him out as it were for that prophet who
should arise like unto Moses. Moses refused to be called
the son of Pharaoh's daughter, choosing rather to suffer
affliction. Christ refused to be made king, choosing rather
to suffer affliction. Moses, says St. Stephen, was learned
in all the wisdom of the Egyptians, and Jose-
phus (Ant. Jud. ii. 9.) says that he was a very forward
and accomplished youth, and had wisdom and knowl-
Moses's affection towards the people, all his cares and toils on their account were repaid by them with ingratitude, murmuring, and rebellion; the same returns the Jews made to Christ for all his benefits. Moses was ill used by his own family, his brother and sister rebelled against him; there was a time when Christ's own brethren believed not in him. Moses had a very wicked and perverse generation committed to his care and conduct, and to enable him to rule them, miraculous powers were given to him, and he used his utmost endeavor to make the people obedient to God, and to save them from ruin; but in vain; in the space of forty years they all fell in the wilderness except two: Christ also was given to a generation not less wicked and perverse, his instructions and his miracles were lost upon them, and in about the same space of time, after they had rejected him, they were destroyed. Moses was very meek above all men that were on the face of the earth; so was Christ. The people could not enter into the land of promise, till Moses was dead; by the death of Christ the kingdom of heaven was open to believers: In the death of Moses and Christ there is also a resemblance of some circumstances: Moses died, in one sense, for the iniquities of the people; it was their rebellion which was the occasion of it, which drew down the displeasure of God upon them and upon him; Moses went up, in the sight of the people, to the top of mount Nebo, and there he died, when he was in perfect vigor, when his eye was not dim, nor his natural force abated: Christ suffered for the sins of men, and was led up, in the presence of the people, to mount Calvary, where he died in the flower of his age, and when he was in his full natural strength. Neither Moses nor Christ, as far as we may collect from sacred history, were ever sick, or felt any bodily decay or infirmity, which would have rendered them unfit for the toils they underwent; their sufferings were of another kind. Moses was buried, and no man knew where his body lay; nor could the Jews find the body of Christ. Lastly, as Moses a little be-
fore death promised another prophet; so Christ another
comforter.

The great similitude consists in their both being law-
givers, which no prophet ever was besides Moses and
Christ. They may resemble each other in several other
features, and a fruitful imagination may find out a likeness
where there is none. But as the same excellent
writer concludes, "Is this similitude and correspondence
in so many things between Moses and Christ the effect
of mere chance? Let us search all the records of
universal history, and see if we can find a man who
was so like to Moses as Christ was, and so like to
Christ as Moses was. If we cannot find such a one,
then have we found him of whom Moses in the law,
and the prophets did write," Jesus of Nazareth, the
"Son of God."

III. There is no want of many words to prove, for
it is visible to all the world, that the people have been
and still are severely punished for their infidelity and dis-
obedience to this prophet. The prophecy is clear and
express; Unto him ye shall hearken: And it shall come
to pass that whosoever will not hearken unto my words
which he shall speak in my name, I will require it of him,
that is, I will severely punish him for it, as the phrase
signifies elsewhere. The antecedent is put for the con-
sequent: judges first inquired, then punished: and the
Seventy translate it, (9) I will take vengeance of him.
This prophecy, as we have proved at large, evidently re-
lates to Jesus Christ. God himself in a manner applies
it to him: for when he was transfigured, (Matt. xvii. 5.)
there came a voice out of the cloud, which said, This is
my beloved Son in whom I am well pleased; hear ye him:
alluding plainly to the words of Moses, Unto him ye shall
hearken; and so pointing him out for the prophet like
unto Moses. St. Peter, as we noted before, directly ap-
plies it to our Saviour, (Acts iii. 22, 23.) For Moses
truly said unto the fathers, A prophet shall the Lord your
God raise up unto you, of your brethren, like unto me;

(9) τιμὶ εἰς ἀπόλ. [I will take vengeance of him.] Sept.
they have long been monuments of God's justice; we believe, that upon their faith and repentance they will become again objects of his mercy: and in the mean time with St. Paul, (Rom. x. 1.) our hearts desire and prayer to God for Israel is, that they may be saved.

VII.

PROPHECIES OF MOSES CONCERNING THE JEWS.

It is observable that the prophecies of Moses abound most in the latter part of his writings. As he drew nearer his end, it pleased God to open to him larger prospects of things. As he was about to take leave of the people, he was enabled to disclose unto them more particulars of their future state and condition. The design of this work will permit us to take notice of such only as have some reference to these later ages: and we will confine ourselves principally to the 28th chapter of Deuteronomy, the greater part whereof we may see accomplished in the world at this present time.

This great prophet and lawgiver is here proposing at large to the people the blessings for obedience, and the curses for disobedience: and indeed he had foretold at several times and upon several occasions, that they should be happy or miserable in the world, as they were obedient or disobedient to the law that he had given them. And could there be any stronger evidence of the divine original of the Mosaical law? and hath not the interposition of providence been wonderfully remarkable in their good or bad fortune? and is not the truth of the prediction fully attested by the whole series of their history from their first settlement in Canaan to this very day? But he is larger and more particular in recounting

the curses than the blessings, as if he had a prescience of the people's disobedience, and foresew that a larger portion and longer continuation of the evil would fall to their share, than of the good. I know that some critics make a division of these prophecies, and imagin that one part relates to the former captivity of the Jews, and to the calamities which they suffered under the Chaldeans; and that the other part relates to the latter captivity of the Jews, and to the calamities which they suffered under the Romans: but there is no need of any such distinction: there is no reason to think that any such was intended by the author; several prophecies of the one part as well as of the other have been fulfilled at both periods, but they have all more amply been fulfilled during the latter period; and there cannot be a more lively picture than they exhibit, of the state of the Jews at present.

1. We will consider them with a view to the order of time rather than the order wherein they lie; and we may not improperly begin with this passage, ver. 49. The Lord shall bring a nation against thee from far, from the end of the earth, as swift as the eagle flieth, a nation whose tongue thou shalt not understand: and the Chaldeans might be said to come from far, in comparison with the Moabites, Philistines, and other neighbouring nations, which used to infest Judea. Much the same description is given of the Chaldeans by Jeremiah, (v. 15.) Lo, I will bring a nation upon you from far, O house of Israel, saith the Lord: it is a mighty nation, it is an ancient nation, a nation whose language thou knowest not, neither understandest what they say. He compares them in like manner to eagles, (Lam. iv. 19.) Our persecutors are swifter than the eagles of the heaven: they pursued us upon the mountains, they laid wait for us in the wilderness. But this description cannot be applied to any nation with such propriety as to the Romans. They were truly brought from far, from the end of the earth. Vespasian and Adrian, the two greatest conquerors and destroyers of the Jews, both came from commanding here in Britain. The Romans too for the rapidity of their conquests might
very well be compared to eagles, and perhaps not without an allusion to the standard of the Roman armies, which was an eagle; and their language was more unknown to the Jews than the Chaldees.

2. The enemies of the Jews are farther characterized in the next verse, A nation of fierce countenance, which shall not regard the person of the old, nor show favor to the young. Such were the Chaldeans; and the sacred historian saith expressly, (2 Chron. xxxvi. 17.) that for the wickedness of the Jews God brought upon them the king of the Chaldees, who slew their young men with the sword, in the house of their sanctuary, and had no compassion upon young man or maiden, old man, or him that stooped for age; he gave them all into his hand. Such also were the Romans; for when Vespasian entered Gadara, (1) Josephus saith, that 'he slew all man by man, ' the Romans showing mercy to no age, out of hatred to 'the nation, and remembrance of their former injuries.' The like slaughter was made at Gamala. (2) For no 'body escaped besides two women, and they escaped by 'concealing themselves from the rage of the Romans. 'For they did not so much as spare young children, but 'every one at that time snatching up many cast them 'down from the citadel.'

3. Their enemies were also to besiege and take their cities, ver. 52. And he shall besiege thee in all thy gates, until thy high and fenced walls come down, wherein thou trustedst, throughout all thy land. So Shalmaneser king

(1) K. 21.40. (2) 2Mace. 1.52. 2Ch. 36.17. (3) Tac. Hist. 5. 2. 7.

of Assyria came up against Samaria, and besieged it, and at the end of three years they took it. (2 Kings xviii. 9, 10.) So did Sennacherib king of Assyria come up against all the fenced cities of Judah, and took them: (ib. ver. 13.) and Nebuchadnezzar and his captains took and spoiled Jerusalem, burnt the city and temple, and brake down the walls of Jerusalem round about. (ib. xxv. 10.) So likewise the Romans, as we may read in Josephus's history of the Jewish war, demolished several fortified places, before they besieged and destroyed Jerusalem. And the Jews may very well be said to have trusted in their high and fenced walls, for they seldom ventured a battle in the open field: They confided in the strength and situation of Jerusalem, as the Jebusites, the former inhabitants of the place, had done before them: (2 Sam. v. 6, 7.) insomuch that they are represented saying (Jer. xxii. 13.) Who shall come down against us? or who shall enter into our habitation? Jerusalem was indeed a very strong place, and wonderfully fortified both by nature and art according to the description of (3) Tacitus as well as of Josephus; and yet (4) how many times was it taken? It was taken by Sishak king of Egypt, by Nebuchadnezzar, by Antiochus Epiphanes, by Pompey, by Sosius and Herod, before its final destruction by Titus.

4. In these sieges they were to suffer much, and especially from famine, in the straitness wherewith their enemies should distress them, ver. 53, &c. And accordingly when the king of Syria besieged Samaria, there was a great famine in Samaria; and behold they besieged it until an ass's head was sold for fourscore pieces of silver, and the fourth part of a cab of doves dung for five pieces of silver. (2 Kings vi. 25.) And when Nebuchadnezzar besieged Jerusalem, the famine prevailed in the city, and there was no bread for the people of the land. (2 Kings xxv. 3.) And in the last siege of Jerusalem by the Romans there was a most terrible famine in the city, and Josephus hath given so melancholy an account of it, that we cannot read it
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without shuddering. He saith, particularly, (5) that women snatched the food out of the very mouths of their miserable husbands, and sons of their fathers, and (what is most abominable) mothers of their infants: and in (6) another place he saith, that in every house, if there appeared any semblance of food, a battle ensued, and the dearest friends and relations fought with one another, snatching away the miserable provisions of life: so literally were the words of Moses fulfilled, ver. 54, &c. the man's eye shall be evil toward his brother, and towards the wife of his bosom, and towards his children, because he hath nothing left him in the siege, and in the straights wherewith thine enemies shall distress thee in all thy gates, and in like manner the woman's eye shall be evil towards the husband of her bosom, and towards her son, and towards her daughter.

Nay it was expressly foretold, that not only the men, but even the women should eat their own children. Moses had foretold the same thing before, Levit. xxvi. 29, Ye shall eat the flesh of your sons, and the flesh of your daughters shall ye eat. He repeats it here ver. 53, And thou shalt eat the fruit of thine own body, the flesh of thy sons and of thy daughters: and more particularly, ver. 56, &c. The tender and delicate woman among you, who would not adventure to set the sole of her foot upon the ground for delicateness and tenderness—she shall eat her children for want of all things secretly in the siege and straights, where with thine enemies shall distress thee in thy gates. And it was fulfilled about 600 years after the time of Moses among the Israelites, when Samaria was besieged by the king of Syria, and two women agreed together, the one to give up her son to be boiled and eaten to day, and the other to deliver up her son to be dressed and eaten to-morrow, and one of them was eaten accordingly. (2 Kings vi. 28, 29.) It was fulfilled again about 900 years after the time of Moses among the Jews in the siege of Jerusalem before the Babylonish captivity; and Baruch thus expresseth it, (ii. 1, &c.) The Lord hath made good his word, which he pronounced against us, to bring upon us great plagues, such as never happened under the whole heavens, as it came to pass in Jerusalem, according to the things that were written in the law of Moses, that a man should eat the flesh of his own son, and the flesh of his own daughter: and Jeremiah thus laments it in his Lamentations, (iv. 10.) The hands of the pitiful women have sodden their own children, they were their meat in the destruction of the daughter of my people. And again it was fulfilled above 1500 years after the time of Moses in the last siege of Jerusalem by Titus; and we read in Josephus particularly of a noble woman's killing and eating her own sucking child. Moses saith, The tender and delicate woman among you, who would not adventure to set the sole of her foot upon the ground for delicateness and tenderness: and there cannot be a more natural and lively description of a woman, who was according to (7) Josephus illustrious for her family and riches. Moses saith, she shall eat them for want of all things: and according to Josephus she had been plundered of all her substance and provisions by the tyrants and soldiers. Moses saith that she should do it secretly: according to Josephus, when she had boiled and eaten half, she covered up the rest, and kept it for another time, At so many different times and distant periods hath this prophecy been fulfilled; and one would have thought that


such distress and horror had almost transcended imagination, and much less that any person could certainly have foreseen and foretold it.

6. Great numbers of them were to be destroyed, ver. 62. And ye shall be left few in number, whereas ye were as the stars of heaven for multitude. Now not to mention any other of the calamities and slaughters which they have undergone, there was in the last siege of Jerusalem by Titus an infinite multitude, saith (8) Josephus, who perished by famine; and he computes, that during the whole siege, the number of those who were destroyed by that and by the war amounted to eleven hundred thousand, the people being assembled from all parts to celebrate the passover: and the same author hath given us an account of 1,240,490 destroyed in Jerusalem and other parts of Judea, besides 99,200 made prisoners; as (9) Basnage has reckoned them up from that historian's account. Indeed there is not a nation upon earth, that hath been exposed to so many massacres and persecutions. Their history abounds with them. If God had not given them a promise of a numerous posterity, the whole race would many a time have been extirpated.

7. They were to be carried into Egypt, and sold for slaves at a very low price, ver. 68. And the Lord shall bring thee into Egypt again, with ships: and there ye shall be sold unto your enemies for bondmen and bondwomen, and no man shall buy you. They had come out of Egypt triumphant, but now they should return thither as slaves. They had walked thro' the sea as dry land at their coming out, but now they should be carried thither in ships. They might be carried thither in the ships of the Tyrian or Sidonian merchants, or by the Romans who had a fleet in the Mediterranean: and this was a much safer way of conveying so many prisoners, than sending them by land. It appears from (1) Josephus that in the reigns of the two first Ptolemies many of the Jews were slaves in Egypt. And when Jerusalem was taken by Titus, (2) of the captives who were above 17 years he sent many bound to the works in Egypt; those under 17 were sold; but so little care was taken of these captives, that eleven thousand of them perished for want. The markets were quite overstocked with them, so that Josephus says in another place, that they were sold with their wives and children at the lowest price, there being many to be sold and but few purchasers; so that hereby also was verified that of the Psalmist, (xlv. 13.) Thou sellest thy people for nought, and taketh no money for them. And we learn from (3) St. Jerome, that, 'after their last overthrow by Adrian, many thousands of them were sold, and those who could not be sold, were transported into Egypt, and perished by shipwreck or famine, or were massacred by the inhabitants.'

8. They were to be rooted out of their own land, ver. 63. And ye shall be plucked from off the land whither thou goest to possess it. They were indeed plucked from off their own land, when the ten tribes were carried into captivity by the king of Assyria, and other nations were planted in their stead; and when the two other tribes were carried away captive to Babylon; and when the Romans took away their place and nation;

(8) Tum quinque in ilium quae reseruaverunt calum tuam quinquies mense quatuor sita in Thebes. Eorum autem qui per civitatem flammam perierunt infinita quidem coecidit multitudo. [But of them who perished by famine throughout the city, there was an infinite multitude.] Bell. Jud. Lib. 6. Cap. 3. Sect. 3. p. 1274. tum quinque in ilium quae reseruaverunt calum tuam quinquies mense quatuor sita in Thebes. Eorum autem qui per civitatem flammam perierunt infinita quidem coecidit multitudo. [But of them who perished by famine throughout the city, there was an infinite multitude.] Bell. Jud. Lib. 6. Cap. 3. Sect. 3. p. 1274.
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besides other captivities and transportations of the people. Afterwards when the Emperor Adrian had subdued the rebellious Jews, he published an (4) edict forbidding them upon pain of death to set foot in Jerusalem, or even to approach the country round about it. Tertullian and Jerome say, (5) that they were prohibited from entering into Judea. From that time to this their country hath been in possession of foreign lords and masters, few of the Jews dwelling in it, and those only of a low servile condition. Benjamin of Tudela in Spain, a celebrated Jew of the twelfth century, traveled into all parts to visit those of his own nation, and to learn an exact state of their affairs: and he (6) hath reported, that Jerusalem was almost entirely abandoned by the Jews. He found there not above two hundred persons, who were for the most part dyers of wool, and who every year purchased the privilege of the monopoly of that trade. They lived all together under David's tower, and made there a very little figure. If Jerusalem had so few Jews in it, the rest of the holy land was still more depopulated. He found two of them in one city, twenty in another, most whereof were dyers. In other places there were more persons; but in upper Galilee, where the nation was in greatest repute after the ruin of Jerusalem, he found hardly any Jews at all. A very accurate and faithful (7) traveler of our own nation, who was himself also in the holy land, saith, that "it is for the most part now inhabited by Moors and Arabians; those possessing the valleys, and these the mountains. Turks there be few: but many Greeks with other Christians of all sects and nations, such as impute to the place an admirable holiness. Here be also some Jews, yet inherit they no part of the land, but in their own country do live as aliens."

9. But they were not only to be plucked off from their own land, but also to be dispersed into all nations, ver. 25. And thou shalt be removed into all the kingdoms of the earth; and again ver. 64. And the Lord shall scatter thee among all people, from one end of the earth even unto the other. Nehemiah (i. 8, 9.) confesseth that these words were fulfilled in the Babylonish captivity; but they have more amply been fulfilled since the great dispersion of the Jews by the Romans. What people indeed have been scattered so far and wide as they? and where is the nation, which is a stranger to them, or to which they are strangers? They swarm in many parts of the east, are spread through most of the countries of Europe and Africa, and there are several families of them in the West Indies. They circulate through all parts, where trade and money circulate; and are, as I may say, the brokers of the world.

10. But though they should be so dispersed, yet they should not be totally destroyed, but still subsist as a distinct people, as Moses had before foretold, Levit. xxvi. 44. And yet for all that, when they be in the land of their enemies, I will not cast them away, neither will I abhor them, to destroy them utterly, and to break my covenant with them. The Jewish nation (8) like the bush of Moses, hath been always burning, but is never consumed. And what a marvelous thing is it, that after so many wars, battles, and sieges, after so many fires, famines, and pestilences, after so many rebellions, massacres, and persecutions, after so many years of captivity, slavery, and misery, they are not destroyed utterly, and though scattered among all people, yet subsist as a distinct people by themselves? Where is any thing comparable to this to be found in all the histories, and in all the nations under the sun?

11. However, they should suffer much in their dispersion, and should not rest long in any place, ver. 65. And among these nations shalt thou find no ease, neither shall the sole of thy foot have rest. They have been so far from finding rest, that they have been banished from city to city, from country to country. In many places they

---

have been banished, and recalled, and banished again. We will only just mention their great banishments in modern times, and from countries very well known. In the latter end of the thirteenth century they (9) were banished from England by Edward I. and were not permitted to return and settle again till Cromwell's time. In the latter end of the fourteenth century they (1) were banished from France (for the seventh time, says Mezeray) by Charles VI; and ever since they have been only tolerated, they have not enjoyed entire liberty, except at Metz where they have a synagogue. In the latter end of the fifteenth century (2) they were banished from Spain by Ferdinand and Isabella; and according to Mariana, there were a hundred and seventy thousand families, or as some say eight hundred thousand persons who left the kingdom: Most of them paid dearly to John II. for a refuge in Portugal, but within a few years were expelled from thence also by his successor Emanuel. And in our own time, within these few years, they were banished from Prague by the queen of Bohemia.

12. They should be oppressed and spoiled evermore: and their houses and vineyards, their oxen and asses should be taken from them, and they should be only oppressed and crushed always, ver. 29. &c. And what frequent seizures have been made of their effects in almost all countries? how often have they been fined and fleeced by almost all governments? how often have they been forced to redeem their lives with what is almost as dear as their lives, their treasure? Instances are innumerable. We will only cite an (3) historian of our own, who says that Henry III. "always polled the Jews at every low "ebb of his fortunes." One Abraham, who was found "delinquent, was forced to pay seven hundred marks "for his redemption. Aaron, another Jew, protested

"that the king had taken from him at times thirty thou-"sand marks of silver, besides two hundred marks of "gold, which he had presented to the queen. And in "like manner he used many others of the Jews." And when they were banished in the reign of Edward I. their estates were confiscated, and immense sums thereby accu-"

13. Their sons and their daughters should be given unto another people, ver. 32. And in several countries, in Spain and Portugal particularly, their children have been taken from them by order of the government to be educated in the popish religion. The (4) fourth council of Toledo ordered, that all their children should be taken from them for fear they should partake of their errors, and that they should be shut up in monasteries, to be instructed in the christian truths. And when they were banished from Portugal, "the king," says (5) Mariana, "ordered all their children, under 14 years of age, to "be taken from them, and baptized; a practice not at "all justifiable," adds the historian, "because none "ought to be forced to become Christians, nor children "to be taken from their parents."

14. They should be mad for the sight of their eyes which they should see, ver. 34. And into what madness, fury, and desperation have they been pushed by the cruel usage, extortions, and oppressions which they have under-"

(9) See Kennet, Echard, and Basnage's Hist. of the Jews. B. 7. Chap. 19.
(1) On en joignit aux Juifs pour la septiesme-loin, &c. [It was injoined the Jews for the seventh time, &c.] See Mezeray Abregé Chronol. et Bas-"nave, B. 7. C. 18.
miserable manner; and only two women, and five boys escaped by hiding themselves in the aqueducts under ground. Such another instance we have in our English history. For (7) in the reign of Richard the first, when the people were in arms to make a great massacre of them, fifteen hundred of them seised on the city of York to defend themselves; but being besieged they offered to capitulate, and to ransom their lives with money. The offer being refused, one of them cried in despair, that it was better to die courageously for the law, than to fall into the hands of the Christians. Every one immediately took his knife, and stabbed his wife and children. The men afterwards retired into the king’s palace, which they set on fire, in which they consumed themselves with the palace and furniture.

15. They should serve other gods, wood and stone, ver. 36; and again ver 64, they should serve other gods, which neither they nor their fathers had known, even wood and stone. And is it not too common for the Jews in popish countries to comply with the idolatrous worship of the church of Rome, and to bow down to stocks and stones rather than their effects should be seised and confiscated? Here again we must cite the author, who hath most studied, and hath best written their modern history, and whom we have had occasion to quote several times in this discourse. “The Spanish and Portugal Inquisitions, (8) saith he, reduce them to the dilemma of being either hypocrites or burnt. The number of these dissemblers is very considerable; and it ought not to be concluded, that there are no Jews in Spain or Portugal, because they are not known: they are so much the more dangerous, for not only being very numerous, but confounded with the ecclesiastics, and entering into all ecclesiastical dignities.” In another (9) place he saith, “The most surprising thing is, that this religion spreads from generation to generation, and still subsists in the persons of dissemblers in a remote posterity. In vain the great lords of Spain (1) make alliances, change their names, and take ancient sects; they are still known to be of Jewish race, and Jews themselves. The convents of monks and nuns are full of them. Most of the canons, inquisitors, and bishops proceed from this nation. This is enough to make the people and clergy of this country tremble, since such sort of churchmen can only profane the sacraments, and want intention in consecrating the host they adore. In the mean time Orobio, who relates the fact, knew these dissemblers. He was one of them himself, and bent the knee before the sacrament. Moreover he brings proofs of his assertion, in maintaining, that there are in the synagogue of Amsterdam, brothers and sisters and near relations to good families of Spain and Portugal; and even Franciscan monks, Dominicans, and Jesuits, who come to do penance, and make amends for the crime they have committed in dissembling.”

16. They should become an astonishment, a proverb, and a by-word among all nations, ver. 37. And do we not hear and see this prophecy fulfilled almost every day? is not the avarice, usury, and hard-heartedness of a Jew grown proverbial? and are not their persons generally odious among all sorts of people? Mohammedans, Heathens, and Christians, however they may disagree in other points, yet generally agree in vilifying, abusing, and persecuting the Jews. In most places where they are tolerated, they are obliged to live in a separate quarter by themselves, (as they did here in the Old Jewry) and to wear some badge of distinction. Their very countenances commonly distinguish them from the rest of mankind. They are in all respects treated, as if they were of another species. And when a great master of nature would draw the portrait of a Jew, how detestable a character hath he represented in the person of his Jew of Venice!


(1) Limborch Collat. cum Jud. p. 102.
17. Finally their plagues should be wonderful, even great plagues, and of long continuance, ver. 59. And have not their plagues continued now these 1700 years? Their former captivities were very short in comparison: and (2) Ezekiel and Daniel prophesied in the land of the Chaldaeans: but now they have no true prophet to foretell an end of their calamities, they have only false Messiahs to delude them, and aggravate their misfortunes. In their former captivities they had the comfort of being conveyed to the same place; they dwelt together in the land of Goshen, they were carried together to Babylon: but now they are dispersed all over the face of the earth. What nation hath suffered so much, and yet endured so long? what nation hath subsisted as a distinct people in their own country, so long as these have done in their dispersion into all countries? and what a standing miracle is this exhibited to the view and observation of the whole world?

Here are instances of prophecies, prophecies delivered above three thousand years ago, and yet as we see fulfilling in the world at this very time: and what stronger proofs can we desire of the divine legation of Moses? How these instances may affect others, I know not, but for myself I must acknowledge, they not only convince, but amaze and astonish me beyond expression. They are truly as Moses foretold they would be, a sign, and a wonder for ever, ver. 45, 46. Moreover all these curses shall come upon thee, and shall pursue thee and overtake thee, till thou be destroyed; because thou hearkenest not unto the voice of the Lord thy God, to keep his commandments, and his statutes which he commanded thee: and they shall be upon thee for a sign and for a wonder, and upon thy seed for ever.


VI.

PROPHECIES OF OTHER PROPHETS CONCERNING THE JEWS.

BESIDES the prophecies of Moses, there are others of other prophets, relative to the present state and condition of the Jews. Such are those particularly concerning the restoration of the two tribes of Judah and Benjamin from captivity, and the dissolution of the ten tribes of Israel; and those concerning the preservation of the Jews, and the destruction of their enemies; and those concerning the desolation of Judea; and those concerning the infidelity and reprobation of the Jews; and those concerning the calling and obedience of the Gentiles. And it may be proper to say something upon each of these topics.

I. It was foretold, that the ten tribes of Israel should be carried captive by the kings of Assyria, and that the two remaining tribes of Judah and Benjamin should be carried captive by the king of Babylon: but with this difference, that the two tribes should be restored and return from their captivity, but the ten tribes should be dissolved and lost in theirs. Nay not only the captivity and restoration of the two tribes were foretold, but the precise time of their captivity and restoration was also prefixed and determined by the prophet Jeremiah: (xxv. 11.) This whole land shall be a desolation, and an astonishment; and these nations shall serve the king of Babylon seventy years; and again (xxix. 10.) Thus saith the Lord, that after seventy years be accomplished at Babylon, I will visit you, and perform my good word towards you, in causing you to return to this place. This prophecy was first delivered (Jer. xxv. 1.) in the fourth year of Jehoiakim the son of Josiah king of Judah, that was the first year of Nebuchadnezzar king of Babylon.
And this (1) same year it began to be put in execution; for Nebuchadnezzar invaded Judea, besieged and took Jerusalem, made Jehoiakim his subject and tributary, transported the finest children of the royal family and of the nobility to Babylon to be bred up there for eunuchs and slaves in his palace, and also carried away the vessels of the house of the Lord, and put them in the temple of his god at Babylon. Seventy years from this time will bring us down to the first year of Cyrus, (2 Chron. xxxvi. 22. Ezra i. 1.) when he made his proclamation for the restoration of the Jews, and for the rebuilding of the temple at Jerusalem. This computation of the seventy years captivity appear to be the truest, and most agreeable to scripture. But if you fix the commencement of these seventy years at the time when Jerusalem was burnt and destroyed, their (2) conclusion will fall about the time when Darius issued his decree for rebuilding the temple, after the work had been stopt and suspended. Or if you fix their commencement at the time when Nebuzaradan carried away the last remainder of the people, and completed the desolation of the land, their (3) conclusion will fall about the time when the temple was finished and dedicated, and the first passover was solemnized in it. “So that,” as Dean Prideaux says, “taking it which way you will, and at what stage you please, the prophecy of Jeremiah will be fully and exactly accomplished concerning this matter.” It may be said to have been accomplished at three different times, and in three different manners, and therefore possibly all might have been intended, tho’ the first without doubt was the principal object of the prophecy.

But the case was different with the ten tribes of Israel. It is very well known that Ephraim being the chief of the ten tribes is often put for all the ten tribes of Israel; and it was predicted by Isaiah (vii. 8.) Within threescore

and five years shall Ephraim be broken, that it be not a people. This prophecy was delivered in the first year of Ahaz king of Judah; for in the latter end of his father Joatham’s reign, (2 Kings xv. 37.) Rezin king of Syria and Pekah king of Israel began their expedition against Judah. They went up towards Jerusalem to war against it in the beginning of the reign of Ahaz; and it was to comfort him and the house of David in these difficulties and distresses, that the prophet Isaiah was commissioned to assure him, that the kings of Syria and Israel should remain only the heads of their respective cities, they should not prevail against Jerusalem, and within sixty and five years Israel should be so broken as to be no more a people. The learned (4) Vitringa is of opinion, that the text is corrupted, and that instead of sixty דִ֫שַּׁע and live it was originally written sixteen וַעֲשָׁר and five. Sixteen and five, as he confesseth, is an odd way of computation for one and twenty; but it designs perfectly the years of Ahaz and Hezekiah. For Ahaz reigned sixteen years, and Hezekiah five years alone, having reigned one year jointly with his father: and it was in the sixth year of Hezekiah (2 Kings viii. 11.) that Shalmaneser took Samaria, and carried away Israel unto Assyria. Then indeed the kingdom of Israel was broken: and the conjecture of Vitringa would appear much more probable, if it could be proved that it had ever been usual to write the numbers or dates of years partly in words at length, and partly in numeral letters. But without recourse to such an expedient the thing may be explicated otherwise. For from the first of Ahaz (5) compute sixty and five years in the reigns of Ahaz, Hezekiah, and Manasseh, the end of them will fall about the 22d year of Manasseh, when Esarhaddon king of Assyria made the last deportation of the Israelites, and planted other nations in their stead; and in the same expedition probably took Manasseh captive, (2 Chron. xxxiii. 11.) and carried him to Babylon. It is said

(1) See Usher, Prideaux, and the Commentators on 2 Kings xxiv,
2 Chron. xxxvi. and Dan. i.
(4) Comment. in locum.
(5) See Usher, Prideaux, &c.
expressly that it was Esarhaddon who planted the other
nations in the cities of Samaria; but it is not said expressly
in scripture, that he carried away the remainder of the
people, but it may be inferred from several circum-
stances of the story. There were other deportations
of the Israelites made by the kings of Assyria before this
time. In the reign of Ahaz, Tiglath-pilezer took many of
the Israelites, even the Reubenites, and the Gadites,
and the half tribe of Manasseh, and all the land of Naphtali,
and carried them captive to Assyria, and brought them
unto HALAH, and HABOR, and HARA, and to the river GO-
zan. (1 Chron. v. 26. 2 Kings xv. 29.) His son Shal-
maneser, in the reign of Hezekiah, took Samaria, and car-
ried away still greater numbers unto Assyria, and put
them in HALAH and in HABOR by the river of GOZAN (the
same places whither their brethren had been carried be-
fore them) and in the cities of the Medes. (2 Kings xviii.
11.) His son Sennacherib came up also against Heze-
kiah, and all the fenced cities of Judah; but his army
was miraculously defeated, and he himself was forced to
return with shame and disgrace into his own country,
where he was murdered by two of his sons. (2 Kings
xviii. 19.) Another of his sons, Esarhaddon succeeded
him in the throne, but it was some time before he could
recover his kingdom from these disorders, and think of
reducing Syria and Palestine again to his obedience; and
then it was, and not till then, that he completed the ruin
of the ten tribes, carried away the remains of the people,
and to prevent the land from becoming desolate, brought
men from BABYLON, and from Cuthah, and from Hava,
and from Hamath, and from Sepharvaim, and placed
them in the cities of Samaria, instead of the children of
ISRAEL. (Ezra iv. 2, 10. 2 King xvii. 24.) Ephraim
was broken from being a kingdom before, but now he
was broken from being a people. And from that time
to this what account can be given of the people of Israel
as distinct from the people of Judah? where have they
subsisted all this while? and where is their situation, or
what is their condition at present?

We see plainly that they were placed in Assyria and

Media: and if they subsisted any where, one would
imagine they might be found there in the greatest abun-
dance. But authors have generally sought for them
elsewhere: and the visionary writer of the second book of
Esdra (xiii. 40, &c.) hath asserted that they took
a resolution of retiring from the Gentiles, and of going
into a country which had never been inhabited; that
the river Euphrates was miraculously divided for their
passage, and they proceeded in their journey a year and
a half, before they arrived at this country, which was
called Arsareth. But the worst of it is, as this country
was unknown before, so it hath been equally unknown
ever since. It is to be found no where but in this
apocryphal book, which is so wild and fabulous in other
respects, that it deserves no credit in this particular.
Benjamin of Tudela, a Jew of the twelfth century, (6)
hath likewise assigned them a large and spacious country
with fine cities; but no body knoweth to this day where
it is situate. Eldad, another Jew of the thirteenth
century, hath placed them in Ethiopia and I know not
where, and hath made the Saracens and twenty-five
kingdoms tributary to them. Another Jewish writer,
Peritoul of Ferrara, who lived in the century before the
last, hath given them kingdoms in a country called Per-
richa, inclosed by unknown mountains, and bounded by
Assyria, and likewise in the deserts of Arabia, and even in
the East Indies. Manasseh, a famous rabbi of the last
century, and others have asserted, that they passed into
Tartary, and expelled the Scythians; and others again
from Tartary have conveyed them into America. But all
these differing accounts prove nothing but the great un-
certainty that there is in this matter. The best of them
are only conjectures without any solid foundation, but
most of them are manifest forgeries of the Jews to ag-
grandize their nation.

The difficulty of finding out the habitations of the

(6) For these particulars the reader may consult Basmag's Hist. of the
ten tribes hath induced (7) others to maintain, that they returned into their own country with the other two tribes after the Babylonish captivity. The decree indeed of Cyrus extended to all the people of God, (Ezra i. 3.) and that of Artaxerxes to all the people of Israel; (vii. 13.) and no doubt many of the Israelites took advantage of these decrees, and returned with Zerubbabel and Ezra to their own cities; but still the main body of the ten tribes remained behind. Ezra, who should best know, saith that there rose up the chief of the fathers of Judah and Benjamin, (i. 5.) and he calleth the Samaritans the adversaries of Judah and Benjamin; (iv. 1.) these two tribes were the principals, the others were only as accessories. And if they did not return at this time, they cannot be supposed to have returned in a body at any time after this; for we read of no such adventure in history, we know neither the time nor occasion of their return, nor who were their generals or leaders in this expedition. Josephus, who saw his country for several years in as flourishing a condition as at any time since the captivity, affirms that (8) Ezra sent a copy of the decree of

(7) See Calmet’s two Dissertations, Ist. Sur le pays où les dix tribus d’Israel transportées, et sur celui on elles sont aujourd’hui. [Concerning the country into which the ten tribes were carried, and concerning the present place of their abode.] Comment. Vol. 3. 24. On l’on examine si les tribus sont revenues de leur captivite, dans la terre d’Israel. [An inquiry, whether the ten tribes have returned from their captivity, into the land of Israel.] Comment. Vol. 6.

(8) το το ε’ αυτής αττής, το κατ’ και τον λεγεθείς Εφραίμ, και τον λεγεθείς τον Ιωσαφατ, και τον λεγεθείς τον Ιωάννη, και τον λεγεθείς τον Δαβιδ. [Concerning the country into which the ten tribes were carried, and concerning the present place of their abode.] Comment. Vol. 3. 24. [An inquiry, whether the ten tribes have returned from their captivity, into the land of Israel.] Comment. Vol. 6.

Artaxerxes to all of the same nation throughout Media, where the ten tribes lived in captivity, and many of them came with their effects to Babylon, desiring to return to Jerusalem; but the main body of the Israelites abode in that region; and therefore it hath happened, saith he, that there are two tribes in Asia and Europe, living in subjection to the Romans; but the ten tribes are beyond the Euphrates this time; and then addeth with the vanity of a Jew speaking of his countrymen, that they were so many myriads, that they could not be numbered.

Others, finding no good authority for admitting that the ten tribes of Israel were restored in the same manner as the two tribes of Judah and Benjamin, (9) have therefore asserted, that “the ten tribes of Israel, which had separated from the house of David, were brought to a full and utter destruction, and never after recovered themselves again. For those who were thus carried away (excepting only some few, who joining themselves to the Jews in the land of their captivity returned with them) soon going into the usages, and idolatry of the nations among whom they were planted, (to which they were too much addicted while in their own land) after a while became wholly absorbed, and swallowed up in them, and thence utterly losing their name, their language, and their memorial, were never after any more spoken of.” But if the whole race of Israel became thus extinct, and perished for ever, how can the numerous prophecies be fulfilled, which promise the future conversion and restoration of Israel as well as of Judah?

The truth I conceive to lie between these two opinions. Neither did they all return to Jerusalem, neither did all, who remained behind, comply with the idolatry of the Gentiles, among whom they lived. But whether they remained, or whether they returned, this prophecy of Isaiah was still fulfilled: the kingdom, the commonwealth, the state of Israel was utterly broken; they no

longer subsisted as a distinct people from Judah, they no longer maintained a separate religion; they joined themselves to the Jews from whom they had been unhappily divided, they lost the name of Israel as a name of distinction, and were thenceforth all in common called Jews. It appears from the book of Esther, that there were great numbers of Jews in all the hundred twenty and seven provinces of the kingdom of Ahasuerus or Artaxerxes Longimanus king of Persia, and they could not all be the remains of the two tribes of Judah and Benjamin, who had refused to return to Jerusalem with their brethren; they must many of them have been the descendants of the ten tribes whom the kings of Assyria had carried away captive; but yet they are all spoken of as one and the same people, and all without distinction are denominatized Jews. We read in the Acts of the Apostles, (ii. 9.) that there came to Jerusalem to celebrate the feast of Pentecost Parthians, and Medes, and Elamites, and the dwellers in Mesopotamia: these men came from the countries, wherein the ten tribes had been placed, and in all probability therefore were some of their posterity; but yet these as well as the rest are stiled (ver. 5.) Jews, devout men, out of every nation under heaven. Those likewise of the ten tribes, who returned to Jerusalem, united with the two tribes of Judah and Benjamin, and formed but one nation, one body of Jews; they might for some ages perhaps preserve their genealogies; but they are now incorporated together, and the distinction of tribes and families is in great measure lost among them; and they have all from the Babylonish captivity to this day been comprehended under the general name of Jews. In St. Paul's time there were several persons of all the ten tribes in being; for he speaketh of twelve tribes hoping to attain to the promise of God; (Acts xxvi. 7.) and St. James addresseth his epistle to the twelve tribes which are scattered abroad. (James i. 1.) And we make no question, that several persons of all the ten tribes are in being at present, tho' we cannot separate them from the rest; they are confounded with the other Jews; there is no difference, no distinction between them. The (1) Samaritans indeed (of whom there are still some remains at Sichem and the neighbouring towns) pretend to be the descendants of the children of Israel, but they are really derived from those nations, which Esarhaddon king of Assyria planted in the country, after he had carried thence the ten tribes into captivity. And for this reason the Jews call them by no other name than Cuthites, (the name of one of those nations) and exclaim against them as the worst of heretics, and if possible have greater hatred and abhorrence of them than of the Christians themselves.

Thus we see how the ten tribes of Israel were in a manner lost in their captivity, while the two tribes of Judah and Benjamin were restored and preserved several ages afterwards. And what, can you believe, were the reasons of God's making this difference and distinction between them? The ten tribes had totally revolted from God to the worship of the golden calves in Dan and Bethel; and for this, and their other idolatry and wickedness, they were suffered to remain in the land of their captivity. The Jews were restored, not so much for their own sakes, as for the sake of the promises made unto the fathers, the promise to Judah that the Messiah should come of his tribe, the promise to David that the Messiah should be born of his family. It was therefore necessary for the tribe of Judah, and the families of that tribe, to be kept distinct until the coming of the Messiah. But now these ends are fully answered, the tribes of Judah and Benjamin are as much confounded as any of the rest: all distinction of families and genealogies is lost among them; and the (2) Jews themselves acknowledge as much in saying, that when the Messiah shall come, it will be part of his office "to sort their families, restore the "genealogies, and set aside strangers."

11. The preservation of the Jews thro' so many ages, and the total destruction of their enemies are wonderful events; and are made still more wonderful by being

(1) See Prideaux as before.
(2) See Bishop Chandler's Defence of Christianity, Chap. 1. Sect. 2. p. 38. 3d Edit.
signified before hand by the spirit of prophecy, as we find particularly in the prophet Jeremiah, (xlvi. 28.)

*Fear not thou, O Jacob my servant, saith the Lord, for I am with thee, for I will make a full end of all the nations whither I have driven thee, but I will not make a full end of thee.*

The preservation of the Jews is really one of the most signal and illustrious acts of divine providence. They are dispersed among all nations, and yet they are not confounded with any. The drops of rain which fall, nay the great rivers which flow into the ocean, are soon mingled and lost in that immense body of waters: and the same in all human probability would have been the fate of the Jews; they would have been mingled and lost in the common mass of mankind; but on the contrary they flow into all parts of the world, mix with all nations, and yet keep separate from all. They still live as a distinct people, and yet they no where live according to their own laws, no where elect their own magistrates, no where enjoy the full exercise of their religion. Their solemn feasts and sacrifices are limited to one certain place, and that hath been now for many ages in the hands of strangers and aliens, who will not suffer them to come thither. No people have continued unmixed so long as they have done, not only of those who have sent forth colonies into foreign countries, but even of those who have abided in their own country. The northern nations have come in swarms into the more southern parts of Europe; but where are they now to be discerned and distinguished? The Gauls went forth in great bodies to seek their fortune in foreign parts; but what traces or footsteps of them are now remaining any where? In France who can separate the race of the ancient Gauls from the various other people, who from time to time have settled there? In Spain who can distinguish exactly between the first possessors the Spaniards, and the Goths, and the Moors, who conquered and kept possession of the country for some ages? In England who can pretend to say with certainty which families are derived from the ancient Britons, and which from the

**Romans, or Saxons, or Danes, or Normans?** The most ancient and honorable pedigrees can be traced up only to a certain period, and beyond that there is nothing but conjecture and uncertainty, obscurity and ignorance: but the Jews can go up higher than any nation, they can even deduce their pedigree from the beginning of the world. They may not know from what particular tribe or family they are descended, but they know certainly that they all sprung from the stock of Abraham. And yet the contempt with which they have been treated, and the hardships which they have undergone in almost all countries, should one would think have made them desirous to forget or renounce their original; but they profess it, they glory in it: and after so many wars, massacres, and persecutions, they still subsist, they still are very numerous: and what but a supernatural power could have preserved them in such a manner as none other nation upon earth hath been preserved?

Nor is the providence of God less remarkable in the destruction of their enemies, than in their preservation. For from the beginning, who have been the great enemies and oppressors of the Jewish nation, removed them from their own land, and compelled them into captivity and slavery? The Egyptians afflicted them much, and detained them in bondage several years. The Assyrians carried away captive the ten tribes of Israel, and the Babylonians afterwards the two remaining tribes of Judah and Benjamin. The Syro-Macedonians, especially Antiochus Epiphanes, cruelly persecuted them: and the Romans utterly dissolved the Jewish state, and dispersed the people so that they have never been able to recover their city and country again. But where are now these great and famous monarchies, which in their turns subdued and oppressed the people of God? Are they not vanished as a dream, and not only their power, but their very names lost in the earth? The Egyptians, Assyrians, and Babylonians were overthrown, and entirely subdued by the Persians: and the Persians, (it is remarkable) were the restorers of the Jews, as well as the destroyers of
be an effectual means of opening their eyes, and of turning them to Christ our Saviour.

III. The desolation of Judea is another memorable instance of the truth of prophecy. It was foretold so long ago as by Moses, (Levit. xxvi. 33,) I will scatter you among the heathen, and will draw out a sword after you; and your land shall be desolate, and your cities waste. It was foretold again by Isaiah the prophet speaking as prophets often do, of things future as present: (i. 7, 8, 9.) Your country is desolate, your cities are burnt with fire; your land, strangers devour it in your presence, and it is desolate as overthrown by strangers. And the daughter of Zion is left as a cottage in a vineyard, as a lodge in a garden of cucumbers, as a besieged city. This passage may relate immediately to the times of Ahaz and Hezekiah; but it must have a farther reference to the devastations made by the Chaldeans, and especially by the Romans. In this sense it is understood by (7) Justin Martyr, Tertullian, Jerome, and most ancient interpreters: and the following words imply no less than a general destruction, and almost total excision of the people, such as they suffered under the Chaldeans, but more fully under the Romans; Except the Lord of hosts had left unto us a very small remnant, we should have been as Sodom, and we should have been like unto Gomorrah. The same thing was again foretold by Jeremiah; for speaking afterwards of the conversion of the Gentiles, and of the restoration of the Jews in the latter days, he must be understood to speak here of the times preceding, (xii. 10, 11.) Many pastors (princes or leaders) have destroyed my vineyard, they have troden my portion under foot, they have made my pleasant portion a desolate wilderness; they have made it desolate, and being desolate it mourneth unto me; the whole land is made desolate, because no man layeth it to heart.

The same thing is expressed or implied in other places: and hath not the state of Judea now for many ages been

exactly answerable to this description? That a country should be depopulated and desolated by the incursions and depredations of foreign armies is nothing wonderful; but that it should lie so many ages in this miserable condition is more than man could foresee, and could be revealed only by God. A celebrated French writer (8) in his history of the Crusades, pretends to exhibit a true picture of Palestine, and he says that then “it was just what it is at present, the worst of all the inhabited countries of Asia. It is almost wholly covered with parched rocks, on which there is not one line of soil. “If this small territory were cultivated, it might not impossibly be compared to Switzerland.” But there is no need of citing authorities to prove that the land is forsaken of its inhabitants, is uncultivated, unfruitful, and desolate; for the enemies of our religion make this very thing an objection to the truth of our religion. They say that so barren and wretched a country could never have been a land flowing with milk and honey, nor have supplied and maintained such multitudes, as it is represented to have done in scripture. But they do not see or consider, that hereby the prophecies are fulfilled: so that it is rather evidence for the truth of our religion, than any argument against it.

The country was formerly a good country, if we may believe the concurrent testimony of those who should best know it, the people who inhabited it. Aristeas and Josephus too (9) speak largely in commendation of its fruitfulness: and the same thing may be allowed to national prejudices, yet they would hardly have had the confidence to assert a thing, which all the world could easily contradict and disprove. Nay there are even heathen authors who bear testimony to the fruitfulness of the land: tho’ we presume, that after the Babylonish captivity it never recovered to be again what it was before. Strabo (1) describes indeed the country about Jerusalem as rocky and barren, but he commends other parts, particularly about Jordan and Jericho. Hecataeus (2) quoted by Josephus giveth it the character of one of the best and most fertile countries. Tacitus (3) saith that it raineth seldom, the soil is fruitful, fruits abound as with us, and besides them the balsam and palm trees. And notwithstanding the long desolation of the land, there is still visible such marks and tokens of fruitfulness, as may convince any man that it once deserved the character, which is given of it in scripture. I would only refer the reader to two learned and ingenious travelers of our own nation, Mr. Maundrell and Dr. Shaw; and he will fully be satisfied of the truth of what is here asserted.

The (4) former says, that “all along this day’s travel (Mar. 25.) From Kane Lebanon to Beer, and also as far as we could see around the country discovered a quite different face from what it had before; presenting nothing to the view in most places, but naked rocks, mountains, and precipices. At sight of which, pilgrims are apt to be much astonished and baulked in their expectations; finding that country in such an inhospitable condition, concerning whose pleasantness and plenty they had before formed in their minds such high ideas from the description given of it, in the word of God: insomuch that it almost startles their faith when they reflect how it could be possible for a land like this to supply food for so prodigious a number of inhabitants, as are said to have been polled in the twelve tribes at one time; the sum given in by Job 2 Sam. xxiv, amounting to no less than thirteen hundred thousand fighting men, besides women and children. But it is certain that any man, who is not a little biassed to infidelity before, may see, as he passes along, arguments enough to support his faith against

(8) Voltaire’s Hist. not far from the beginning.
(3) Taciti Hist. Lib. 5. rari imbres, uber solum, exuberant fruges nostri ad amore, praestque cres, balsamum et palmam. [Translated in the text]
(4) Maundrell, p. 64, &c. 5th Edit.
such scruples. For it is obvious for any one to observe, that these rocks and hills must have been anciently covered with earth, and cultivated, and made to contribute to the maintenance of the inhabitants, no less than if the country had been all plain: nay, perhaps much more; forasmuch as such a mountainous and uneven surface affords a larger space of ground for cultivation, than this country would amount to, if it were all reduced to a perfect level. For the husbanding of these mountains, their manner was to gather up the stones, and place them in several rows, along the sides of the hills, in form of a wall. By such borders they supported the mould from tumbling or being washed down; and formed many beds of excellent soil, rising gradually one above another, from the bottom to the top of the mountains. Of this form of culture you see evident footsteps, wherever you go in all the mountains of Palestine. Thus the very rocks were made fruitful. And perhaps there is no spot of ground in this whole land, that was not formerly improved, to the production of something or other, ministering to the sustenance of human life. For than the plain countries nothing can be more fruitful, whether for the production of corn or cattle, and consequently of milk. The hills, though improper for all cattle except goats, yet being disposed into such beds as are before described, served very well to bear corn, melons, gourds, cucumbers, and such like garden-stuff, which makes the principal food of these countries for several months in the year. The most rocky parts of all which could not well be adjusted in that manner for the production of corn, might yet serve for the plantation of vines and olive trees; which delight to extract, the one its fatness, the other its sprightly juice, chiefly out of such dry and flinty places. And the great plain joining to the dead sea, which by reason of its saltiness might be thought unserviceable both for cattle, corn, olives and vines, had yet its proper usefulness for the nourishment of bees, and for the fabric of honey; of which Josephus gives us his testi-
barren and unfruitful. Yet granting this conclusion, which is far from being just, a kingdom is not to be
denominated barren or unfruitful from one part of it
only, but from the whole. Nay farther, the blessing
that was given to Judah, was not of the same kind
with the blessing of Asher or of Issachar, that his
bread should be fat, or his land should be pleasant,
but that his eyes should be red with wine and his
teeth should be white with milk. Gen. xlix. 12. Moses
also maketh milk and honey (the chief dainties and
subsistence of the earlier ages, as they continue to be
of the Bedoween Arabs) to be (6) the glory of all
lands: all which productions are either actually en-
joyed, or at least might be, by proper care and appli-
cation. The plenty of wine alone is wanting at pre-
sent; yet from the goodness of that little, which is still
made at Jerusalem and Hebron, we find that these bar-
ren rocks (as they are called) might yield a much
greater quantity, if the abstemious Turk and Arab
would permit a further increase and improvement to
be made of the vine, &c.

IV. Nothing can be a stronger or clearer proof of the
divine inspiration of the prophets, than their foretelling
not only the outward actions, but even the inward dispo-
sitions of men, many ages before those men were in be-
ing. The prophets were naturally prejudiced in favor of
their own nation; but yet they foretold the infidelity and
reprobation of the Jews, their disbelief of the Messiah,
and thereupon their rejection by God. We will not mul-
tiply quotations to this purpose. It will be sufficient to
produce one or two passages from the evangelical pro-
phet Isaiah. The 53d chapter is a most famous prophecy
of the Messiah: and it begins with upbraiding the Jews
for their unbelief, Who hath believed our report! and
to whom is the arm of the Lord revealed? which St.
John (xii. 38.) and St. Paul (Rom. x. 16.) have expressly

(6) As Bishop Pearce observes, Is not this a mistake in Dr. Shaw? The
words are not of Moses but of Ezekiel, (xx. 6. 15.) and he does not seem to
call the milk and honey the glory of all lands; but the land, which did abound
with milk and honey, he rather calls the glory of all lands.
is a remarkable gradation in the denouncing of these judg-
ments. Not only Jerusalem and the cities should be wast-
ed without inhabitant, but even the single houses should
be without man; and not only the houses of the cities
should be without man, but even the country should be
utterly desolate; and not only the people should be re-
moved out of the land, but the Lord should remove them
far away: and they should not be removed for a short
period, but there should be a great or rather a long for-
saking in the midst of the land. And hath not the world
seen all these particulars exactly fulfilled? Have not the
Jews labored under a spiritual blindness and infatuation
in hearing but not understanding; in seeing but not per-
ceiving the Messiah, after the accomplishment of so many
prophecies, after the performance of so many miracles?
And in consequence of their refusing to convert and be
healed, have not their cities been wasted without inha-
abitant, and their houses without man? Hath not their
land been utterly desolate? Have they not been removed
far away into the most distant parts of the earth? And
hath not their removal or banishment been now of near
1700 years duration? And do they not still continue deaf
and blind, obstinate and unbelieving? The Jews, at the
time of the delivery of this prophecy, gloried in being
the peculiar church and people of God: and would any
Jew of himself have thought or have said, that his nation
would in process of time become an infidel and reprobate
nation, infidel and reprobate for many ages, oppressed
by men, and forsaken by God? It was 750 years before
Christ, that Isaiah predicted these things; and how could
he have predicted them, unless he had been illuminated by
the divine vision; or how could they have succeeded ac-
cordingly, unless the spirit of prophecy had been the spi-
rit of God?

V. Of the same nature are the prophecies concerning
the calling and obedience of the Gentiles. How could
such an event be foreseen hundreds of years before it hap-
pened? but the prophets are full of the glorious subject,
and speak with delight and rapture of the universal king-
dom of the Messiah, that God would give unto him the
heathen for his inheritance, and the uttermost parts of the
earth for his possession. (Psal. ii. 8.) that all the ends
of the world should remember and turn unto the Lord, and
all the kindreds of the nations should worship before him;
(Psal. xxii. 27.) that in the last days the mountain of the
house of the Lord should be established in the top of the
mountains, and should be exalted above the hills, and all
people should flow unto it; (Micah iv. 1.) which passage is
also to be found in Isaiah; (ii. 2.) that from the rising of
the sun even to the going down of the same, my name shall
be great among the Gentiles, and in every place incense
shall be offered unto my name, and a pure offering; for
my name shall be great among the heathens, saith the
Lord of hosts. (Mal. i. 11.) But the prophet Isaiah is more
copious upon this as well as other evangelical subjects:
and his 49th and 60th chapters treat particularly of the
glory of the church in the abundant access of the Gentiles.
It is a light thing that thou shouldest be my servant to
raise up the tribes of Jacob, and to restore the pre-
served of Israel: I will also give thee for a light to the
Gentiles, that thou mayest be my salvation unto the end
of the earth. (xliv. 6.) Arise, shine, for thy light is come,
and the glory of the Lord is risen upon thee. The Gen-
tiles shall come to thy light, and kings to the brightness
of thy rising. The abundance of the sea shall be con-
verted unto thee; the forces of the Gentiles shall come
unto thee, &c. (lx. 1, 3, 5, &c.)

It is as absurd as it is vain in the Jews to apply these
prophecies to the proselytes whom they have gained
among the nations; for the number of their proselytes was
very inconsiderable, and nothing to answer these pom-
pous descriptions. Neither was their religion ever de-
signed by its founder for an universal religion, their wor-
ship and sacrifices being confined to one certain place,
whither all the males were obliged to repair thrice every
year; so that it was plainly calculated for a particular
people, and could never become the religion of the whole
world. There was indeed to be a religion, which was
designed for all nations, to be preached in all, and to be
received in all: but what prospect of probability was there,
that such a generous institution should proceed from such a narrow-minded people as the Jews, or that the Gentiles who hated and despised them should ever receive a religion from them? Was it not much more likely, that they should be corrupted by the example of all the nations around them, and be induced to comply with the polytheism and idolatry of some of their powerful neighbours and conquerors, to which they were but too much inclined of themselves; was not this I say, much more likely than that they should be the happy instruments of reforming the world, and converting some of all nations to the worship of the one only God in spirit and in truth?

But the prophet farther intimates, that this great revolution, the greatest that ever was in the religious world, should be effected by a few incompetent persons, and effected too in a short compass of time. A little one shall become a thousand, and a small one a strong nation: I the Lord will hasten it in his time. (Is. 22.) Our Saviour's commission to his apostles was, Go, teach all nations: and who were the persons to whom this commission was given? those who were best qualified and able to carry it into execution? the rich, the wise, the mighty of this world? No, they were chiefly a few poor fishermen, of low parentage and education, of no learning or eloquence, of no policy or address, of no repute or authority, despised as Jews by the rest of mankind, and as the meanest and worst of Jews by the Jews themselves. And what improper persons were these to contend with the prejudices of all the world, the superstitions of the people, the interests of the priests, the vanity of philosophers, the pride of rulers, the malice of the Jews, the learning of Greece, and the power of Rome?

As this revolution was effected by a few incompetent persons, so was it effected too in a short compass of time. After our Saviour's ascension the number of disciples together was about an hundred and twenty: (Acts i. 15.) but they soon increased and multiplied; the first sermon of St. Peter added unto them about three thousand souls (ii. 41.) and the second made up the number about five thousand. (iv. 4.) Before the destruction of Jerusalem, in the space of about forty years, the gospel was preached in almost every region of the world then known: And in the reign of Constantine, Christianity became the religion of the empire; and after having suffered a little under Julian it entirely prevailed and triumphed over paganism and idolatry; and still prevails in the most civilized and improved parts of the earth. All this was more than man could foresee, and much more than man could execute; and we experience the good effects of these prophecies at this day. The speedy propagation of the gospel could not have been effected by persons so unequal to the task, if the same divine Spirit who foretold it, had not likewise assisted them in it, according to the promise, I the Lord will hasten it in his time. We may be as certain as if we had seen it, that the truth really was, as the evangelist affirms, (Mark xvi. 20.) They went forth and preached every where, the Lord working with them, and confirming the word with signs following.

But neither the prophecies concerning the Gentiles, nor those concerning the Jews, have yet received their full and entire completion. Our Saviour hath not yet had the uttermost parts of the earth for his possession: (Psal. ii. 8.) All the ends of the world have not yet turned unto the Lord; (xxii. 27.) All people, nations, and languages, have not yet served him: (Dan. vii. 14.) These things have hitherto been only partially, but they will even literally be fulfilled. Neither are the Jews yet made an eternal excellency, a joy of many generations. (Is. ix. 15.) The time is not yet come, when violence shall no more be heard in the land, wasting nor destruction within their borders. (ver. 18.) God's promises to them are not yet made good in their full extent. Behold, I will take the children of Israel from among the heathen, whether they be gone, and will gather them on every side, and bring them into their own land. And they shall dwell in the land that I have given unto Jacob my servant, even they and their children, and their children's children for ever, and my servant David shall be their prince for ever. (Ezek. xxxvi. 21; 25.) Then shall they know that I am the Lord their God, who caused them to be led into captivity
among the heathen; but I have gathered them unto their own land, and have left none of them any more there. Neither will I hide my face any more from them, for I have poured out my spirit upon the house of Israel, saith the Lord God. (xxxix. 28, 29.) However what hath already been accomplished is a sufficient pledge and earnest of what is yet to come: and we have all imaginable reason to believe, since so many of these prophecies are fulfilled, that the remaining prophecies will be fulfilled also: that there will be yet a greater harvest of the nations, and the yet unconverted parts of the earth will be enlightened with the knowledge of the Lord; that the Jews will in God's good time be converted to Christianity, and upon their conversion be restored to their native city and country: and especially since the state of affairs is such, that they may return without much difficulty, having no dominion, no settled country, or fixed property to detain them much any where. We have seen the prophecy of Hosce (iii. 4, 5.) fulfilled in part, and why should we not believe that it will be fulfilled in the whole? The children of Israel shall abide many days without a king, and without a prince, and without a sacrifice, and without an image or altar, and without an ephod or priest to wear an ephod, and without teraphim or divine manifestations. Afterward shall the children of Israel return, and seek the Lord their God, and David their king, and shall fear the Lord and his goodness in the latter days.

We have now exhibited a summary view of the prophecies of the Old Testament more immediately relative to the present state and condition of the Jews: and what stronger and more convincing arguments can you require of the truth both of the Jewish and of the Christian religion? The Jews were once the peculiar people of God: and as St. Paul saith, (Rom. xi. 1.) Hath God cast away his people? God forbid. We see that after so many ages they are still preserved by a miracle of providence a distinct people; and why is such a continual miracle exerted, but for the greater illustration of the divine truth, and the better accomplishment of the divine promises, as well those which are yet to be, as those which are already fulfilled? We see that the great empires, which in their turns subdued and oppressed the people of God, are all come to ruin: because they executed the purposes of God, yet that was more than they understood; all that they intended was to satiate their own pride and ambition, their own cruelty and revenge. And if such hath been the fatal end of the enemies and oppressors of the Jews, let it serve as a warning to all those, who at any time or upon any occasion are for raising a clamor and persecution against them. They are blameable no doubt for persisting in their infidelity after so many means of conviction; but this is no warrant or authority for us to prescribe, to abuse, injure, and oppress them, as Christians of more zeal than either knowledge or charity have in all ages been apt to do. Charity is greater than faith: and it is worse in us to be cruel and uncharitable, than it is in them to be obstinate and unbelieving. Persecution is the spirit of popery, and in the worst of popish countries the Jews are the most cruelly used and persecuted: the spirit of protestantism is toleration and indulgence to weaker consciences. Compassion to this unhappy people is not to defeat the prophecies; for only wicked nations were to harrass and oppress them, the good were to show mercy to them; and we should choose rather to be the dispensers of God's mercies than the executioners of his judgments. Read the eleventh chapter of the Epistle to the Romans, and see what the great apostle of the Gentiles, who certainly understood the prophecies better than any of us can pretend to do, saith of the infidelity of the Jews. Some of the Gentiles of his time valued themselves upon their superior advantages, and he reproves them for it, that they who were cut out of the olive-tree which is wild by nature, and were grafted contrary to nature into a good olive-tree, should presume to boast against the natural branches: (ver. 24, 18.) but what would he have said, how would he have termed and lightened, if they had made religion an instrument of faction, and had been for stirring up a persecution against them? We should consider, that to them we owe the oracles of God,
the scriptures of the New Testament as well as the Old: we should consider, that the glorious company of the apostles as well as the goodly fellowship of the prophets were Jews: we should consider, that of them as concerning the flesh Christ came, the Saviour of the world: and surely something of kindness and gratitude is due for such infinite obligations. 'Tho' they are now broken off, yet they are not utterly cast away. Because of unbelief, as St. Paul argues (ver. 20.) they were broken off, and thou standest by faith: Be not high-minded, but fear. There will be a time when they will be grafted in again, and again become the people of God; for as the apostle proceeds, (ver. 25, 26) I would not brethren that ye should be ignorant of this mystery (lest ye should be wise in your own conceits) that blindness in part is happened to Israel, until the fulness of the Gentiles be come in; and so all Israel shall be saved. And which (think ye) is the most likely method to contribute to their conversion, which are the most natural means to reconcile them to us and our religion, prayer, argument, long-suffering, gentleness, goodness; or noise and inductive, injury and outrage, the malice of some, and the folly and madness of more? They cannot be worse than when they crucified the Son of God, and persecuted his apostles; but what saith our Saviour; (Luke xxiii. 34.) Father forgive them, for they know not what they do: what saith his apostle St. Paul? (Rom. x. 1.) Brethren, my heart's desire and prayer to God for Israel is, that they might be saved. In conformity to these blessed examples our church hath also taught us to pray for them; and how can prayer and persecution consist and agree together? They are only pretended friends to the church, but real enemies to religion, who encourage persecution of any kind. All true sons of the church, all true protestants, all true christians will, as the apostle adviseth, (Eph. iv. 31.) put away all bitterness, and wrath, and anger, and clamor, and evil speaking, with all malice: and will join heart and voice in that excellent collect—Have mercy upon all Jews, Turks, infidels, and heretics, and take from them all ignorance, hardness of heart, and contempt of thy word: and so

fetch them home, blessed Lord, to thy flock, that they may be saved among the remnant of the true Israelites, and be made one fold under one shepherd, Jesus Christ our Lord.

IX.

THE PROPHECIES CONCERNING NINEVEH.

As the Jews were the peculiar people of God, the prophets were sent to them chiefly, and the main subjects of the prophecies are the various changes and revolutions in the Jewish church and state. But the spirit of prophecy is not limited there; other subjects are occasionally introduced; and for the greater manifestation of the divine providence, the fate of other nations is also foretold; and especially of those nations, which lay in the neighbourhood of Judæa, and had intercourse and connections with the Jews; and whose good or ill fortune therefore was of some concern and consequence to the Jews themselves. But here it is greatly to be lamented, that of these eastern nations and of these early times we have very short and imperfect accounts; we have no regular histories, but only a few fragments of history, which have escaped the general shipwreck of time. If we possessed the Assyrian history written by Abydenus, and the Chaldean by Berosus, and the Egyptian by Manetho; we might in all probability be better enabled to explain the precise meaning, and to demonstrate the exact completion of several ancient prophecies: but for want of such helps and assistances we must be glad of a little glimmering light, wherever we can see it. We see enough however, tho' not to discover the beauty and exactness of each particular, yet to make us admire in the general these wonders of pro-
vidence, and to show that the condition of cities and kingdoms hath been such, as the prophets had long ago foretold. And we will begin with the instance of Nineveh.

Nineveh was the metropolis of the Assyrian empire, and the Assyrians were formidable enemies to the kingdoms both of Israel and Judah. In the days of Menahem king of Israel the king of Assyria invaded the land and was bought off with a thousand talents of silver. (2 Kings xv. 19.) A few years afterwards in the days of Pekah king of Israel came Tiglath-pileser king of Assyria, and took several cities, and Gilead, and Galilee, all the land of Naphtali, and carried them captive to Assyria. (2 Kings xv. 29.) The same Tiglath-pileser was invited by Ahaz king of Judah to come and assist him, against Rezin king of Syria, and Pekah king of Israel: And Ahaz took the silver and gold that was found in the house of the Lord, and in the treasures of the king’s house, and sent it for a present to the king of Assyria. (2 Kings xvi. 8.) The king of Assyria came accordingly to his assistance, and routed his enemies: but still as another sacred writer saith, distressed him, and strengthened him not. (2 Chron. xxviii. 20.) A little after in the days of Hoshea king of Israel Shahmaneser the king of Assyria came up throughout all the land, and after a siege of three years took Samaria, and carried Israel away into Assyria, and placed them in Halah, and in Habor by the river of Gozan, and in the cities of the Medes. (2 Kings xvii. 5, 6.) It was in the sixth year of Hezekiah, king of Judah, that Shahmaneser king of Assyria carried Israel away captive: and in the fourteenth year of king Hezekiah, did Sennacherib king of Assyria come up against all the fenced cities of Judah and took them. (2 Kings xvii. 10, 13.) And the king of Assyria exacted of the king of Judah three hundred talents of silver and thirty talents of gold: so that even good king Hezekiah was forced to give him all the silver that was found in the house of the Lord, and in the treasures of the king’s house. (ver. 14, 15.) Sennacherib notwithstanding sent his captains with a great host against Jerusalem (ver. 17 :) but his army was miraculously de-

feated, and he himself was afterwards slain at Nineveh, (2 Kings xix. 35, 36, 37.) His son Eshbaddon completed the deportation of the Israelites, and brought men from Babylon, and from Cuthah, and from Ava, and from Hamath, and from Sepharvaim, and placed them in the cities of Samaria instead of the children of Israel; and they possessed Samaria, and dwelt in the cities thereof. (2 Kings xvii. 24. Ezra iv. 2.) We see then that the Assyrians totally destroyed the kingdom of Israel, and greatly oppressed the kingdom of Judah: and no wonder therefore that they are made the subject of several prophecies.

The prophet Isaiah denounceth the judgments of God against Sennacherib in particular, and against the Assyrians in general. O Assyrian, the rod of mine anger, or rather, Woe to the Assyrian, the rod of mine anger, (x. 5.) God might employ them as the ministers of his wrath, and executioners of his vengeance; and so make the wickedness of some nations the means of correcting that of others: I will send him against an hypocritical nation; and against the people of my wrath will I give him a charge to take the spoil, and to take the prey, and to tread them down like the mire in the streets. (ver. 6.) But it was far from any intent of theirs to execute the divine will, or to chastise the vices of mankind; they only meant to extend their conquests, and establish their own dominion upon the ruins of others: Howbeit he meaneth not so, neither doth his heart think so, but it is in his heart to destroy, and cut off nations not a few. (ver. 7.) Wherefore when they shall have served the purposes of divine providence, they shall be severely punished for their pride and ambition, their tyranny and cruelty to their neighbours: Wherefore it shall come to pass, that when the Lord hath performed his whole work upon mount Zion, and on Jerusalem, I will punish the fruit of the stout heart of the king of Assyria, and the glory of his high looks. (ver. 12.) There was no prospect of such an event, while the Assyrians were in the midst of their successes and triumphs; but still the word of the prophet prevailed; and it was not long after these calamities
brought upon the Jews, of which we have given a short deduction, that the Assyrian empire properly so called was overthrown, and Nineveh destroyed.

Nineveh, or Ninus, as it was most usually called by the Greeks and Romans, was, as we said before, the capital city of the Assyrian empire; and the capital is frequently put for the whole empire, the prosperity or ruin of the one being involved in that of the other. This was a very ancient city, being built by Asshur or as others say by Nimrod; for those words of Moses, (Gen. x. 11.) which our translators together with most of the ancient versions render thus, Out of that land went forth Asshur and built Nineveh, others translate, as the (1) Chaldee paraphrast translates them, and as they are rendered in the margin of our bibles, Out of that land he, that is Nimrod, the person spoken of before, went forth into Assyria and built Nineveh. It is well known that the word Asshur in Hebrew is the name of the country as well as the name of the man, and the preposition is often omitted, so that the words may very well be translated he went forth into Assyria. And Moses is here giving an account of the sons of Ham, and it may seem foreign to his subject to intermix the story of any the sons of Shem, as Asshur was. Moses afterwards recounts the sons of Shem, and Asshur among them; and it is presumed that he would hardly relate his actions, before he had mentioned his nativity, or even his name, contrary to the series of the genealogy and to the order of the history. But this notwithstanding I incline to understand the text literally as it is translated, Out of that land went forth Asshur, being expelled thence by Nimrod, and built Nineveh and other cities, in opposition to the cities which Nimrod had founded in the land of Shinar. And neither is it foreign to the subject, nor contrary to the order of the history, upon the mention of Nimrod's invading and seising the territories of Asshur, to relate whither Asshur retreated and where he fortified himself against him. But by whomsoever Ni-

(2) Deo magna civitas, obscuratae a Thesp. [a city great to God.] Sept.


ney, that is of three days journey in circuit, as St Jerome and the best commentators expound it. Strabo, as it was observed before, hath said that Nineveh was much larger than Babylon; and a little afterwards he says, that (6) the circuit of Babylon was 385 furlongs: but (7) Diodorus Siculus asserts that the whole circuit of Nineveh was 480 furlongs; which (8) makes somewhat more than 60 miles, and 60 miles were three days journey, 20 miles a day being the common computation of a foot traveler. It is farther said in Jonah (iv. 11,) that in Nineveh there were more than sixscore thousand persons who could not discern between their right hand, and their left hand, and also much cattle. I think it is (9) generally calculated that the young children of any place are a fifth part of the inhabitants; and if we admit of that calculation, the whole number of inhabitants in Nineveh amounted to above six hundred thousand: which number will appear by no means incredible, if we consider the dimensions of the city as given by (1) Diodorus Siculus, that it was in length 150 furlongs, in breadth 90 furlongs, and in circuit 480 furlongs, that is 20 miles long, about 12 miles broad, and above 60 miles in compass. A city of such dimensions might easily contain such a number of inhabitants, and many more: and at the same time there might be, as there are in most of the great cities of the east, large vacant spaces for gardens or for pasture; so that there might be, as the sacred text asserts there was, also much cattle. But according to the (2) modern method of calculation the number of the Ninevites is reduced much lower. For allowing that the number of infants was one hundred and thirty thousand, as the scripture saith that they were more than one hundred and twenty thousand; yet these making but three tenths of the inhabitants, the number of citizens will appear to have amounted to four hundred and twenty-three thousand. London and Paris stand not upon one quarter of the ground, and yet are supposed to contain more inhabitants; London even more than the former calculation, and Paris more than the latter; it being (3) computed that in London there are about 725,943 persons, and about 437,478 in Paris.

The inhabitants of Nineveh, like those of other great cities, abounding in wealth and luxury, became very corrupt in their morals. Whereupon it pleased God to commission the prophet Jonah to preach unto them the necessity of repentance, as the only means of averting their impending destruction; and such was the success of his preaching, that both the king and the people repented and turned from their evil ways, and thereby for a time delayed the execution of the divine judgments. Who this king of Assyria was we cannot be certain, we can only make conjectures, his name not being mentioned in the book of Jonah. Archbishop Usher (4) supposeth him to have been Pul the king of Assyria, who afterward invaded the kingdom of Israel, in the days of Menahem; (2 Kings xv. 19.) it being very agreeable to the methods of providence to make use of an heathen king who was penitent, to punish the


(3) Nini circuitus stadiorum fuisse CCCLXXX, id est milliariunm sexaginta: quae tria duorum decimae partis, si singulorum decimam iter resituer ad variationes viginti milliarium: quomodo definierint non Aristomantii soluam, sed et Graecorum vetustissimam. Herodotus Lib. 5. Cap. 53. πεστοστοι δὲ καὶ κατο τιχαι ττη' προς μεγαλη διμερα, ετουματικα αναφεροντα περαγανουντα. Stadia utique sexaginta. UL stadia sunt viginti milliares, &c. [The circumference of Nineveh measured four hundred and eighty furlongs, that is sixty miles, which will make a three days journey, allowing twenty miles for each day, as not only the Roman lawyers, but also the ancient Greeks, measured. Herodotus, in Book V. Chap. xxxv, saith they marched an hundred and fifty stadia each day. Now an hundred and fifty stadia, make twenty miles.]


(6) τὸ δὲ κυλόν τῆς τείχους τριακοσίων εὐθυμοτοί πιστοί γαῦδιον.

(7) Diod. Sic. ibid.
impenitency of God’s own people Israel. But it should seem more probable, that this prince was one of the kings of Assyria, before any of those who are mentioned in scripture. For Jonah is reckoned the most ancient of all the prophets usually so called, whose writings are preserved in the canon of scripture. We know that he prophesied of the restoration of the coasts of Israel taken by the king of Syria, which was accomplished by Jeroboam the second; (2 Kings xiv. 25.) and therefore Jonah must have lived before that time; and is with great reason supposed by Bishop Lloyd in his Chronological Tables to have prophesied at the latter end of Jehu’s, or the beginning of the reign of Jehoahaz, when the kingdom of Israel was reduced very low, and greatly oppressed by Hazael king of Syria. (2 Kings x. 32.) If he prophesied at that time, there intervened Jehoahaz’s reign of seventeen years, Joash’s reign of sixteen years, Jeroboam’s of forty and one years, Zachariah’s of six months, Shallum’s of one month, and Menahem was seated on the throne of Israel, before any mention is made of Pul the king of Assyria: and therefore we may reasonably conclude from the distance of time, which was above seventy years, that Jonah was not sent to Pul the king of Assyria, but to one of his predecessors, tho’ to whom particularly we are unable to discover, for the want before complained of, the want of Assyrian histories, which no doubt would have related so memorable a transaction.

But this repentance of the Ninevites, we may presume, was of no long continuance. For not many years after we find the prophet Nahum foretelling the total and entire destruction of the city; tho’ there is no certainty of the time of Nahum’s, any more than of Jonah’s prophesying. Josephus (5) saith that he flourished in the

---

(5) Hieron, p. 210. verse 3. (6) Naum, qui interpretatur, consolator. Jam enim decem tribus ab Assyriis deductae fuerant in captivitatem sub Ezechia rege Juda, sub quo etiam nunc in consolationem populi transmigrati, adversum Nineven visio cernitur. Nec erat pars consolatio, tam his qui jam Assyris serviebant, quam reliquis qui sub Ezechiae de tribu Juda et Benjamin ab Iudaeis hostibus obsiderant; ut audirent Assyrios quoque a Chaldaeis esse cepiendos, sicut in consequentibus hiujus libri demonstrabitur. [Nahum, which is by interpretation “a Comfortor.” For during the reign of Hezekiah the king of Judah, the ten tribes had been led into captivity by the Assyrians, and at that very time the prophesied had a vision against Nineveh, for the comfort of those captives, and was it a small consolation as well to such of the Israelites as were now in bondage to the Assyrians, as to the remaining tribes of Judah and Benjamin, who were now attacked by the same enemies; to learn that the Assyrians in their turn would be led into captivity by the Chaldees, as will be shewn in the sequel of this book.] Hieron, Proo. in Naum. p. 1558. Vol. 3. Edit. Benedict. (7) Eleche usque hodie in Galilaeo vicius, parvus quidem, et vic rusticarum veterum adflictorum indicus vestigia; sed tamen notus Judaicis; et multoque a circumducente monstratur. [Elkosh, at this very day is a village in Galilee, small indeed, and scarcely in its ruins discovering any vestiges of its ancient buildings. It is however well known to the Jews, and was pointed out to me by my conductor.] Hieron. ibid. p. 1559.
for Nahum’s prophesying by Josephus. But if Josephus was right in this particular, he was wrong in another; for more than one hundred and fifteen years intervened between the reign of Jotham king of Judah, and the destruction of Nineveh, as it is usually computed by chronologers. There is one thing, which might greatly assist us in fixing the time of Nahum’s prophesying; and that is the destruction of No-Amon or Diospolis in Egypt, which he mentions (Chap. iii. 8, &c.) as a late transaction, if we could know certainly, when that destruction happened, or by whom it was affected. It is commonly attributed to Nebuchadnezzar; but that time is too late, and the destruction of No-Amon would fall out after the destruction of Nineveh instead of before it. Dr. Prideaux (8) with more reason believes, that it was effected by Sennacherib, before he marched against Jerusalem; and then Nahum’s prophesying would coincide exactly with the reign of Hezekiah, which is the time assigned for it by St. Jerome.

But whenever it was that Nahum prophesied, he plainly and largely foretold the destruction of Nineveh; his whole prophecy relates to this single event: and the city was accordingly destroyed by the Medes and Babylonians; these two rebelling and uniting together subverted the Assyrian empire: but authors differ much about the time when Nineveh was taken, and about the king of Assyria in whose reign it was taken, and even about the persons who had the command in this expedition. Herodotus (9) affirms, that it was taken by Cyaxares king of the Medes; St. Jerome after the Hebrew chronicle (1) asserts that it was taken by Nabuchodonosor, king of the Babylonians; but these accounts may be easily reconciled, for Cyaxares and Nabuchodonosor might take it with their joint forces, as they actually did according to that which is written in the book of Tobit, (xiv. 13.) if the Assurcius in Tobit be the same (as there is great reason to think him the same) with the Cyaxares of Herodotus; But before Tobias died, he heard of the destruction of Nineveh, which was taken by Nabuchodonosor and Assurcius; and before his death he rejoiced over Nineveh. Josephus (2) who saith in one place that the empire of the Assyrians was dissolved by the Medes, saith in another that the Medes and Babylonians, dissolved the empire of the Assyrians. Herodotus himself (3) saith that the Medes took Nineveh, and subdued the Assyrians, except the Babylonian portion; the reason of which was, the Babylonians were their allies and confederates. Cesias, and after him (4) Diodorus Siculus ascribe the taking of Nineveh, and the subversion of the Assyrian empire, to Arbaces the Mede assisted by Belis of the Babylonian. I know that (5) Eusebius, and after him several excellent chro-

---

(1) Hieron. in Numm II. 12. p. 1674. Vol. 3. Edit. Benedict. Seder Olam Rabba soi Nabuchodonosor rov aratūtis, at tēs πόλιν. Anno primo Nabuchodonosor subgetit Nineven, id est, non diu post mortem patris. Ebriquem hoc Chronicon scinti sunt S. Hieronymus, &c. [Seder Olam Rabba ascribes the taking of Nineveh to Nabuchodonosor alone, and fixes the time. For in his first year, Nabuchodonosor took Nineveh, that is, soon after the death of his father—This Hebrew Chronicle is followed by Jerome, &c.] Marshami, Ch. Sec. XVIII. p. 660.
(2) cunei της των Ασσυρων εβαλ απo της Μαδα υ τατηλοθι. Assyrium imperium a Medis eversum iri contiguit. [It came to pass that the empire of the Assyrians was dissolved by the Medes.] Jos. Antq. Lib. 10. Cap. 2. Sec. 2. p. 435.—Μεδες και τας Βαβυλονιαν, και τας Ασσυριους κατατηρος απεργησαν. Medos et Babyloniam, qui Assyriorum exterant imperium. [The Medes and the Babylonians who had destroyed the empire of the Assyrians.] ibid. Cap. 5. Sec. 1. p. 441. Edit. Hudson.
(3) και της τη Νίνεβης, και τας Ασσυριους ουγκρινης οποιαπους, χαι της Βαβυλονιας διαρθησει, και Ναμαραν επαυγασμενην, Ασσυριοποιησαν, και της Βαβυλονιας και της Νίνεβης, κατατηροντος. [They took Nineveh, and subdued the Assyrains, excepting the portion which belonged to Babylon.] Herod. Lib. Cap. 1. 106. p. 45. Edit. Gale.
(5) Eusebius (more suum) utramque sententiam in Canonem rebuttit: ad mentem Cesias, Arbaces Medus, utl, Num. 1179. Assyrium imperio destructum, regnum in Medos translatit. [The Assyrian empire destroyed, the kingdom transferred into the Medes.] Deini (post minimo, &c.) ex doctrinæ Herodotus, Num. 1140. Cynarces Medus subserit Nimnum. Ista autem savorata sunt. [Eusebius (according to the plan which he had adopted,) hath stated both these opinions. For following Cesias, he saith, Arbaces the Mede, (Num. 1197) having destroyed the empire of the Assyrains, transferred the sovereignty to the Medes. And again, (210 years afterwards,) upon the credit of Herodotus, (Num. 1140.) he saith, Cyaxares, the Mede destroyed Nineveh. But these assertions are inconsistent.] Marzahn Chronicon. Sec. xviii. p. 550.
nologers, Usher, Prideaux, and others reckon this quite a different action, and fix it at quite a different time; but it is not likely that the same city should be twice destroyed, and the same empire twice overthrown, by the same people twice confederated together. Diodorus, who relates this catastrophe, doth not mention the other; but saith expressly, (6) that Arbaces distributed the citizens of Nineveh in the country villages, levelled the city with the ground, transferred many talents of gold and silver to Ecbatana the royal city of the Medes; and so, saith he, the empire of the Assyrians was subverted. If there is some difficulty in discovering the persons by whom Nineveh was taken, there is more in ascertaining the king of Assyria in whose reign it was taken, and more still in fixing the time when it was taken, scarce any two chronologers agreeing in the same date: but as these things are hardly possible to be known, so neither are they necessary to be known, with precision and exactness; and we may safely leave them among the uncertainties of ancient history and chronology. It is sufficient for our purpose, that Nineveh was taken and destroyed according to the predictions: and Nahum foretold not only the thing, but also the manner of it. Herodotus promised to relate in his Assyrian history how Nineveh was taken; (7) the Medes took Nineveh, saith he, but how they took it, I will show in

(6) ὁ δὲ Αρβάκης τοις κατὰ τὴν πόλιν επικήρυξεν περικλησίαις αυτῷ μιὰ 
κατὰ καινὰ δύναμις—την ἐπὶ πώλησι εἰς δέκα τριακοσία πεντακοσίου, ἐπειδὴ τὸν 
εὐφερόν καὶ χρυσόν πολλῶν οὖσα παλαιώς ἀνταρκτικής της Μιδίας πολλὰς 
ἐμειρησάντως τοῖς Ασσυρίοις—ἐπὶ Μιδίον κατέκυλτο τὸν προφερόμενο 
τρόπον. Σινείδιον χαλατείρα ἔργα ἐκεῖ παῦς, ἀναμενόμενας ἐν παγοῖς τοις διστα-

eret,—υρθὲν αὐτών μόνον ἀκούστω. Τὸν αὐτοματόν τὸν καίρον—(σαλιὰ 

certe talents crant) in Ecbatana Medorum regiam transitut. Φυγε ἄρθο 

(7) καὶ τὴν ἐπὶ πώλησιν ὡς ἐπὶ πώλησιν καὶ καταργήσεις ἐπὶ Νινιποῦ 

dorus informs us, 'that there was an old prophecy, that Nineveh should not be taken, till the river became an enemy to the city; and in the third year of the siege, the river being swollen with continual rains, overflowed part of the city, and broke down the wall for two furlongs; then the king thinking that the oracle was fulfilled, and the river became an enemy to the city, built a large funeral pile in the palace, and collecting together all his wealth and his concubines and eunuchs, burnt himself and the palace with them all; and the enemy entered the breach that the waters had made, and took the city.' What was predicted in the first chapter (ver. 8.) was therefore literally fulfilled. With an overrunning flood he will make an utter end of the

place thereof. Nahum promises the enemy much spoil of gold and silver, (ii. 9.) Take ye the spoil of silver, take the spoil of gold: for there is no end of the store, and glory out of all the pleasant furniture: and we read in (2) Diodorus, that Arbaces carried many talents of gold and silver to Ecbatana, the royal city of the Medes. According to Nahum (i. 8. iii. 15.) the city was to be destroyed by fire and water; and we see in Diodorus, that by fire and water it was destroyed.

But Nahum is cited upon this occasion principally to show, that he foretold the total and entire destruction of this city. The Lord, saith he in the first chapter, (ver. 8, 9.) with an overrunning flood will make an utter end of the place thereof; he will make an utter end; affliction shall not rise up the second time. Again in the second chapter, (ver. 11, 13.) Where is the dwelling of the lions, and the feeding place of the young lions? meaning Nineveh, whose princes ravaged like lions; behold, I am against thee, saith the Lord of hosts, and I will cut off thy prey from the earth, and the voice of thy messengers shall no more be heard. Again in the third and last chapter, (ver. 17, 18, 19.) Thy crowned are as the locusts, and thy captaains as the great grasshoppers, which camp in the hedges in the cold day; but when the sun ariseth, they flee away, and their place is not known where they are, or have been; thy shepherds slumber, O king of Assyria; thy nobles shall dwell in the dust; thy people is scattered upon the mountains, and no man gathereth them; there is no healing of thy bruise; thy wound is grievous; all that hear the bruit of thee shall clap the hands over thee; for upon whom hath not thy wickedness passed continually? The prophet Zephaniah likewise in the days of Josiah king of Judah foretold the same sad event, (ii. 13, 14, 15.) The Lord will
stretch out his hand against the north, and destroy As-
syria, and will make Nineveh a desolation; and dry like
a wilderness: and flocks shall lie down in the midst of
her, all the beasts of the nations; both the cormorant
and the bittern shall lodge in the upper lintels of it;
their voice shall sing in the windows; desolation shall
be in the thresholds; for he shall uncover the cedar
work; this is the rejoicing city that dwelt carelessly,
those in her heart, I am, and there is none beside
me; how is she become a desolation, a place for beasts
to lie down in! every one that passeth by her, shall kiss
and wag his hand. But what probability was there that
the capital city of a great kingdom, a city which was
sixty miles in compass, a city which contained so many
thousand inhabitants, a city which had walls, according
to (3) Diodorus Siculus, a hundred feet high, and so
thick that three chariots could go abreast upon them,
and fifteen hundred towers at proper distances in the
walls of two hundred feet in height: what probability
was there, I say, that such a city should ever be totally
destroyed? and yet so totally it was destroyed, that the
place is hardly known where it was situated.

We have seen that it was taken and destroyed by the
Medes and Babylonians; and what we may suppose
helped to complete its ruin and devastation was Nebu-
chadnezzar’s soon afterwards inlarging and beautifying
of Babylon. From that time no mention is made of
Nineveh by any of the sacred writers; and the most
ancient of the heathen authors, who have occasion to
say any thing about it, speak of it as a city that was
once great and flourishing, but now destroyed and de-
solate. Great as it was formerly, so little of it was re-

(3) Το με χερι ἐπήδη τινιε τινες ὄρες
αἳματος ἐκ τῆς τοιαύτης τιμῆς ἐπῆδη
τοιαύτης περιουσίας της ἔπεισεν
κυρία μετέπειτα τοιαύτης περιουσίας.

(6) Non video tamen posse consiliari, quam si dicatur duplex Ninos: una ad Euphrates in Cunctagana; altera in Assyria trans Tigriem,

(7) Lucian. (8) who flourished in
the second century after Christ, affirms that Nineveh
was utterly perished, and there was no footstep of it
remaining, nor could you tell where once it was situated;
and the greater regard is to be paid to Lucian’s testi-
mony, as he was a native of Samosata, a city upon the

maining, that authors are not agreed even about its
situation. I think we may conclude from the general
suffrage of ancient historians and geographers, that it
was situated upon the river Tigris; but yet no less au-
thors than (4) Ctesias and Diodorus Siculus represent
it as situated upon the river Euphrates. Nay authors
differ not only from one another, but also from them-

(8) Η Νήσος πεταλοεῖ ἑπό, καὶ κεῖται ἐν τῷ Γοντ δυστυχῶς, ἡς θεοὺς ἐκτὸς

[Translated in the text.]
river Euphrates, and coming from a neighbouring country he must in all likelihood have known whether there had been any remains of Nineveh or not. There is at this time a city called Mosul, situated upon the western side of the river Tigris, and on the opposite eastern shore are ruins of a great extent, which are said to be the ruins of Nineveh. Benjamin of Tudela, (9) who wrote his itinerary in the year of Christ 1173, informs us, that there is only a bridge between Mosul and Nineveh; this latter is laid waste, yet hath it many streets and castles. But another, who wrote in 1300, asserts that Nineveh at present is totally laid waste, but by the ruins which are still to be seen there, we may firmly believe that it was one of the greatest cities in the world. The same thing is attested by later travelers, and particularly by (1) Thevenot, upon whose authority Prideaux relates that "Mosul is situated on the west side of the river Tigris, where was anciently only a suburb of the old Nineveh, for the city itself stood on the east side of the river, where are to be seen some of its ruins of great extent even to this day. Tavernier likewise (2) affirms, that "cross the Tigris, which hath a swift stream and whitish water, whereas Euphrates runs slow and is reddish, you come to the ancient city Nineveh, which is now an heap of rubbish only, for a league along the river, full of vaults and caverns."

(9) Benjamin of Tudela, "qui scripsit itinerarium anno Xlii 1173". Inter Almoza, ait (p. 62.) et Nineve, quoniam tantum intercessit. Saepe destrutur et atque laborant. At vero Haimon Armenius (De Tartar. C. 11. p. 406) (anno 1300) "los civitas (Nineve) ad prasens est totaliter destruta. [Benjamin of Tudela, who wrote his itinerary in the year of our Lord 1173, saith (p. 62.) that between Almoza and Nineveh there is at present only a bridge. The latter place is in ruins, yet it hath still many small villages and castles. But Haimon the Armenian in his book concerning the Tartars, Chap. xi. page 406. (he wrote in the year 1300). saith that the city of Nineveh is at present totally in ruins.]" Marzamchi Chron. Suec. xlviii. p. 528. Sed per eum. quae adhuc sunt apparentia in radem, firmander eredit potest quad fuerit vos ex majestate civitatis hujus mundi. [But by the ruins which are still to be seen, one may be fully satisfied, that it was once one of the greatest cities in the world.] Idem apud Bocchart. Phalag. Lib. 4. Cap. 20. Col. 228.
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Mr. (3) Salmon, who is an industrious collector and compiler from others, saith in his account of Assyria, "In this country the famous city of Nineveh once stood, "on the eastern bank of the river Tigris, opposite to "the place where Mosul now stands—There is "nothing now to be seen but heaps of rubbish, almost "a league along the river Tigris, over against Mosul, "which people imagine to be the remains of this vast "city. But it is more than probable that these ruins are the remains of the Persian Nineveh, and not of the Assyrian. Ipse pericri ruinae: Even the ruins of old Nineveh have been, as I may say, long ago ruined and destroyed: such an utter end hath been made of it, and such is the truth of the divine predictions! This perhaps may strike us the more strongly by supposing only a parallel instance. Let us then suppose, that a person should come in the name of a prophet, preaching repentance to the people of this kingdom, or otherwise denouncing the destruction of the capital city, within a few years; with an overflowing flood will God make an utter end of the place thereof, he will make an utter end; its place may be sought, but it shall never be found. I presume we should look upon such a prophet as a madman, and show no further attention to his message than to deride and despise it: and yet such an event would not be more strange and incredible than the destruction and devastation of Nineveh. For Nineveh was much the larger, and much the stronger, and older city of the two; and the Assyrian empire had subsisted and flourished more ages than any form of government in this country: so that you cannot object the instability of the eastern monarchies in this case. Let us then, since this event would not be more improbable and extraordinary than the other, suppose again, that things should succeed according to the prediction, the floods should arise, and the enemy should come, the city should be overthrown and broken down, be taken and pillaged,
and destroyed so totally, that even the learned could not agree about the place where it was situated. What would be said or thought in such a case? Whoever of posterity should read and compare the prophecy and event together, must they not by such an illustrious instance be thoroughly convinced of the providence of God, and of the truth of his prophet, and be ready to acknowledge, 

Verily this is the word that the Lord hath spoken, Verily there is a God who judgeth the earth!

X.

THE PROPHECIES CONCERNING BABYLON.

AFTER Nineveh was destroyed, Babylon became the queen of the east. They were both equally enemies to the people of God; the one subverted the kingdom of Israel, and the other the kingdom of Judah; the one carried away the ten tribes, and the other the two remaining tribes into captivity. No wonder therefore that there are several prophecies relating to each of these cities, and that the fate of Babylon is foretold as well as of Nineveh. As Jeremiah said, (1. 17, 18.) Israel is a scattered sheep, the lions have driven him away; first the king of Assyria hath devoured him, and last this Nebuchadnezzar king of Babylon hath broken his bones. Therefore thus saith the Lord of hosts the God of Israel, Behold, I will punish the king of Babylon and his land, as I have punished the king of Assyria.

Babylon was a very great and a very ancient city as well as Nineveh; it is indeed generally reckoned less than Nineveh; for according to Strabo (who was cited in the last discourse) it was only 385 furlongs in compass; or 360 according to (1) Diodorus Siculus, or 368 according to Quintus Curtius; but (2) Herodotus, who was an older author than any of them, represents it of the same dimensions as Nineveh, that is 480 furlongs or above 60 miles in compass, but the difference was, that Nineveh was constructed in the form of a parallelogram, and Babylon was an exact square, each side being 120 furlongs in length. So that according to this account Babylon contained more ground in it than Nineveh did; for by multiplying the sides the one by the other, it will be found, that Nineveh contained within its walls only 13500 furlongs, and that Babylon contained 14400. It was too as ancient, or more ancient than Nineveh; for in the words of Moses, speaking of Nimrod (Gen. x. 10.) it was the beginning of his kingdom, that is the first city, or the capital city in his dominions. Several heathen authors say that Semiramis, but most (as (3) Quintus Curtius asserts) that Belus built it: and Belus was very probably the same as Nimrod. But whoever was the first founder of this city, we may reasonably suppose that it received very great improvements afterwards, and Nebuchadnezzar particularly repaired, and enlarged, and beautified it to such a degree, that he may in a manner be said to have built it; as he boasted himself (Dan. iv. 30.) Is not this great Babylon that I have built for the house of the kingdom, by the might of


(2) μετά εἰς τὸν μέγας μηναθεὶς. ρωμιὸς ἔστως, κύκλως καὶ μετά τὸν ρωμιὸς ἔστως τῷ περικότῳ τῆς πολιτίας. [The city was surrounded by a circular wall.] Oppidum quidem est in planitate ingenti, forma quadrata, magnitudine quoque versus centum viarum stadiorum, in summis quadrangulorum et octogonum, in circuiter quattuor laterum-tribus. [The city stood in a plain of great extent. It was in the form of a square. Each of its sides was one hundred and twenty furlongs, so that the circumference of all its sides amounted to four hundred and eighty furlongs.] Herod. Lib. 1. Cap. 178. p. 74. Edit. Gata.

(3) Semiramis can considerat: vel, ut plerique credideres, Belus. [It had been built by Semiramis, or, in the opinion of most, by Belus.] Quint. Curt. Lib. 5.
Such a city as this, one would imagin, was in no danger of being totally abandoned, and coming to nought. Such a city as this might surely with less vanity than any other boast that she should continue for ever, if any thing human could continue for ever. So she vainly glorified. (Is. xlvii. 7, 8.) 

I shall be a lady for ever. I am, and none else beside me; I shall not sit as a widow, neither shall I know the loss of children. But the prophets Isaiah and Jeremiah, plainly and particularly foretold the destruction of this city. They lived during the decimation of the kingdom of Judah; and as they predicted the captivity of the Jews, so they likewise foretold the downfall of their enemies; and they speak with such assurance of the event, that they describe a thing future as if it were already past. (Is. xxi. 9.) Babylon is fallen; is fallen; and all the graven images of her gods hath broken unto the ground. (Jer. li. 8.) Babylon is suddenly fallen and destroyed; howl for her, take balm for her pain, if so she may be healed. It is somewhat remarkable, that one of Isaiah's prophecies concerning Babylon is intitled (xxi. 1.) the burden of the desert of the sea, or rather of the plain of the sea, for Babylon was seated in a plain, and surrounded by water. The propriety of the expression consists in this, not only that any large collection of waters in the oriental stile is called a sea, but also that the places about Babylon, as (9) Abydenus informs us of Megasthenes, are said from the beginning to have been over-swept with waters, and to have been called the sea.

Cyrus, who was the conqueror of Babylon, and transferred the empire from the Babylonians to the Medes and Persians, was particularly foretold by name (Is. xlviv. 28. xlv. 1.) above an hundred years before he was born. He is honoured with the appellation of the Lord's anointed, and the Lord is said to have holden his right hand, and to have girded him: (Is. xlv. 1, 5.) and he

Such a city as this, one would imagin, was in no danger of being totally abandoned, and coming to nought. Such a city as this might surely with less vanity than any other boast that she should continue for ever, if any thing human could continue for ever. So she vainly glorified. (Is. xlvii. 7, 8.) I shall be a lady for ever. I am, and none else beside me; I shall not sit as a widow, neither shall I know the loss of children. But the prophets Isaiah and Jeremiah, plainly and particularly foretold the destruction of this city. They lived during the decimation of the kingdom of Judah; and as they predicted the captivity of the Jews, so they likewise foretold the downfall of their enemies; and they speak with such assurance of the event, that they describe a thing future as if it were already past. (Is. xxi. 9.) Babylon is fallen; is fallen; and all the graven images of her gods hath broken unto the ground. (Jer. li. 8.) Babylon is suddenly fallen and destroyed; howl for her, take balm for her pain, if so she may be healed. It is somewhat remarkable, that one of Isaiah's prophecies concerning Babylon is intitled (xxi. 1.) the burden of the desert of the sea, or rather of the plain of the sea, for Babylon was seated in a plain, and surrounded by water. The propriety of the expression consists in this, not only that any large collection of waters in the oriental stile is called a sea, but also that the places about Babylon, as (9) Abydenus informs us of Megasthenes, are said from the beginning to have been over-swept with waters, and to have been called the sea.

Cyrus, who was the conqueror of Babylon, and transferred the empire from the Babylonians to the Medes and Persians, was particularly foretold by name (Is. xlviv. 28. xlv. 1.) above an hundred years before he was born. He is honoured with the appellation of the Lord's anointed, and the Lord is said to have holden his right hand, and to have girded him: (Is. xlv. 1, 5.) and he


(5) the exōntes oikō̂n oμoμαρστη̂s tò̂n oikō̂n—quibus spectaculum dettis montibus persidere. [Presenting appearance, very much resembling that of mountains.] Joseph. Antiq. ibid.


(8) ο̂t̂ι το̂ ματάθει το̂ ακομα το̂ν το̂ψσαι ε̂ς αμακρε̂ια ψε̂ασμοι υ̂τ μανι̂α̂μ

intendido sex juxta curvula vehendis sufficit. [So that the breadth of the wall was sufficient for six chariots to drive abreast.] Diôd. Sic. Lib. 2. p. 64. Edit. Steph. p. 96. Edit. Rhod.
was raised up to be an instrument of providence for
great purposes, and was certainly a person of very ex-
traordinary endowments, though we should allow that
Xenophon had a little exceeded the truth, and had drawn
his portrait beyond the reality. It was promised that
he should be a great conqueror, should subdue nations
before him, (Is. xlv. 1.) and I will loose the loins of kings
to open before him the two-leaved gates, and the gates
shall not be shut: and he subdued several kings, and
took several cities, particularly Sardes and Babylon, and
extended his (1) conquests over all Asia from the river
Indus to the Ægean sea. It was promised that he should
find great spoil and treasure among the conquered na-
tions; (Is. xlv. 3.) I will give thee the treasures of
darkness, and hidden riches of secret places: and the
riches which Cyrus found in his conquests amounted to a
prodigious value in (2) Pliny's account; nor can we won-
der at it, for those parts of Asia at that time abounded
in wealth and luxury: Babylon had been heaping up trea-
sures for many years; and the riches of Cræsus king of
Lydia, whom Cyrus conquered and took prisoner, are
in a manner become proverbial.

The time too of the reduction of Babylon was marked
out by the prophet Jeremiah. (xxv. 11, 12.) These na-
tions (that is the Jews and the neighbouring nations)
shall serve the king of Babylon seventy years; And it shall
come to pass when seventy years are accomplished, that I
will punish the king of Babylon, and that nation, saith
the Lord. This prophecy was delivered, as it appears
from the first verse of the chapter, in the fourth year of
Jehoiakim the son of Josiah king of Judah, that was the
first year of Nebuchadnezzar king of Babylon: and from
that time there were (3) 70 years to the taking of Baby-
lon and the restoration of the Jews. Nebuchadnezzar
had transplanted the Jews to Babylon to people and
strengthen the place, and their removal from thence must
have weakened it very much; and after that it was dis-
tressed more and more, till at last it was brought to

Several circumstances likewise of the siege and taking
of Babylon were presignified by the prophets. It was
foretold, that God would stir up the Medes and Persians
against it; Go up O Elam, that is Persia, (Is. xxi. 2.)
siege O Media; and (Jer. li. 11.) the Lord hath raised
up the spirit of the kings of the Medes, for his device is
against Babylon to destroy it: And accordingly it was
besieged by the united forces of the Medes and Persians
under the command of Cyrus the Persian, the nephew
and in-law of the king of the Medes. The Medes are
chiefly spoken of, as they were at that time the superior
people. The Medes is too a general name for both
nations, and so it is used and applied by several Greek
historians as well as by the sacred writers. Elam (4)
was an old name for Persia, for the name of Persia doth
not appear to have been known in Isaiah's time; Ezekiel
is the first who mentions it. And (5) Bochart asserts,

(4) Elam est Persis, et cum Media serpis conjunctur.—Persarum
nomen, ante captivitatem Babylonicum, obscurum fuit. Elæchid primus
inter bellicosas gentes, illos recepit, (27: 10, & 38: 5.) quam nundam in
notat Cyri. A Cyro decumnum natus alleged victorius inby, Per-
sarum gloria increvit. [Elam is Persia, and is frequently mentioned
along with Media.—The name of the Persians, before the Babylonian
captivity, was scarcely known. Ezekiel is the first who mentions them, and
rankes them among the warlike nations, Chap. xxvii. 16. and xxxvii. 5. when
the deeds of Cyrus were yet unknown. From the time of Cyrus, who was a
Persian by birth, and celebrated for his victories, the glory of the Persians
was widely spread.]—Marshalli Chron. Sac. XVIII. p. 564.

(5) At Persis ipse nomen fuat a equitatu, quas maximum varium equitare
a superiori nest. —Quo nomen disciplinam primo illos inudit; Cyrum.—Ita
quae ex tam repetitu mutatione factum, ut haec regio in Persa, et incolae
Persae dicitur, id est, equestres. Arabico enim in Persa Parsa est
equus, et in Persa Persis equus (ut Heb. in Parsa) Portus vocant
Parsa et parsam significat. Inde est, quod Macedon, nec libri Reg-
num, nec Essiae at Jevibus, Persarum nominatur, nec quisquiam
cum, qui viscunt ante Cyrum. At in Daniele et Ezekiel, Cyro eoque,
it in libris Paralipomenon, et Seder, et in Neheemia, et Esther, &c. qui
post Cyrum scripti sunt, Parsarum est frequens mentio. Antea veri-
simile est Hebren nominis Chet et Parsa, Elam magnum Persidem
partem inbat: sed quod Persiens derivavit, eum quidem a saevitate
in quibus erant præponderat; in quibus urbem, quæ a saevitate
earum, quando urbem. Parsa est, fundavit, quæ a civitate
sepulentur, quæ a Civitate sepulentur, quæ a Civitate sepulentur, quæ a Civitate sepulentur, quæ a Civitate sepulentur. In Arm. Pere
Parsa significata est cavum, Parsa et Parsan equus, as in Arm. Pardas, 
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that the Persians were first so named from their becoming horsemen in the time of Cyrus, the same word signifying both a Persian and a horseman. Or if by Elam we understand the province strictly so called, it is no less true that this also, tho' subject to Babylon, rose up against it, and upon the following occasion. Abnakek (6) was viceroy or governor of Susa or Shushan, and Shushan was the capital of the province of Elam. (Dan. viii. 2.) His wife Panthea, a lady of exquisite beauty, happened to be taken prisoner by the Persians. Cyrus treated her with such generosity, and preserved her with such strict honour safe and inviolate for her husband, as won the heart of the prince, so that he and his forces revolted to Cyrus, and fought in his army against the Babylonians.

It was foretold, that various nations should unite against Babylon; (Is. xiii. 4.) The noise of a multitude in the mountains, like as of a great people; a tumultuous noise of the kingdoms of nations gathered together; the Lord of hosts mustereth the host of the battle: and particularly it was foretold, that the kingdoms of Ararat, Minni, and Ashchenaz, that is the (7) Armenians, Phrygians, and other nations should compose a part of his army; (Jer. li. 27.) Set ye up a standard in the land, blow the trumpet among the nations, prepare the nations against her, call together against her the kingdoms of Ararat, Minni, and Ashchenaz: And accordingly Cyrus's army consisted of various nations; and among them were (8) these very people, whom he had conquered before, and now obliged to attend him in this expedition.

in Hebrew. The same word Pharis signifies also a Persian. This is the reason that neither Moses, nor the book of Kings, nor Isaiah, nor Jeremiah, nor in short any one that lived before the time of Cyrus, make mention of the Persians. But in the books of Daniel and Ezekiel, who were contemporaries with Cyrus, and in the books of Chronicles, Ezra, Nehemiah, Esther, Esdras, which were written after the time of Cyrus, the Persians are frequently mentioned. Before his time, it is probable that the Hebrew names HAND and HAPP Elam, took a large portion of Persia.] Bocharti Phalez. Lib. 4. Cap. 10. Col. 224.

(6) Xenoph. Cyroped. Lib. 4, 5, 6. 7.

It was foretold, that the Babylonians should be terrified, and hide themselves within their walls; (Jer. li. 30.) The mighty men of Babylon have born to fight, they have remained in their holds, their might hath failed, they became as women: And accordingly the Babylonians, after the loss of a battle or two, never recovered their courage to face the enemy in the field again; they retired within their walls, and the (9) first time that Cyrus came with his army before the place, he could not provoke them to venture forth and try the fortune of arms, even tho' he sent a challenge to the king to fight a duel with him; and the (1) last time that he came, he consulted with his officers about the best method of carrying on the siege, 'since, saith he, they do not come forth 'and fight.'

It was foretold, that the river should be dried up, before the city should be taken; which was very unlikely ever to happen, (2) the river being more than two furlongs broad, and deeper than two men standing one upon another, so that the city was thought to be stronger and better fortified by the river than by the walls; but yet the prophets predicted that the waters should be dried up; (Is. xlvii. 27.) That saith to the deep, Be dry, and I will dry up thy rivers; (Jer. I. 38.) A drought is upon her waters, and they shall be dried up; ( Jer. li. 36.) I will dry up her sea, and make her springs dry: And accordingly (3) Cyrus turned the course of the river Euphrates which ran thro' the midst of Babylon, and by means of deep trenches and the canals and

(1) Lib. Lib. 7. πετρε και μακροχται εισελθει, quia ad pugnandum non excit. p. 112. [Translated in the text.]
(2) Xenoph. Cyroped. Lib. 7. — πλατειας χωρο εισελθει, quia ad pugnandum non excit. p. 112. [Translated in the text.]
lakes before mentioned, so drained the waters that the river became easily fordable for his soldiers to enter the city, and by these means Babylon was taken, which was otherwise impregnable, and was supplied with provisions for very many years: saith (4) Herodotus, for more than twenty years saith Xenophon; or (5) as Herodotus saith, if the Babylonians had but known what the Persians were doing, by shutting the gates which opened to the river, and by standing upon the walls which were built as banks, they might have taken and destroyed the Persians as in a net or cage.

It was foretold, that the city should be taken by surprise during the time of a feast; (Jer. I. 24.) I have laid a snare for thee, and thou art also taken, O Babylon, and thou wast not aware, thou art found and also caught. (li. 39.) In their heat I will make their feasts, and I will make them drunken, that they may rejoice, and sleep a perpetual sleep, and not awake, saith the Lord. (li. 57.) And I will make drunk her princes, and her wise men, her captains, and her rulers, and her mighty men, and they shall sleep a perpetual sleep, and not wake, saith the king, whose name is the Lord of hosts: And accordingly the sixth city was taken in the night of a great annual festival while the inhabitants were dancing, drinking, and reveling; and as (7) Aristotle reports, it had been taken three days, before some part of the city perceived it; but (8) Herodotus's account is more modest and probable, that the extreme parts of the city were in the hands of the enemy before they who dwelt in the middle of it knew any thing of their danger. These were extraordinary occurrences in the taking of this city: and how could any man foresee and foretell such singular events, such remarkable circumstances, without revelation and inspiration of God?

But these events you may possibly think too remote in time to be urged in the present argument: and yet the prophecies were delivered by Isaiah and Jeremiah, and the facts are related by no less historians than Herodotus and Xenophon; and Isaiah lived about 250 years before Herodotus, and near 350 before Xenophon, and Jeremiah lived above 150 years before the one and near 250 before the other. Cyrus took Babylon according to Prideaux in the year 539 before Christ. Isaiah prophesied in the days of Uzziah, Jotham, Ahaz, and Hezekiah, kings of Judah, (Is. i. 1.) which was at least 160 years before the taking of Babylon, for Hezekiah died in the year 699 before Christ. Jeremiah sent his prophecies concerning Babylon to Babylon by the hands of Seraiah in the fourth year of the reign of Zedekiah, (Jcr. lii. 59.) which was 56 years before the taking of Babylon, for the fourth year of Zedekiah coincides with the year 595 before Christ. There is therefore no room for scepticism: but if you are still disposed to doubt and hesitate, what then think you of the present condition of the
place? Could the prophets, unless they were prophets indeed, have foreseen and foretold what that would be so many ages afterwards? And yet they have expressly foretold that it should be reduced to desolation. Isaiah is very strong and poetical: (xiii. 19, &c) Babylon the glory of kingdoms, the beauty of the Chaldees excellency, shall be as when God overthrew Sodom and Gomorrah: It shall never be inhabited, neither shall it be dwelt in from generation to generation; neither shall the Arabian pitch tent there, neither shall the shepherds make their fold there: But wild beasts of the desert shall lie there, and their houses shall be full of doleful creatures, and owls shall dwell there, and satyrs shall dance there: And the wild beasts of the isles shall cry in their desolate houses, and dragons in their pleasant palaces; and her time is near to come, and her days shall not be prolonged. Again (xiv. 22, 23.) I will rise up against them saith the Lord of hosts, and cut off from Babylon the name and remnant, and son and nephew (or rather son and grandson) saith the Lord: I will also make it a possession for the bittens, and pools of water: and I will sweep it with the besom of destruction, saith the Lord of hosts. Jeremiah speaks much in the same strain: (l. 13, 23, 39, 40.) Because of the wrath of the Lord, it shall not be inhabited, but it shall be wholly desolate; every one that goeth by Babylon shall be astonished, and kiss at all her plagues: How is the hammer of the whole earth cut asunder and broken? How is Babylon become a desolation among the nations? Therefore the wild beasts of the desert, with the wild beasts of the isles shall dwell there, and the owls shall dwell therein; and it shall be no more inhabited for ever; neither shall it be dwelt in from generation to generation. As God overthrew Sodom and Gomorrah, and the neighbour cities thereof, saith the Lord; so no man shall abide there, neither shall any son of man dwell therein. Again (li. 13, 26, 29, 37, 42, 43.) O thou that dwellest upon many waters, abundant in treasures; thine end is come, and the measure of thy covetousness: And they shall not take of thee a stone for a corner, nor a stone for foundations: but thou shalt be desolate for ever, saith the Lord: And

the land shall tremble and sorrow, for every purpose of the Lord shall be performed against Babylon, to make the land of Babylon a desolation without an inhabitant: And Babylon shall become heaps, a dwelling place for dragons, an astonishment and an hissing without an inhabitant: The sea is come up upon Babylon; she is covered with the multitude of the waves thereof: Her cities are a desolation, a dry land and a wilderness, wherein no man dwelleth, neither doth any son of man pass thereby. We shall see how these and other prophecies have by degrees been accomplished, for in the nature of the things they could not be fulfilled all at once. But as the prophets often speak of things future, as if they were already effected; so they speak often of things to be brought about in process of time, as if they were to succeed immediately; past, present, and to come, being alike known to an infinite mind, and the intermediate time not revealed perhaps to the minds of the prophets.

Isaiah addresseth Babylon by the name of a virgin, as having never before been taken by any enemy: (Is. xlvii. 1.) Come down and sit in the dust, O virgin daughter of Babylon sit on the ground: and (9) Herodotus saith expressly, that this was the first time that Babylon was taken. After this it never more recovered its ancient splendor: from an imperial, it became a tributary city; from being governed by its own kings, and governing strangers, it came itself to be governed by strangers; and the seat of empire being transferred to Shushan, it decayed by degrees, till it was reduced at last to utter desolation. Berosus in Josephus (1) saith, that when Cyrus had taken Babylon, he ordered the outer walls to be pulled down, because the city appeared


to him very factious and difficult to be taken. And (2) Xenophon informs us, that Cyrus obliged the Babylonians to deliver up all their arms upon pain of death, distributed their best houses among his officers, imposed a tribute upon them, appointed a strong garrison, and compelled the Babylonians to defray the charge, being desirous to keep them poor as the best means of keeping them obedient.

But notwithstanding these precautions, (3) they rebelled against Darius, and in order to hold out to the last extremity, they took all their women, and each man choosing one of them, out of those of his own family, whom he liked best, they strangled the rest, that unnecessary mouths might not consume their provisions. "And hereby," saith (4) Dr. Prideaux, "was very signally fulfilled the prophecy of Isaiah against them, in which he foretold (chap. xlvii. 9.) That two things should come to them in a moment, in one day, the loss of children and widowhood, and that these should come upon them in their perfection, for the multitude of their sorceries, and the great abundance of their incantations. And in what greater perfection could these calamities come upon them, than when they themselves, thus upon themselves became the executioners of them?" Or rather this prophecy was then fulfilled a second time, having been fulfilled before, the very night that Babylon was taken, when the Persians slew the king himself, and a great number of the Babylonians. They sustained the siege and all the efforts of Darius for twenty months, and at length the city was taken by stratagem. As soon as Darius had made himself master of the place, he ordered three thousand of the principal men to be crucified, and thereby fulfilled the prophecies of the cruelty, which the Medes and Persians should use towards the Babylonians; (Is. xiii. 17, 18. Jer. l. 42.) and he likewise demolished the wall, and took away the gates, neither of which, saith (5) Herodotus, had Cyrus done before. But either Herodotus, or Berosus must have been mistaken; or we must suppose that Cyrus's orders were never carried into execution; or we must understand Herodotus to speak of the inner wall, as Berosus spoke of the outer: and yet it doth not seem very credible, when the walls were of that prodigious height and thickness, that there should be an inner and an outer wall too; and much less that there should be three inner and three outer walls, as (6) Berosus affirms. Herodotus (7) computes the height of the wall to be 200 cubits; but later authors reckon it much lower, (8) Quintus Curtius at 100, (9) Sirabo who is a more exact writer at 50 cubits. Herodotus describes it as it was originally; and we may conclude therefore that Darius reduced it from 200 to 50 cubits; and by thus taking down the wall and destroying the gates, he remarkably fulfilled the prophecy of Jeremiah, (i. 58.) Thus saith the Lord of hosts, The broad walls of Babylon shall be utterly broken, and her high gates shall be burnt with fire.

Xerxes (1) after his return from his unfortunate expedition into Greece, partly out of religious zeal, being a professed enemy to image worship, and partly to reimburse himself after his immense expenses, seised the

---

(5) -- to tineon xeiolla, kai tos eidos apostasi (to gec protos idoux Korie to mauntron, eisotek to sunta khteron) munos circumsedid ex pories omnne amolus est; quorum neutrum Cyrcus fecerat prius edam a se captum. ['He took away the wall, and removed all the gates, neither of which had been done when first it was taken by Cyrus.'] Herod. Lib. 3. Cap. 150. p. 233. Edit. Gale.
(8) -- altitudo muri C cubitum eminent spatio. ['The height of the wall was more than a hundred cubits.'] Quint. Curt. Lib. 5. Cap. 17.
sacred treasures, and plundered or destroyed the temples, and idols of Babylon, thereby accomplishing the prophecies of Isaiah and Jeremiah; (Is. xxi. 9.) Babylon is fallen, is fallen; and all the graven images of her gods he hath broken unto the ground; (Is. xlv. 1.) Bel boweth down, Nebo stoopeth, their idols were upon the beasts, and upon the cattle, &c.: (Jer. i. 2.) Babylon is taken. Bel is confounded, Merodach is broken in pieces, her idols are confounded, her images are broken in pieces: (Jer. li. 44, 47, 52.) And I will punish Bel in Babylon, and I will bring forth out of his mouth that which he swallowed up; Therefore behold the days come, that I will do judgment upon the graven images of Babylon; and again, Wherefore behold the days come, saith the Lord, that I will do judgment upon her graven images. What God declares, I will punish Bel in Babylon, and I will bring forth that which he hath swallowed, was also literally fulfilled, when the vessels of the house of God, which Nebuchadnezzar had brought from Jerusalem, and placed in the temple of Bel, (Dan. i. 2.) were restored by order of Cyrus (Ezra i. 7.) and carried to Jerusalem again.

Such was the state of Babylon under the Persians. When Alexander came thither, tho' (2) Quintus Curtius says that the whole circuit of the city was 368 furlongs, yet he affirms that only for the space of 90 furlongs it was inhabited. The river Eufrates having been turned out of its course by Cyrus, and never afterwards restored to its former channel, all that side of the country was flooded by it. Alexander indeed (3) purposed to have made Babylon the seat of his empire, and actually sent men at work to rebuild the temple of Belus, and to repair the banks of the river, and to bring back the waters again into their own channel: and if his des-

(2) Quintus Curtius, Lib. 5. Cap. 1. Ac ne totam quidem urbem occupaverunt; per XC studia habitatur; nec omnia continua sunt. [*The whole of the city was not occupied by buildings. Only the space of ninety furlongs was inhabited, neither were the houses close to one another.*]


(6) Vitring. Com. in Iessam. Cap. 13. p. 421. Vol. 1. Euenes (συν Πάρθου βασιλεός) κ. Τ. Λ. Ευρενερος, Parthorum rea (docuit Vallesius clariss. me quod eruditi viri lubenter admiserint, legendum esse) Ευρενερος, Parthorum regis saxapam, ex circumstance temporis historici, et collatis locis Justini οι Atheni et Euenes Παρθου, patria Hyrcanorum, exuperavit et praebuit eminenciam, et quae summa subeisset praebuit, eum annis familiae in Medus distributus mitit. Fuit enim ex Hyrcanorum levibus de causis servitutis addictus, eum autem familiae in Medus distributus mitit. Fortun quoque et novella deliberis Babylonis ipsi tradidit, pulcherrima quoque urbis loca curavit. Accedit eum stante regno Seleucidarum, annis admodum CXXX ant. A. V. nati domini. [*Euenes the king of the Parthians (Vallesius, as is readily acknowledged by the learned, from comparing some passages in Justinus and Athenaeus, and from the circumstances of time in the history, hath clearly shown, that we should read Hercules, who was a Satrap of the Parthian king) a native of Hyrcania, going beyond every tyrant in rigour, omitted no sort of cruelty. For he sent into Medea, vast multitudes of Babylonians, together with their whole family, who, for very trifling causes had been doomed to slavery. He also set on fire the forum, and some of the temples at Babylon, and destroyed all the finest places of the city. This calamity happened during the reign of the descendents of Seleucus, about 130 years before the vulgar era of the birth of our Lord.*] (7) τοι δι βασιλεως και των αληθεων καταστραπτων α ερημων τα μεξ εφεξα-
exhausted by the neighbourhood of Seleucia, built for that purpose by Seleucus Nicator. As Strabo compared Babylon to Megalopolis, so (1) Pausanias (who flourished about the Middle of the second century after Christ) compares Megalopolis to Babylon, and says in his Arcades, that of Babylon, the greatest city that the sun ever saw, there is nothing now remaining but the walls. Maximus Tyrius (2) mentions it as lying neglected and forsaken; and (3) Lucian intimates, that in a little time it would be sought for and not be found, like Nineveh.

Constantine the Great, in an oration preserved by Eusebius, saith that he himself was upon the spot, and an eyewitness of the desolate and miserable condition of the city. In Jerome's time (who lived in the fourth century after Christ) it was converted into a chase to keep wild beasts within the compass of its walls for the hunting of the later kings of Persia. We have learned, (4) saith he, from a certain Elamite brother, who coming out of those parts, now liveth as a monk at Jerusalem, that the royal hunting is in Babylon, and wild beasts of every kind are confined within the circuit of its walls. And a little afterwards he saith, (5) that excepting the brick walls, which after many years are repaired for the inclosing of wild beasts, all the space within is desolation. These walls might probably be demolished by the Saracens who subverted this empire of the Persians, or they might be

---

(1) Babylon, ος των δε πολεων της μεγαστον ελαιος, ουδε εις μονον γερνης
Babylon omnium, quas unam tantae rapax, uestrum maximam, jam nihil praeferimus reliquam habet. [And of this Babylon, at that time the greatest of all the cities, which the sun shines upon, nothing is now remaining besides its walls.] Pausan. L. 3. C. 33.


(3) Of the walls and walls of the walls, both in Nineveh and Nineveh land its multitude past desiderata et ipsa, quamquam multa magna urbique sed diam dixit Comicius:

(4) Deinde is a quodam fratre Elamita, qui de illis finibus egregiis,

(5)——exceptis enim muris eortilibus qui propter hysias concludendas
ruined or destroyed by time: but of this we read nothing: neither have we any account of Babylon for several hundred years afterwards, there having been such a dearth of authors during those times of ignorance.

Of later authors the first who mentions any thing concerning Babylon, is Benjamin of Tudela, a Jew who lived in the twelfth century. In this Itinerary, which was written almost 700 years ago, he asserts, (6) that ancient Babylon is now laid waste, but some ruins are still to be seen of Nebuchadnezzar’s palace, and men fear to enter there on account of the serpents and scorpions which are in the midst of it. Texeira, a Portuguese, in the description of his travels from India to Italy, affirms (7) that of this great and famous city there is nothing but only a few vestiges remaining; nor in the whole region is any place less frequented.

A German traveler whose name was Rauwolf, passed that way in the year of our Lord 1574, and (8) his account of the ruins of this famous city is as follows.

"The village of Elugo now lieth on the place where formerly old Babylon, the metropolis of Chaldaea, was situated. The harbour is a quarter of a league’s distance from it, where people go ashore in order to proceed by land to the celebrated city of Bagdat, which is a day and a half’s journey from thence eastward on the Tigris. This country is so dry and barren, that it cannot be tilled, and so bare that I could never have believed that this powerful city, once the most stately and renowned in all the world, and situated in the pleasant and fruitful country of Shinar, could have ever stood there, if I had not known it by its situation and many antiquities of great beauty, which are still standing hereabout in great desolation. First by the old bridge which was laid over the Euphrates, whereof their are some pieces and arches still remaining built of burnt brick, and so strong that it is admirable. — Just before the village of Elugo is the hill whereon the castle stood, and the ruins of its fortifications are still visible, though demolished and uninhabited. Behind it, and pretty near to it, did stand the tower of Babylon. — It is still to be seen, and half a league in diameter; but so ruinous, so low, and so full of venomous creatures, which lodge in holes made by them in the rubbish, that no one durst approach nearer to it than within half a league, except during two months in the winter, when these animals never stir out of their holes. There is one sort particularly, which the inhabitants in the language of the country, which is Persian, call Eglö, the poison whereof is very searching: they are larger than our lizards."

A noble Roman, Petrus Valensis, (Della Valle) was at Bagdat in the year 1616 and went to see the ruins as they are thought of ancient Babylon: and he informs us (9) that in the middle of a vast and level plain, about a quarter of a league from Euphrates, which in that place runs westward, appears a heap of ruined buildings, like a huge mountain, the materials of which are so confounded together, that one knows not what to make of it. — Its situation and form correspond with that pyramid which Strabo calls the tower of Belus; and is in all likelihood the tower of Nimrod in Babylon, or Babel, as that place is still called. — There appear no marks of ruins, without the compass of that huge mass, to convince one so great a city as Babylon had ever stood there: all one discovers within fifty or sixty paces of it, being only the remains here and there of some foundations of buildings; and the country round about it so flat and level, that one can...
Dissertations on

Mr. (3) Hanway going to give an account of the siege of Bagdat by Nadir Shah, prefaceth it in this manner.

"Before we enter upon any circumstance relating to the siege of Bagdat, it may afford some light to the subject, to give a short account of this famous city, in the neighbourhood of which formerly stood the metropolis of one of the most ancient and most potent monarchies in the world. The place is generally called Bagdat or Bagdad, though some writers preserve the ancient name of Babylon. The reason of thus confounding these two cities is, that the Tigris and Euphrates, forming one common stream before they disembogue into the Persian gulf, are not unfrequently mentioned as one and the same river. It is certain that the present Bagdat is situated on the Tigris, but the ancient Babylon, according to all historians sacred and profane, was on the Euphrates. The ruins of the latter, which geographical writers place about fifteen leagues to the south of Bagdat, are now so much effaced, that there are hardly any vestiges of them to point out the situation. In the time of the emperor Theodosius, there was only a great park remaining, in which the kings of Persia bred wild beasts for the amusement of hunting."

By these accounts we see, how punctually time hath fulfilled the predictions of the prophets concerning Babylon. When it was converted into a chase for wild beasts to feed and breed there, then were exactly accomplished the words of the prophets, that the wild beasts of the desert with the wild beasts of the islands should dwell there, and cry in their desolate houses. One part of the country was overflowed by the river's having been turned out of its course and never restored again to its former channel, and thence became boggy and marshy, so that it might literally be said to be a possession for the bittern and pools of water. Another part is described as dry and naked, and barren of every thing, so that thereby was also fulfilled another prophecy, which seemed in some

(1) Tavernier in Harris, Vol. 2. Book 2. Chap. 5.
(2) Salmon's Modern Hist. Vol. 1. Present State of the Turkish Empire, Chap. 11.
measure to contradict the former. *Her cities are a desolation, a dry land and a wilderness, a land wherein no man dwelleth, neither doth any son of man pass thereby.* The place thereupon is represented as overrun with serpents, scorpions, and all sorts of venomous and unclean creatures, so that their houses are full of doleful creatures, and dragons cry in their present palaces; and Babylon is become heaps, a desoleing place for dragons, an astonishment and an hissing without an inhabitant. For all these reasons neither can the Arabian pitch his tent there, neither can the shepherds make their folds there. And when we find that modern travelers cannot now certainly discover the spot of ground, wherein this renowned city was once situated, we may very properly say, *How is Babylon become a desolation among the nations? Every purpose of the Lord hath he performed against Babylon, to make the land of Babylon a desolation without an inhabitant; and the expression is no less true than sublime, that the Lord of hosts hath swept it with the besom of destruction.*

How wonderful are such predictions compared with the event, and what a convincing argument of the truth and divinity of the holy scriptures! Well might God allege this as a memorable instance of his presence, and challenge all the false gods, and their votaries, to produce the like (Is. xlv. 21; xlvi. 10.) *Who hath declared this from ancient time? who hath told it from that time? have not I the Lord? and there is no God else beside me, a just God and a Saviour, there is none beside me; declaring the end from the beginning, and from ancient times the things that are not yet done, saying, My counsel shall stand, and I will do all my pleasure. And indeed where can you find a similar instance but in scripture, from the beginning of the world to this day.*

At the same time it must afford all readers of an exalted taste and generous sentiments, all the friends and lovers of liberty, a very sensible pleasure to hear the prophets exulting over such tyrants and oppressors as the kings of Assyria. In the 14th chapter of Isaiah there is an *Epinikion,* or a triumphant ode upon the fall of Baby....

**THE PROPHECIES.**

It represents the infernal mansions as moved, and the ghosts of deceased tyrants as rising to meet the king of Babylon, and congratulate his coming among them. It is really admirable for the severest strokes of irony, as well as for the sublimest strains of poetry. The Greek poet (4) Alceaus, who is celebrated for his hatred to tyrants, and whose odes were animated with the spirit of liberty no less than with the spirit of poetry, we may presume to say, never wrote any thing comparable to it. The late worthy professor of poetry at Oxford hath eminently distinguished it in his (3) lectures upon the sacred poetry of the Hebrews, and hath given it the character that it justly deserves, of one of the most spirited, most sublime, and most perfect compositions of the lyric kind, superior to any of the productions of Greece, or Rome: and he hath not only illustrated it with an useful commentary, but hath also copied the beauties of the great original in an excellent Latin Alcaic ode, which if the learned reader hath not yet seen, he will be not a little pleased with the perusal of it. Another excellent hand, Mr. Mason, hath likewise imitated it in an English ode, with which I hope he will (6) one time or other oblige the public.

But not only in this particular, but in the general the scriptures, though often perverted to the purposes of tyranny, are yet in their own nature calculated to promote the civil as well as the religious liberties of mankind.

(4) Hor. Od. II. XIII. 26.

Et te somnium plenus aureo.
Alceus, plectro, &c.

[And thee Alceus,—sounding thy notes more fully with a golden bow, &c.]

Quintil. Inst. Orat. Lib. 1 Cap. 1. Alceus in parte operis aureo plectro merito donatur, qua tyrannis infectatur: &c. [Alceus in a part of the work is justly presented with a golden bow where he üveighs against tyrants, &c.]

(5) Lowth Praelec. XIII. p. 130, &c.—vix veget spiritus liber, exculsus, verque divinis; neque deest quidquam ad supplices hymnum Odae sublimitatem absoluta pulchritudine commundabilis: enim, ut plane dicam quad satio, nihil habet Graecam aut Romanam poesin simile aut secundum.

[Throughout the whole, a free, sublime, and truly divine spirit prevailed; nor is there any thing wanting to add to the sublimity and perfect beauty of this Ode: which if I should speak, freely what I think, there is nothing that equals, or indeed that comes near to it, either in Greek or Roman poetry.]

(6) Mr. Mason hath since published this with some other Odes in 1750.
True religion, and virtue, and liberty are more nearly related, and more intimately connected with each other, than people commonly consider. It is very true, as St. Paul saith, (2 Cor. iii. 17.) that where the spirit of the Lord is, there is liberty: or as our Saviour himself expresseth it, (John viii. 31, 32.) If ye continue in my word, then are ye my disciples indeed; and ye shall know the truth, and the truth shall make ye free.

XI.

THE PROPHECIES CONCERNING TYRE.

ANOTHER city that was an enemy to the Jews, and another memorable instance of the truth of prophecy, is Tyre, whose fall was predicted by the prophets, and particularly by Isaiah and Ezekiel. But it hath been questioned among learned men, which of the Tyres was the subject of these prophecies, whether Palætyrus or old Tyre that was seated on the continent, or new Tyre that was built in an island almost over against it. The truest and best answer I conceive to be, that the prophecies appertain to both, some expressions being applicable only to the former, and others only to the latter. In one place (Ezek. xxvii. 3.) it is described as situate at the entry of the sea; in others (ver. 4. and 25.) as in the midst of the seas, or according to the original in the heart of the seas. Sometimes (Ezek. xxvi. 6. &c.) it is represented as besieged with horses and with chariots; a fort, a mount, and ensigns of war, are set against it: at other times, (Is. xxxiii. 2. 4. 6.) it is expressly called an island, and the sea, even the strength of the sea. Now it is said (Ezek. xxvi. 10.) By reason of the abundance of his horses, their dust shall cover thee, thy walls shall shake at the noise of the horsemen, and of the wheels, and of the chariots when he shall enter into thy gates, as men enter into a city wherein is made a breach. Then it is said, (ver. 12.) They shall break down thy walls, and destroy thy pleasant houses, and they shall lay thy stones, and thy timber, and thy dust in the midst of the water; and again (Ezek. xxvii. 8.) They shall bring thee down to the pit, and thou shalt die the deaths of them that are slain in the midst of the seas. The insular Tyre therefore, as well as the Tyre upon the continent, is included in these prophecies; they are both comprehended under the same name, and both spoken of as one and the same city, part built on the continent, and part on an island adjoining. It is commonly said indeed, that when old Tyre was closely besieged, and was near falling into the hands of the Chaldeans, then the Tyrians fled from thence, and built new Tyre in the island: but the learned (1) Vitringa hath proved at large from good authorities, that new Tyre was founded several ages before, and was the station for ships, and considered as part of old Tyre; and (2) Pliny speaking of the compass of the city, reckons both the old and the new together.

Whenever the prophets denounce the downfall and desolation of city or kingdom, they usually describe by way of contrast its present flourishing condition, to show in a stronger point of view how providence shiffteth and changeth the scene, and ordereth and disposeth all events. The prophets Isaiah and Ezekiel observe the same method with regard to Tyre. Isaiah speaketh of it as a place of great antiquity, (xxiii. 7.) Is this your joyous city, whose antiquity is of ancient days? And it is mentioned as a strong place as early as in the days of Joshua, (Josh. xix. 29.) the strong city Tyre, for there is no reason for supposing with (3) Sir John Marshall, that the name is used here by way of prolepsis or anticipation. Nay there are even heathen authors, who

as we have seen, in the days of Joshua, and is mentioned in the fragments of (9) Sanchoniathon, the Phoenician historian, who is (1) reckoned to have lived about the time of Gideon, (2) or somewhat later.

But ancient as this city was, it was the daughter of Sidon, as it is called by the prophet Isaiah, (xxiii. 12.) and (ver. 2.) the merchants of Sidon, who pass over the sea, replenished it. Sidon was the eldest son of Canaan, (Gen. x. 15.) and the city of Sidon, is mentioned by the patriarch Jacob, (Gen. xlvi. 13.) and in the days of Joshua it is called great Sidon, (Josh. xi. 8.) and in the days of the Judges the inhabitants of Laish are said (Judg. xviii. 7.) to have dwelt careless and secure after the manner of the Sidonians. We have seen already that Strabo affirms, that after Sidon Tyre was the greatest and most ancient city of the Phoenicians; and he (3) asserts likewise, that the poets have celebrated Sidon more, and Homer hath not so much as mentioned Tyre, tho' he commends Sidon and the Sidonians in several places. It may therefore with reason be inferred, that Sidon was the more ancient: and (4) Justin, the epimeler of Trogus, hath expressly informed us, that the Sidonians being besieged by the king of Ascalon, went in ships and built Tyre. But tho' Tyre was the daughter of Sidon, yet the daughter soon equalled, and in time excelled the mother, and became the most celebrated place in the world for its trade and navigation, the seat of commerce and the center of riches, and is therefore called by Isaiah (xxii. 3, 8.) a mart of nations, the crowning city, whose merchants are princes, whose

(3) "Ου μετα των Μωυσεως, της Σουνδοτς της Μητροτοτως, της πατρου της Τηρης. Ψευτην ρουαν Μυκηνος Σουνδων εκ τοιολης, αυτης αδη της Μητροτοτως." [Translated in the text.] Strabo ibid. p. 1697.
(4) Post multis deteuto annis a rege Ascalonorum expugnati, navibus appulsis Tyron urbem—confederaturs. [Many years afterwards, the inhabitants of Sidon being driven from their city, by the king of Ascalon, and conveyed by their ships, went and built Tyre.] Justin. Lib. 18. Cap. 1. Sect. 5. p. 362. Edit. Grævii.
traders are the honorable of the earth: and Ezekiel, as it were commenting upon those words of Isaiah, as mart of nations, (Chap. xxvii.) recounts the various nations, whose commodities were brought to Tyre, and were bought and sold by the Tyrians.

It was in this wealthy and flourishing condition, when the prophets foretold its destruction, Isaiah 150 years at least before it was destroyed by Nebuchadnezzar. An extensive and beneficial trade soon produces luxury and pride. So it fared with the Tyrians; and for these and their other vices, as well as for their insults and injuries, done to the Jews, the prophets prophesied against them. Isaiah mentions their pride as the great occasion of their fall, (xxiii. 9.) The Lord of hosts hath purposed it, to stain the pride of all glory, and to bring into contempt all the honorable of the earth. Ezekiel (xxvi. 2, &c.) describes at large their luxury even in their shipping. Cleopatra's sailing down the river Cydnos to meet her gallant Antony, was not with greater finery and magnificence: nor have (5) the historians and poets painted the one in more lively colors, than the prophet hath the other. He censure likewise the pride of the king of Tyre in arrogating to himself divine honors. (xxviii. 2, &c.) Son of man, say unto the prince of Tyre, Thus saith the Lord God, Because thine heart is lifted up, and thou hast said, I am a God, I sit in the seat of God, in the midst of the seas; yet thou art a man, and not God, thou set thin heart as the heart of God:—With thine wisdom and with thine understanding thou hast gotten thee riches, and hast gotten gold and silver into thy treasures: By thy great wisdom and by thy traffic hast thou increased thy riches, and thine heart is lifted up because of thy riches; Therefore thus saith the Lord God, Because thou hast set thine heart as the heart of God: Behold therefore, I will bring strangers upon thee, the terrible of the nations; and they shall draw their swords against the beauty of thy wisdom, and they shall defile thy bright-


The prophecies. They shall bring thee down to the pit, and thou shalt die the deaths of them that are slain in the midst of the seas. The prophets Joel and Amos had before denounced the divine judgments upon the Tyrians for their wickedness in general, and in particular for their cruelty to the children of Israel, and for buying and selling them like cattle in the markets. Thus saith the Lord by the prophet Joel, (iii. 5, &c.) Because ye have taken my silver and my gold, and have carried into your temples my goodly pleasant things: The children also of Judah, and the children of Jerusalem have ye sold unto the Greeks, that ye might remove them far from their border: Behold, I will raise them out of the place whither ye have sold them, and will return your recompense upon your own head. Amos speaketh to the same purpose, (i. 9.) Thus saith the Lord, For three transgressions of Tyrus, and for four I will not turn away the punishment thereof; because they delivered up the whole captivity to Edom, and remembered not the brotherly covenant, that is the league and alliance between Hiram, king of Tyre on one part, and David and Solomon on the other. The Psalmist reckons them among the most invertebrate and implacable enemies of the Jewish name and nation, (Ps. Ixxx. 6, 7.) The tabernacles of Edom, and the Ishmaelites, of Moab, and the Hagarenes, Gebal, and Ammon, and Amalek, the Philistines with the inhabitants of Tyre. Ezekiel also begins his prophecy against them with a declaration, that it was occasioned by their insulting over the Jews upon the taking of Jerusalem by Nebuchadnezzar, (xxvi. 2, 3.) Son of man, Because that Tyrus hath said against Jerusalem, Aha, she is broken that was the gates of the people; she is turned unto me, I shall be replenished, now she is laid waste: Therefore thus saith the Lord God, Behold, I am against thee, O Tyrus, and will cause many nations to come up against thee, as the sea causeth his waves to come up.

These were the occasions of the prophecies against Tyre: and by carefully considering and comparing the prophecies together, we shall find the following particulars included in them; that the city was to be taken and
subject of the whole prophecy. The Assyrians were at that time the great monarchs of the east; the Chaldeans were their slaves and subjects; and therefore it is the more extraordinary, that the prophet should so many years beforehand foresee the successes and conquests of the Chaldeans.

Ezekiel lived nearer the time, and he declares expressly that the city should be taken and destroyed by Nebuchadnezzar king of Babylon; (xxvi. 7-11.) Thus saith the Lord God, Behold I will bring upon Tyre, Nebuchadnezzar king of Babylon, a king of kings from the north, with horses, and with chariots, and with horsemen, and companies, and much people;—he shall slay thy people by the sword, and thy strong garrisons shall go down to the ground. Salmanaser king of Assyria (6) had besieged Tyre but without success; the Tyrians had with a few ships beaten his large fleet; but yet Nebuchadnezzar should prevail. Ezekiel not only foretold the siege, but mentions it afterwards as a past transaction, (xxix. 15.) Son of man, Nebuchadnezzar king of Babylon caused his army to serve a great service against Tyre; every head was made bald, and every shoulder was pecked.

Menander the Ephesian translated the Phœnician annals into Greek; and (7) Josephus asserts upon their authority, that Nebuchadnezzar besieged Tyre 13 years when Ithobal was king there, and began the siege in the seventh year of Ithobal's reign, and that he subdued Syria and all Phœnia. The same (8) historian likewise observes, that Philostratus in his Indian and Phœnician histories affirms that this king (Nebuchadnezzar) besieged Tyre thirteen years, Ithobal reigning at that time in

(8) Philostratus εκ των Ιουδαιων αυτων και Φωνεικων περιγραφις, συν τω λεγε αρηστυεις επελευσεν την αυτη Ισραηλιτας εν γενεσιν την επελευσεν της Τυρου. Philostratus tam in Indicis ejus quomque Phœnicia historiae, quod hoc rex tredecim annos Tyrun oppugnavit, cum illa tempore Ithobalus in Tyro regnaret. [Philostor, in his historians of India and Phœnicia, asserts, that this king besieged Tyre for the space of thirteen years, at the time that Ithobalus was king of Tyre.] Joseph Antiq. Lib. 10. Cap. 11. Sect. 1. p. 60. Edit. Hudson.
Tyre. The siege continuing so long, the soldiers must needs endure many hardships, so that hereby we better understand the justness of Ezekiel's expression, that Nebuchadnezzar caused his army to serve a great service against Tyre; every head was made bald, and every shoulder was peeled: such light doth profane history cast upon sacred. It farther appears from the Phenician annals quoted by the same (9) historian, that the Tyrians received their kings afterwards from Babylon, which plainly evinces that some of the blood royal must have been carried captives thither. The Phenician annals too, as Dr. (1) Prideaux hath clearly shown, agree exactly with Ezekiel's account of the time and year, wherein the city was taken. Tyre therefore according to the prophecies was subdued and taken by Nebuchadnezzar and the Chaldeans; and after this we hear little more of that part of the city which stood upon the continent. It is some satisfaction that we are able to produce such authorities as we have produced, out of heathen historians, for transactions of such remote antiquity.

II. The inhabitants should pass over the Mediterranean into the lands and countries adjoining; and even there should find no quiet settlement. 'This is plainly signified by Isaiah (xxiii. 6.) Pass ye over to Tarshish, that is to Tartessus in Spain, howl ye inhabitants of the isle: and again (ver. 12.) Arise, pass over to Chittim, that is the islands and countries bordering upon the Mediterranean; there also shalt thou have no rest. What the prophet delivers by way of advice, is to be understood as a prediction. Ezekiel intimates the same thing, (xxvi. 18.) The isles that are in the sea shall be troubled at thy departure. It is well known that the Phenicians were the best navigators of antiquity, and sent forth colonies into several parts of the world. A great scholar of the last century hath written a whole (2) treatise of the colonies of the Phenicians, a work (as indeed all his are) of immense learning and erudition. And of all the Phenicians the Tyrians were the most celebrated for their shipping and colonies. Tyre exceeded Sidon in this respect, as (3) Strabo testifies, and sent forth colonies into Africa and Spain, unto and beyond the pillars of Hercules; and (4) Quintius Curtius saith, that her colonies were diffused almost over the whole world. The Tyrians therefore having planted colonies at Tarshish and upon the coasts of Chittim, it was natural for them, when they were pressed with dangers and difficulties at home, to fly to their friends and countrymen abroad for refuge and protection. That they really did so, St. Jerome asserts upon the authority of Assyrian histories, which are now lost and perished. 'We have read, (5) saith he, in the histories of the Assyrians, that when the Tyrians were besieged, after they saw no hope of escaping, they went on board their ships, and fled to Carthage, or to some islands of the Ionian and Ægean sea.' And in another place he (6) saith, that when the Tyrians saw that the works for carrying on the siege were perfected, and the foundations of the walls were shaken by the battering of the rams, whatsoever precious things in gold, silver, clothes, and various kinds of furniture the nobility had, they put them on board their ships, and carried to the islands; so that the city being taken, Nebuchadnezzar found nothing worthy of his labor. It must have been grievous to Nebuchadnezzar, after so long and laborious a siege, to be disappointed of the spoil of so rich a city;

(3) Al dei legis etiam vindicta, et arma et capta ferrea, Tyriis blandaque maculis. Colonias tamen in Africam et Hispaniam usque ad loca extra columnas deductae, Tyrum plurimum celeberram. 'But the colonies sent into Africa and Spain, to and beyond the pillars of Hercules, celebrated Tyre much in their songs.' Strabo. Lib. 16. p. 1097.


and therefore Ezekiel was commissioned to promise him
the conquest of Egypt for his reward; (xxix. 18, 19.)
Son of man, Nebuchadnezzar king of Babylon caused
his army to serve a great service against Tyre: every
head was made bald, and every shoulder was pected:
yet had he no wages, nor his army for Tyre, for the
service that he had served against it. Therefore thus
saith the Lord God, Behold I will give the land of Egypt
unto Nebuchadnezzar king of Babylon, and he shall
take her multitude, and take her spoil, and take her
prey, and it shall be the wages for his army.

But though the Tyrians should pass over to Tarshish and
to Chittim, yet even there they should find no quiet settle-
ment, there also shall there have no rest. Megasthenes,
(7) who lived about 300 years before Christ, and was
employed by Seleucus Nicator in an embassy to the king
of India, wrote afterwards a history of India, wherein
he mentioned Nebuchadnezzar with great honor. This
historian is quoted by several ancient authors, and he is
cited particularly by (8) Strabo, Josephus, and Abydenus
in Eusebius, for saying that Nebuchadnezzar surpassed
Hercules in bravery and great exploits, that he subdued
great part of Africa and Spain, and proceeded as far as
to the pillars of Hercules. After Nebuchadnezzar had
subdued Tyre and Egypt, we may suppose that he carried
his arms farther westward: and if he proceeded so far as
Megasthenes reports, the Tyrians might well be said to
have no rest, their conqueror pursuing them from one
country to another. But besides this and after this, the
Carthaginians and other colonies of the Tyrians lived in
a very unsettled state. Their history is made up of little
but wars and tumults, even before their three fatal wars
with the Romans, in every one of which their affairs grew
worse and worse. Sicily and Spain, Europe and Africa,
the land and their own element the sea, were theatres of
their calamities and miseries; till at last not only the new
but old Carthage too was utterly destroyed As the
Carthaginians sprung from the Tyrians, and the Tyrians
from the Sidonians, and Sidon was the first-born of
Canaan, (Gen. x. 13.) so the curse upon Canaan
seemeth to have pursued them to the most distant parts
of the earth.

III. The city should be restored after 70 years, and
return to her gain and her merchandise. This circum-
stance is expressly foretold by Isaiah, (xxiii. 15, 16, 17.)
And it shall come to pass in that day, that Tyre shall be
forgotten seventy years, according to the days of one king,
or kingdom, meaning the Babylonian, which was to con-
tinue 70 years: after the end of seventy years shall Tyre
sing as an harlot. Take an harp, go about the city, thou
harlot that hast been forgotten, make sweet melody, sing
many songs, that thou mayest be remembered. And it
shall come to pass after the end of seventy years, that
the Lord will visit Tyre, and she shall turn to her hire,
and shall commit fornication with all the kingdoms of
the world upon the face of the earth. Tyre is repre-
sented as a harlot, and from thence these figures are bor-
rrowed, the plain meaning of which is, that she should lie
neglected of traders and merchants for 70 years, as long
as the Babylonian empire lasted, and after that she should
recover her liberties and her trade, and draw in several
of all nations to deal with her, and particularly the kings
of the earth to buy her purples, which were worn chiefly
by emperors and kings, and for which Tyre was famous
above all places in the world.

Seventy years was the time prefixed for the duration
of the Babylonian empire. So long the nations were to
groan under that tyrannical yoke, though these nations
were subdued some sooner, some later than others.
(Jer. xxv. 11, 12.) These nations shall serve the king of
Babylon seventy years: And it shall come to pass when
seventy years are accomplished, that I will punish the
king of Babylon, and that nation, saith the Lord, for
their iniquity, and the land of the Chaldeans, and will

make it perpetual desolations. And accordingly at the end of seventy years Cyrus and the Persians subverted the Babylonian empire, and restored the conquered nations to their liberties.

But we may compute these 70 years after another manner. Tyre was (9) taken by Nebuchadnezzar in the 32d year of his reign, and in the year 573 before Christ. Seventy years from thence will bring us down to the year 503 before Christ, and the 19th of Darius Hystaspis. At that time it appears from (1) history that the Ionians had rebelled against Darius, and the Phoenicians assisted him with their fleets: and consequently it is reasonable to conclude that they were now restored to their former privileges. In the succeeding reign we find (2) that they together with the Sidonians furnished Xerxes with several ships for his expedition into Greece. And by the time of Alexander the Tyrians were grown to such power and greatness, that they stopped the progress of that rapid conqueror longer than any part of the Persian empire besides. But all this is to be understood of the insular Tyre: for as the old city flourished most before the time of Nebuchadnezzar, so the new city flourished most afterwards, and this is the Tyre that henceforth is so much celebrated in history.

IV. The city should be taken and destroyed again. For when it is said by the prophets, (Is. xiii. 6.) Howl ye inhabitants of the isle: (Ezek. xxvii. 32.) What city is like Tyre, like the destroyed in the midst of the sea? (xxviii. 8.) They shall bring thee down to the pit, and thou shalt die the death of them that are slain in the midst of the seas: these expressions can imply no less than that the insular Tyre should be destroyed as well as that upon the continent; and as the one was accomplished by Nebuchadnezzar, so was the other by Alexander the great. But the same thing may be inferred more directly from the words of Zechariah, who prophesied in the reign of Darius, (Zeck. i. 1. 7.) probably Darius Hystaspis,

many years after the former destruction of the city, and consequently he must be understood to speak of this latter. His words are these, (ix. 3, 4.) And Tyre did build herself a strong hold, and heaped up silver as the dust, and fine gold as the mire of the streets. Behold the Lord will cast her out, and he will smite her power in the sea, and she shall be devoured with fire. It is very true that Tyre did build herself a strong hold; for her situation was very strong in an island, and besides the sea to defend her was (3) fortified with a wall of 150 feet in height, and of a proportionable thickness. She heaped up silver as the dust, and fine gold as the mire of the streets, being the most celebrated place in the world for trade and riches, the mart of nations as she is called, conveying the commodities of the east to the west, and of the west to the east. But yet Behold the Lord will cast her out, and he will smite her power in the sea, and she shall be devoured with fire. Ezekiel had likewise foretold that the city should be consumed with fire, (xxxviii. 18.) I will bring forth a fire from the midst of thee, it shall devour thee, and I will bring thee to ashes upon the earth, in the sight of all them that behold thee. And accordingly Alexander besieged, and took, and (4) set the city on fire. The ruins of old Tyre contributed much to the taking of the new city; for (5) with the stones and timber and rubbish of the old city Alexander built a bank or causey from the continent to the island, thereby literally fulfilling the words of the prophet Ezekiel, (xxvi. 12.) They shall lay thy stones, and thy timber and thy dust in the midst of the water. He was seven months in completing this work, but the time and labor were well employed, for by means hereof he was enabled to storm and take the city.


As in the former siege the inhabitants according to the prophecies fled over the Mediterranean to the lands and countries adjoining; so they did likewise in this latter siege; for (6) Diodorus Siculus and Quintus Curtius both testify that they sent their wives and children to Carthage; and upon the taking of the place the (7) Sidonians secretly conveyed away fifteen thousand more in their ships. Happy were they who thus escaped, for of those who remained behind, the (8) conqueror slew eight thousand in the storming and taking of the city, he caused two thousand afterwards cruelly to be crucified, and thirty thousand he sold for slaves. They had before sold some of the captive Jews, and now it was returned upon them according to the prediction of Joel, (iii. 6, 7, 8.) The children also of Judah, and the children of Jerusalem have ye sold; Behold I will return your recompense upon your own head, and will sell your sons and your daughters. This is the main of the prophecy, that as they had sold the captive Jews, so they should be sold themselves; and having seen this so punctually fulfilled, we may more easily believe that the other parts were too also, though at this distance of time, and in this scarcity of ancient historians, we are not to probe all the particulars. When the city was taken before, the Tyrians received their kings afterwards from Babylon; and now (9) their king held his crown by Alexander's appointment. The cases are parallel in many respects:

(6) ——πατὰ μὲν καὶ γυναῖκας καὶ τὰς γυναῖκας τις Καρθαγόνη τὴν ὑποτελείαν ἐπὶ σάκας. Τῇ πόλις καὶ τοῖς κατοικία άριστον καὶ Καρθαγόνης ἐκαταλαμβάνεται. [They determined to send their children and wives, and aged people to Carthage.] τοῦτο δὲ, τί πατὰ καὶ γυναῖκας μετὰ μιν καταλαμβάνεται στὶς Καρθαγόνης, ταῦτα δὲ παρακείμενοι μιν καταλαμβάνεται τὴν ὑποτελείαν τῶν Καρθαγόνης.] Diod. Sic. Lib. 18. ibid. Conjuges liberiores de sevendos Carthaginensibus tradiderant. [They delivered their wives and children to be conveyed to Carthage.] Quint. Curt. Lib. 4. Cap. 3.


but the city recovered much sooner from the calamities of this siege than from the fatal consequences of the former. For in (1) nineteen years time it was able to withstand the fleets and armies of Antigonus, and sustained a siege of fifteen months before it was taken: a plain proof, as Dr. Prideaux observes, of "the great advantage of " trade. For this city being the grand mart, where most " of the trade both of the east and west did then center, " by virtue hereof it was, that it so soon revived to its pris- " tin vigor."

V. It is usual with God to temper his judgments with mercy; and amidst these calamities it is also foretold, that there should come a time, when the Tyrians would forsake their idolatry, and become converts to the true religion and worship of God. The Psalmist is thought to have hinted as much, in saying (xlv. 12.) The daughter of Tyre shall be there with a gift, and again (lxii. 10.) The kings of Terashish and of the isles shall bring presents. Zechariah, when he foretells the calamities which the Tyrians and neighbouring nations should suffer from Alexander, (ix. 1—7.) at the same time predicts their conversion to the true God; but he that remaineth, even he shall be for our God. But nothing can be plainer than Isaiah's declaration that they should consecrate the gains of their merchandise for the maintenance of those who minister to the Lord in holy things. (xxiiii. 18.) And her merchandise and her hire shall be holiness to the Lord: it shall not be treasur'd, nor laid up: for her merchandise shall be for them that dwell before the Lord, to eat sufficiently, and for durable clothing: Here particularly we must be much obliged to the learned (2) Vitringa, who hath fully shown the completion of this article; as indeed every one who would rightly understand the prophet Isaiah, must be greatly obliged to that excellent commentator, and will receive more light and assistance from him than from all besides him.

The Tyrians were much addicted to the worship of
Hercules as he was called by the Greeks, or Baal as he is denominated in scripture. But in process of time, by the means of some Jews and proselytes living and conversing among them, some of them also became proselytes to the Jewish religion; so that a great multitude of people from the sea coast of Tyre and Sidon came to hear our Saviour (Luke vi. 17.) and to be healed of their diseases; and our Saviour, who was sent only to the lost sheep of the house of Israel, yet came into the coasts of Tyre and Sidon; (Matt. xv. 21, &c. Mark vii. 24, &c.) and the first fruits of the gospel there was a Tyrian woman, a woman of Canaan, as she is called, a Syrophœnician by nation. When St. Paul in his way to Jerusalem came to Tyre, he found disciples there, who were inspired by the holy Ghost and prophesied. (Acts xxi. 4.) and with them he tarried forty days. The Tyrians were such sincere converts to christianity, that in the time of Dioeclesian's persecution they exhibited several glorious examples of confessors and martyrs, as (3) Eusebius himself saw, and hath amply testified in his book of the martyrs of Palestine. Afterwards when the storm of persecution was blown over, the Tyrians under their bishop Paulinus built an oratory or rather a temple for the public worship of God, the most magnificent and sumptuous in all Palestine and Phœnicia, which temple (4) Eusebius hath described, and celebrated in() a handsome panegyric, whereof he hath inserted a copy in his history, but modestly concealed the name of the author. Eusebius therefore commenting upon this passage of Isaiah, might very well (5) say that 'it is fulfilled in our time. For since a church of God hath been founded in Tyre as well as in other nations, many of its goods gotten by merchandise are consecrated to the

Lord, being offered to his church;' as he afterwards explains himself, 'for the use of the minsters of the altar or gospel, according to the institution of our Lord, that they who wait at the altar should live of the altar.' In like manner (6) St. Jerome: 'We may behold churches in Tyre built to Christ; we may see their riches that they are not laid up, nor treasured, but given to those who dwell before the Lord. For the Lord hath appointed, that they who preach the gospel should live of the gospel.' And how liberally and munificently the bishops and clergy were at that time maintained, how plentifully they were furnished with every thing, to eat sufficiently, and for durable clothing, no man can want to be informed, who is ever so little conversant in ecclesiastical history. To these proofs we will only add, that as Tyre consecrated its merchandise and hire unto the Lord, so it had the (7) honor of being erected into an archbishopric, and the first archbishopric under the patriarchate of Jerusalem, having fourteen bishops under its primacy; and in this state it continued several years.

V. But after all the city should be totally destroyed, and become a place only for fishers to spread their nets upon. When the prophets denounced the destruction of a city or country, it was not intended that such denunciation should take effect immediately. The sentence of condemnation (as I may say) was then passed upon it, but the execution might be resorted for some time.

When it was threatened that Babylon should become a desolation without an inhabitant, there were yet many ages before it was reduced to that condition; it decayed by degrees, till at last it came to nothing; and now the place is so little known, that you may look for Babylon in the midst of Babylon. In like manner Tyre was not to be ruined and desolated all at once. Other things


of its trade, and thereby contributed more effectually to its ruin. It had the misfortune afterwards of changing its masters often, being sometimes in the hands of the Ptolemies kings of Egypt, and sometimes of the Seleucidæ kings of Syria, till at length it fell under the dominion of the Romans. It was taken by the (9) Saracens about the year of Christ 639 in the reign of Omar their third emperor. It was retaken by the (1) Christians during the time of the holy war in the year 1124, Baldwin the second of that name being then king of Jerusalem, and assisted by a fleet of the Venetians. From the Christians it was (2) taken again in the year 1289 by the Mamelukes of Egypt, under their Sultan Alphix, who sacked and raised this and Sidon and other strong towns, that they might not ever again afford any harbour or shelter to the Christians. From the Mamelukes it was (3) again taken in the year 1515 by Selim, the ninth emperor of the Turks; and under their dominion it continues at present. But alas, how fallen, how changed from what it was formerly! For from being the center of trade, frequented by all the merchant ships of the east and west, it is now become a heap of ruins, visited only by the boats of a few poor fishermen. So that as to this part likewise of the city, the prophecy hath literally been fulfilled, I will make thee like the top of a rock; thou shalt be a place to spread nets upon. These prophesies, like most others, were to receive their completion by degrees. Nebuchadnezzar, as we have seen destroyed the old city; and Alexander employed the ruins and rubbish in making his causey from the continent to the island, which henceforth were joined together. “It is no wonder therefore,” as Bishop (8) Pococke observes, “that there are no signs of the ancient city; and as it is a sandy shore, the face of every thing is altered, and the great aqueduct in many parts is almost buried in the sand.” So that as to this part of the city, the prophecy hath literally been fulfilled, Thou shalt be built no more; though thou be sought for, yet shall thou never be found again. It may be questioned whether the new city ever after that arose to that height of power, wealth, and greatness, to which it was elevated in the times of Isaiah and Ezekiel. It received a great blow from Alexander, not only by his taking and burning the city, but much more by his building of Alexandria in Egypt, which in time deprived it of much

Arabic, who resided ten years in Syria; and he remembers to have heard him sometimes say, that when he approached the ruins of Tyre, and beheld the rocks stretched forth to the sea, and the great stones scattered up and down on the shore, made clean and smooth by the sun and waves and winds, and useful only for the drying of fishermen's nets, many of which happened at that time to be spread thereon, it brought to his memory this prophecy of Ezekiel concerning Tyre; (xxvi. 5, 14.) I will make thee like the top of a rock: thou shalt be a place to spread nets upon: thou shalt be built no more; for I the Lord have spoken it, saith the Lord God.

Dr. (5) Shaw in his account of Tyre thus expresseth himself, "I visited several creeks and inlets in order to discover what provision there might have been formerly made for the security of their vessels. Yet notwithstanding that Tyre was the chief maritime power of this country, I could not observe the least token of either colthon or harbour that could have been of any extraordinary capacity. The coasting ships indeed, still find a tolerable good shelter from the northern winds under the southern shore, but were obliged immediately to retire, when the winds change to the west or south; so that there must have been some better station than this for their security and reception. "In the N. N. E. part likewise of the city, we see the traces of a safe and commodious basin, lying within the walls: but which at the same time is very small, scarce forty yards in diameter. Neither could it ever have enjoyed a larger area, unless the buildings, which now circumscribe it, were encroachments upon its original dimensions. Yet even this port, small as it is at present, is notwithstanding so choked up with sand and rubbish, that the boats of those poor fishermen, who now and then visit this once renowned emporium, can with great difficulty only be admitted."

But the fullest for our purpose is Mr. Maundrell, whom it is a pleasure to quote as well as to read, and whose journal of his journey from Aleppo to Jerusalem, though a little book, is yet worth a folio, being so accurately and ingeniously written, that it might serve as a model for all writers of travels. "This city, (6) saith he, standing in the sea upon a peninsula, promises at a distance something very magnificent. But when you come to it, you find no similitude of that glory, for which it was so renowned in ancient times, and which the prophet Ezekiel describes Chap. 26, 27, 28. "On the north side it has an old Turkish ungarrisoned castle; besides which you see nothing here, but a meer Babel of broken walls, pillars, vaults, &c. there being not so much as one entire house left; its present inhabitants are only a few poor wretches harbouring themselves in the vaults, and subsisting chiefly upon fishing, who seem to be preserved in this place by divine Providence, as a visible argument, how God has fulfilled his word concerning Tyre, viz. that it should be as a top of a rock, a place for fishers to dry their nets on."

Such hath been the fate of this city, once the most famous in the world for trade and commerce. But trade is a fluctuating thing: it is passed from Tyre to Alexandria from Alexandria to Venice, from Venice to Antwerp, from Antwerp to Amsterdam and London, the English rivalling the Dutch, as the French are now rivalling both. All nations almost are wisely applying themselves to trade: and it behoves those who are in possession of it, to take the greatest care that they do not lose it. It is a plant of tender growth, and requires sun, and soil, and fine seasons, to make it thrive and flourish. It will not grow like the palm tree, which with the more weight and pressure rises the more. Liberty is a friend to that, as that is a friend to liberty. But the greatest enemy to both is licentiousness, which tramples upon all law and lawful authority, encourages riots and tumults, promotes drunkenness and debauchery, sticks at nothing to supply its extravagance, practises every art


(6) Maundrell, p. 48, 49. 5th Edit.
of illicit gain, ruins credit, ruins trade, and will in the end ruin liberty itself. Neither kingdoms nor commonwealths, neither public companies nor private persons, can long carry on a beneficial flourishing trade without virtue, and what virtue teacheth, sobriety, industry, frugality, modesty, honesty, punctuality, humanity, charity, the love of our country, and the fear of God. The prophets will inform us how the Tyrians lost it; and the like causes will always produce the like effects. (Is.xxx. 8, 9.) *Who hath taken this counsel against Tyre, the crowning city, whose merchants are princes, whose traffickers are the honourable of the earth? The Lord of hosts hath purposed it, to strain the pride of all glory, and to bring into contempt all the honorable of the earth.* (Ezek. xxvii. 3, 4.) Thus saith the Lord God, O Tyrus, thou hast said, I am of perfect beauty. Thy borders are in the midst of the seas, thine builders have perfected thy beauty. (xxviii. 5, &c.) By thy great wisdom, and by thy traffick hast thou increased thy riches, and thy heart is lifted up because of thy riches. By the multitude of thy merchandise they have filled the midst of thee with violence, and thou hast sinned; therefore wilt I cast thee as profane out of the mountain of God. Thine heart was lifted up because of thy beauty, thou hast corrupted thy wisdom by reason of thy brightness. Thou hast defiled thy sanctuaries by the multitude of thine iniquities, by the iniquity of thy traffick; therefore will I bring forth a fire from the midst of thee, it shall devour thee, and I will bring thee to ashes upon the earth, in the sight of all them that behold thee. All they that know thee among the people, shall be astonished at thee; thou shalt be a terror, and never shalt thou be any more.

**XII.**

**THE PROPHECIES CONCERNING EGYPT.**

**Egypt** is one of the first and most famous countries that we read of in history. In the Hebrew scriptures it is called *Mizraim and the land of Ham*, having been first inhabited after the deluge by Noah's youngest son Ham or Hammon, and by his son Mizraim. The name of *Egypt* is of more uncertain derivation. It appears that the river was so called in (1) Homer's time; and from thence, as Hesychius imagines, the name might be derived to the country. Others more probably conceive that the meaning of the name (2) *Egyptus is alius Cuphti, the land of Cuphti*, as it was formerly called by the Egyptians themselves and their neighbours the Arabians. All agree in this, that the kingdom of Egypt was very ancient; but some have carried this antiquity to an extravagant and fabulous height, their dynasties being utterly irreconcilable to reason and history both, and no ways to be solved or credited but by supposing that they extend beyond the deluge, and that they contain the catalogues of several contemporary, as well as of some successive kings and kingdoms. It is certain that in the days of Joseph, if not before those in the days of Abraham, it was a great and flourishing kingdom. There are monuments of its


greatness yet remaining to the surprise and astonishment of all posterity, of which as we know not the time of their erection, so in all probability we shall never know the time of their destruction.

This country was also celebrated for its wisdom, no less than for its antiquity. It was, as I may call it, the great academy of the earlier ages. Hither the wits and sages of Greece and other countries repaired, and imbibed their learning at this fountain. It is mentioned to the commendation of Moses (Acts vii. 22.) that he was learned in all the wisdom of the Egyptians: and the highest character given of Solomon's wisdom (1 Kings iv. 30.) is that it excelled the wisdom of all the children of the east country, and all the wisdom of Egypt. But with this wisdom, and this greatness, it was early corrupted; and was as much the parent of superstition, as it was the mistress of learning; and, as it were, was from thence propagated and diffused over other countries. It was indeed the grand corruptor of the world, the source of polytheism and idolatry to several of the eastern, and to most of the more western nations; and degenerated at last to such monstrous and beastly worship, that we shall scarcely find a parallel in all history.

However this was the country, where the children of Israel were in a manner born and bred; and it must be said they were much perverted by their education, and retained a fondness for the idols of Egypt ever afterwards. Several of Moses's laws and institutions were plainly calculated to wean them from, and to guard them against the manners and customs of the Egyptians. But still in their hearts and affections they were much inclined to return into Egypt. Even Solomon married his wife from thence. And upon all occasions they courted the friendship and alliance of Egypt rather than of any of the neighbouring powers. Which prejudice of theirs was the more extraordinary, as the Egyptians generally treated them very injuriously. They oppressed them with most cruel servitude in Egypt. They gave them leave to depart, and then pursued them as fugitives.

Shishak king of Egypt came up against Jerusalem, (1 Kings xiv. 25, 26.) and plundered it. And in all their leagues and alliances Egypt was to them as a broken reed, (Is. xxxvi. 6.) whence if a man lean, it will go into his hand and pierce it. Upon all these accounts we might reasonably expect that Egypt would be the subject of several prophecies, and we shall not be deceived in our expectation.

It is remarkable, that the prophecies uttered against any city or country, often carry the inscription of the burden of that city or country. The prophecies against Nineveh, Babylon, and Tyre were inscribed (Nahum i. 1.) the burden of Nineveh, (Is. xiii. 1.) the burden of Babylon, and (Is. xxvii. 1.) the burden of Tyre; and so here likewise. (Is. xix. 1.) the prophecies against Egypt have the title of the burden of Egypt. And by burden is commonly understood a threatening burdensome prophecy, big with ruin and destruction, which like a dead weight is hung upon a city or country, to sink it. But the word in the original is of more general import and significance. Sometimes it signifies a prophecy at large: as the prophecies of Habakkuk and Malachi are entitled the burden which Habakkuk the prophet did see, and the burden of the word of the Lord to Israel by Malachi: and it is rendered a vision or prophecy in the Septuagint and other ancient versions. Sometimes it signifies a prophecy of good as well as of evil: as it was said of the false prophets who prophesied peace when there was no peace. (Lament. ii. 14.) Thy prophets have seen vain and foolish things for thee; they have seen for thee false burdens; and Zechariah's prophecy of the restoration and triumphs of the Jews in the latter days is entitled (Zech. xii. 1.) the burden of the word of the Lord for Israel. Sometimes it is translated a prophecy, where there is no prophecy, but only some grave moral sayings or sentences, as (Prov. xxxi. 1.) the words of Agur the son of Jakeh, even the prophecy; and again (Prov. xxxi. 1.) the words of king Lemuel, the prophecy that his mother taught him. We may further observe that the word is used of the author of the prophecy, as well as the subject of it: and there
is the burden of the Lord, and the burden of the word of the Lord, as well as the burden of Babylon, and the burden of Egypt. We may be certain too, that this title was affixed to the prophecies by the prophets themselves, and not by the scribes who collected their writings afterwards, because it appears from Jeremiah (xxiii. 34, &c.) that the scoffers and infidels of his time made a jest and derision of it; and therefore they are forbidden to mention it any more as being a term of ambiguous signification; and instead of inquiring what is the burden of the Lord, they are commanded to say what hath the Lord answered? and what hath the Lord spoken? The word in the original is derived from a verb that signifies to take up, to lift up, to bring forth, and the like; and the proper meaning of it is any weighty important matter or sentence, which ought not to be neglected, but is worthy of being carried in the memory, and deserves to be lifted up and uttered with emphasis. Such, eminently such are all these prophecies, and those relating to Egypt as well as the rest. For they comprise the principal revolutions of that kingdom from the times of the prophets to this day.

1. The first great revolution, that we shall mention, was the conquest of this kingdom by Nebuchadnezzar, which was particularly foretold by Jeremiah and Ezekiel. These two prophets have both employed several sections or chapters upon this occasion. Jeremiah was carried into Egypt, and there foretold (Chap. xxxi.) the conquest of Egypt by Nebuchadnezzar king of Babylon: and some of his prophecies are entitled (xlvi. 13.) The word that the Lord spake to Jeremiah the prophet, how Nebuchadnezzar king of Babylon should come and smite the land of Egypt. Ezekiel also declares, (xxx. 10, 11.) Thus saith the Lord God, I will also make the multitude of Egypt to cease by the hand of Nebuchadnezzar king of Babylon: He and his people with him, the terrible of the nations shall be brought to destroy the land; and they shall draw their swords against Egypt, and fill the land

(3) Νέξθ ἢ Νέξθ Τιλιτ, Αττίλ, Πρωτολ, &c. [Translated in the text.] Buxtorf.
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with the slain: and the conquest of this kingdom was promised to Nebuchadnezzar as a reward for his services against Tyre, which after a long siege he took and destroyed, but was disappointed of the spoil, as was observed in the foregoing dissertation; (Ezek. xxix. 18, 19.) Nebuchadnezzar king of Babylon caused his army to serve a great service against Tyre: every head was made bald; and every shoulder was sheared: yet had he no wages, nor his army for Tyre, for the service that he had served against it. Therefore thus saith the Lord God, Behold I will give the land of Egypt unto Nebuchadnezzar king of Babylon, and he shall take her multitude, and take her spoil, and take her prey, and it shall be the wages for his army.

Now for this early transaction we have the (4) testimonies of Megasthenes and Berosus, two heathen historians, who lived about 300 years before Christ, one of whom affirms expressly that Nebuchadnezzar conquered the greatest part of Africa, and the other affirms it in effect, in saying that when Nebuchadnezzar heard of the death of his father, having settled his affairs in Egypt, and committed the captives whom he took in Egypt to the care of some of his friends to bring them after him, he hastened directly to Babylon. If neither Herodotus nor Diodorus Sicius have recorded this transaction, what (5) Scaliger said of one of them may be very justly applied to both, that those Egyptian priests, who informed them of the
Egyptian affairs, taught them only those things which made for the honour of their nation; other particulars of their idleness, servitude, and the tribute which they paid to the Chaldeans, they concealed. Josephus we may presume, had good authorities, and was supported by earlier historians, when he (6) asserted, that Nebuchadnezzar, having subdued Cæle-Syria, waged war against the Ammonites and Moabites; and having conquered them, he invaded Egypt, and slew the king who then reigned, and appointed another.

It is indeed most highly probable, that Apries was de-throned, and Amasis constituted king by Nebuchadnezzar; and I think we may infer as much from Herodotus himself. The name of the king of Egypt at that time according to Jeremiah was Pharaoh-Hophra, and he can be none other than the Apries of Herodotus. Ezekiel represents him as an arrogant impious prince, (xxix. 3.) as the great dragon or crocodile that lieth in the midst of his rivers, which hath said, My river is my own, and have made it for myself: and agreeably hereto (7) Herodotus informs us, that Apries proudly and wickedly boasted of having established his kingdom so surely, that it was not in the power of any god to disjoint him of it. However Jeremiah foretold, that he should be taken and slain by his enemies, (xliv. 30.) Thus saith the Lord, Behold, I will give Pharaoh-Hophra king of Egypt into the hand of his enemies, and into the hand of them that seek his life, as I gave Zedekiah king of Judah into the


Hand of Nebuchadnezzar king of Babylon, his enemy, and that sought his life: and accordingly (8) Apries was taken and strangled by Amasis, who was by Nebuchadnezzar constituted king in his room.

Ezekiel foretold, that the country should be desolated forty years, and the people carried captive into other countries: (xxix. 12.) I will make the land of Egypt desolate in the midst of the countries that are desolate, and her cities among the cities that are laid waste, shall be desolate forty years; and I will scatter the Egyptians among the nations, and will disperse them through the countries. We cannot prove indeed from heathen authors, that this desolation of the country continued exactly forty years, tho it is likely enough that this, as well as the other conquered countries, did not shake off the Babylonian yoke till the time of Cyrus, which was about forty years after the conquest of Egypt by Nebuchadnezzar; but we are assured by (9) Berossus, that Nebuchadnezzar took several captives in Egypt, and carried them to Babylon; and from (1) Megasthenes we learn, that he transplanted and settled others in Pontus. So true it is, that they were scattered among the nations, and dispersed through the countries, and might upon the dissolution of the Babylonian empire return to their native country.

II. Not long after this was another memorable revolution, and the country was invaded and subdued by Cambyses and the Persians, which is the main subject of the 19th chapter of Isaiah. Some parts indeed of this prophecy have a near affinity with those of Jeremiah and Ezekiel concerning the conquest of Egypt by Nebuchadnezzar, and St. Jerome and others apply it to Nebuchadnezzar: but this prophecy, as well as several others,
might admit of a double completion, and be fulfilled at both those periods. For this prophecy of Isaiah is a general representation of the calamities of the nation; it includes various particulars; it is applicable to Nebuchadnezzar and the Babylonians, as well as to Cambyses and the Persians. They might therefore be both intended and comprehended in it: but the latter, I conceive were principally intended, and for this reason; because the deliverance of the Egyptians by some great conqueror, and their conversion afterwards to the true religion, which are foretold in the latter part of this chapter, were events consequent to the dominion of the Persians, and not to that of the Babylonians.

The prophet begins with declaring that the conquest of Egypt should be swift and sudden, and that the idols of Egypt should be destroyed; (ver. 1.) Behold the Lord will smite upon a swift cloud, and shall come into Egypt, and the gods of Egypt shall be moved at his presence, and the heart of Egypt shall melt in the midst of it. The same thing is foretold of Nebuchadnezzar by Jeremiah, (xxxiii. 11, &c.) And when he cometh, he shall smite the land of Egypt.—And I will kindle a fire in the houses of the gods of Egypt, and he shall burn them, and carry them away captive.—He shall break also the images of Bethshemesh, that is in the land of Egypt; and the houses of the gods of the Egyptians shall he burn with fire: And again by Ezekiel (xxx. 13.) Thus saith the Lord God, I will also destroy the idols, and I will cause their images to cease out of Noph, or Memphis. We are not furnished with ancient authors sufficient to prove these particulars (however probable) in the history of Nebuchadnezzar and the Babylonians; but we have ample proofs with relation to Cambyses and the Persians. The first attempt made by Cambyses was upon (2) Pelusium, a strong town at the entrance of Egypt, and the key of the kingdom; and he succeeded by the stratagem of placing before his army a great number of dogs, sheep, cats, and other animals, which being held sacred by the Egyptians, not one of them would cast a javelin, or shoot an arrow that way; and so the town was stormed and taken in a manner without resistance. He (3) treated the gods of Egypt with marvelous contempt, laughed at the people, and chastised the priests for worshipping such deities. He slew Apis, or the sacred ox, which the Egyptians worshipped, with his own hand; and burnt and demolished their other idols and temples; and would likewise, if he had not been prevented, have destroyed the famous temple of Jupiter Hammon. Ochus too, who was another king of Persia, and subdued the Egyptians again after they had revolted, (4) plundered their temples, and caused Apis to be slain and served up in a banquet to him and his friends.

The prophet foretells, that they should also be miserably distracted with civil wars; (ver. 2.) And I will set the Egyptians against the Egyptians; and they shall fight every one against his brother, and every one against his neighbour, city against city, and kingdom against kingdom, &c. &c. As the Seventy translate it, province against province, Egypt being divided into provinces, prefectures or provinces. Vitringa and others apply this to the time of the (5) Grecification or the reign of the twelve kings, the anarchy that preceded, and the civil wars that ensued, wherein the genius and fortune of Psammitichus prevailed over the rest. But it may perhaps be more properly applied to what agrees better in point of time with other parts of the prophecy, (6) the civil wars between Apries and Amasis at the time of Nebuchadnezzar's invasion, and the (7) civil wars between Tachos, Nectanebus, and the Mendesian, a little before the country was finally subdued by Ochus.


It is no wonder, that in such distractions and distresses as these, the Egyptians being naturally a cowardly people, should be destitute of counsel, and that the spirit of Egypt should fail in the midst thereof, as the prophet foretells; (ver. 3.) and that being also a very superstitious people, they should seek to the idols, and to the charmers, and to them that have familiar spirits, and to the wizards. But their divination was all in vain; it was their fate to be subdued and oppressed by cruel lords and tyrants; (ver. 4.) And the Egyptians will I give over into the hand of a cruel lord; and a fierce king shall rule over them, saith the Lord, the Lord of hosts. This is the most essential part of the prophecy; and this Gratius and others understand of Psammitichus: but it doth not appear from history, that Psammitichus was such a fierce and cruel tyrant; on the contrary he (8) reestablished the government, and reignéd long and prosperously for Egypt in many respects. It may with greater truth and propriety be understood of Nebuchadnezzar and the Babylonians, whose dominion was very grievous to the conquered nations: but with the greatest propriety and justice it may be applied to the Persians, and especially to Cambyses and Ochus; one of whom put the yoke upon the neck of the Egyptians, and the other rivetted it there; and who are both branded in history for cruel tyrants and monsters of men. The Egyptians said that Cambyses, after his killing of Apis, was stricken with madness; but his actions, saith (9) Dr. Prideaux after Herodotus, showed him to have been mad long before. He could hardly have performed those great exploits, if he had been a downright madman: and yet it is certain that he was very much like one: there was a mixture


(9) Prid. Consequent. Part 1. B. 3. Anno 525. Cambyses 5. Herod. Lib. 3. Sect. 30. p. 173. Edit. Gale. Κοιμητηκας, δι' (ς λόγου: Αγρυπνό) δια τοτε το αδιανόητο αυτον的样子, ου μεν ἁπάντα λέγει, ἀλλ' ἐξ οὐσίας φέρετο. Oh how seclus (et Aegypti assumpt) continu Cambyses insanit; quem ne quis quidem consuevit mentis fississet. [But Cambyses, (as the Egyptians say) on account of this wickedness, was immediately stricken with madness, but before that, he was not in his right senses.]
God of Israel. And as these events, which are the sub-
jects of the latter part of the chapter, (ver. 18—25.) fol-
lowed upon the subversion of the Persian empire; we may
be satisfied, that our application of the former part of the
chapter to the Persians in particular, was not a misappli-
cation of the prophecy. In that day, that is after that day,
after that time, as the phrase signifies, and should be trans-
lated in several passages of the prophets, shall five cities
in the land of Egypt speak the language of Canaan,
profess the religion of the Hebrews; as in Zephaniah, (iii.
9.) I will turn to the people a pure language, I will restore
to the people a pure religion, that they may all call upon
the name of the Lord, to serve him with one consent.
And swear to the Lord of hosts: one shall be called the
city of destruction, or of the sun, as it is in the margin of
our bibles, meaning Heliopolis, a famous city of Egypt.
In that day shall there be an altar to the Lord in the
midst of the land of Egypt, and a pillar at the border
thereof to the Lord, such as Jacob erected (Gen. xviii.
18.) at Bethel. And it shall be for a sign, and for a
witness unto the Lord of hosts in the land of Egypt: for
they shall cry unto the Lord because of the oppressors,
and he shall send them a saviour and a great one, and
he shall deliver them. And the Lord shall be known to
Egypt, and the Egyptians shall know the Lord in that
day, and shall do sacrifice and ablation, yea, they shall
vow a vow unto the Lord, and perform it. The prophet
describes the worship of future times, according to the rites
and ceremonies of his own time. And the Lord shall smite
Egypt, he shall smite and heal it, and they shall return
even to the Lord, and he shall be intreated of them, and
shall heal them. The prophet then proceeds to shew, that
Assyria or Syria and Egypt, which used to be at great enmity
with each other, shall be united in the same worship by the
intermediation of Israel, and they three shall be a blessing
in the earth. In that day shall there be a high way out
of Egypt to Assyria, and the Assyrian shall come into
Egypt, and the Egyptian into Assyria, and the Egyp-
tians shall serve with the Assyrians. In that day shall
Israel be the third with Egypt, and with Assyria, even

a blessing in the midst of the land: Whom the Lord of
hosts shall bless, saying, Blessed be Egypt, my people,
and Assyria the work of my hands, and Israel mine
inheritance.

Here it is clearly foretold, that a great prince, a sa-
vour sent by God, from a foreign country, should deliver
the Egyptians from their Persian oppressors, and heal
their country, which was smitten of God and afflicted: and
who could this be but Alexander, who is always distin-
guished by the name of Alexander the great, and whose
first successor in Egypt was called the great Ptolemy,
and Ptolemy Soter or the saviour? Upon Alexander's first
coming into Egypt, the (2) people all cheerfully submitted
to him out of hatred to the Persians, so that he became
master of the country without any opposition. For this
reason he treated them with humanity and kindness, built
a city there which after his own name he called Alexandria,
appointed one of their own country for their civil governor,
and permitted them to be governed by their own laws and
customs. By these changes and regulations, and by the
prudent and gentle administration of some of the first
Ptolemies, Egypt revived trade and learning flourished,
and for a while peace and plenty blessed the land.

But it is more largely foretold, that about the same
time the true religion and the worship of the God of
Israel should begin to spread and prevail in the land of
Egypt; and what event was ever more unlikely to
happen than the conversion of a people so sunk and lost
in superstition and idolatry of the worst and grossest
kind? It is certain that many of the Jews, after Nebu-
chadnezzar had taken Jerusalem, fled into Egypt, and
carried along with them Jeremiah the prophet, (Jer.
xliii. &c.) who there uttered most of his prophecies
concerning the conquest of Egypt by Nebuchadnezzar.
From thence some knowledge of God, and some notices
of the prophecies might easily be derived to the Egyp-
tians. It is said that this alteration should be effected

principally in five cities. If a certain number be not here put for an uncertain, I should conclude with (3) Le Clerc, that the five cities, wherein the worship of the one true God was received, were Heliopolis which is particularly named in the text, and the four others, which are mentioned in Jeremiah (xlv. 1) as the places of the residence of the Jews, Migdol or Magdolum, Tahpanhes or Daphne, Noph or Memphis, and the fourth in the country of Pathros or Thebais, not mentioned by name, perhaps Amon-no or Diospolis. There the Jews chiefly resided at that time; and though they were generally very wicked men, and disobedient to the word of the Lord, and upon that account the prophet Jeremiah denounced the heaviest judgments against them: yet some good men might be mingled among them, who might open his prophecies to the Egyptians, and they themselves when they saw them fulfilled, might embrace the Jewish religion. But this is to be understood not of all the inhabitants of those places, but only of some; which is sufficient to justify the expression of five cities

(3) Si licet conjectur[,] dictum esse, que memorantur Jeremiac Cap. XLI. 2. Migdol (Heredo- dotea Magdulou) Tha[phanes(] eides Daphne) Noph sive Memphis, et quarta in terra Pathros seu Pathryide, qua nomine non appellatur, forte Amon-no sive Diospolis. In his habitant Judaei, qui Chalcedonum metum post captam Jerusolymam in Egyptum migrabant, etque invitas Jeremioum abdducerant. Quibus, improbis quips, licet extremis malis iis propheta min- tur, non potuerunt iam nonnulli adiisse eis, qui vaticinia ejus Aegyptii acceperint, et ipsi, cum ex implens vidissent, Judaicam religionem amplec- sunt. Quod intelligi nolim in incorum omnium corum locorum; sed de nonnullis: quod sens est ut decurur quique urbes lingue Chalcedoni pecuniae, ut per Jezuo jurare. If one might form a conjecture, concerning the names of five (four) other cities, I would say that they are those mentioned by Jeremiah in Chap. xlv. 1. namely Migdol, (called by Herodotus, Magdolus) Tha[phanes, (called by the same author Daphne,) Noph, or Memphis, and the fourth, in the country of Pathros, or Pathryis, the name of which is not mentioned, perhaps Amon- No, or Diospolis. In these cities dwelt the Jews, who, through fear of the Chaldeans, carried thither along with them Jeremiah against his will. Amongst whom, though they migrated into Egypt, and after the taking of Jerusalem, were very wicked in general, on which account the prophet denounced against them very heavy calamities, yet there might be some godly men, who made known to the Egyptians his predictions. And those last, when they saw them accomplished, embraced the Jewish religion. This however I would not have understood of all the inhabitants of these places, but only of some. For that is a sufficient reason, why mention should be made of five cities, speaking the language of Canaan, and swearing by the name of Jehovah."

Comment. in locum.

speaking the language of Canaan, and swearing by the Lord of hosts.

Alexander the great (4) transplanted many of the Jews into his new city of Alexandria, and allowed them privileges and immunities equal to those of the Macedonians themselves. Ptolemy Soter (3) carried more of them into Egypt, who there enjoyed such advantages, that not a few of the other Jews went thither of their own accord, the goodness of the country and the liberality of Ptolemy alluring them. Ptolemy Philadelphus (6) redeemed and released the captive Jews: and in his reign or his father's, the books of Moses were translated into Greek, and afterwards the other parts of the Old Testament. The third (7) Ptolemy, called Euergetes, having subdued all Syria, did not sacrifice to the gods of Egypt in acknowledgment of his victory; but coming to Jerusalem, made his obligations to God after the manner of the Jews: and the king's example no doubt, would influence many of his subjects. The sixth (8) Ptolemy, called Philometer, and his queen Cleopatra, committed the whole management of the kingdom to two Jews, Onias and Dosithoeus, who were their chief ministers and generals, and had the principal direction of all affairs both civil and military. This Onias obtained a licence from the king and queen to build a temple for the Jews in Egypt like that at Jerusalem, alleging for this purpose this very prophecy of Isaiah, that there should be an altar to the Lord in the midst of the land of Egypt; and the king and queen in their rescript make honorable mention of the law and of the prophet Isaiah, and express a dread of sinning against God. The place, chosen for the building of this temple,

was in the prefecture of Heliopolis or the city of the sun, which place is likewise mentioned in the prophecy. It was built after the model of the temple at Jerusalem, but not so sumptuous and magnificent. He himself was made high-priest; other priests and Levites were appointed for the ministration; and divine service was daily performed there in the same manner as at Jerusalem, and continued as long; for Vespasian, having destroyed the temple at Jerusalem, ordered this also to be demolished.

By these means the Lord must in some degree have been known to Egypt, and the Egyptians must have known the Lord; and without doubt there must have been many proselytes among them. Among those who came up to the feast of Pentecost (Acts ii. 10.) there are particularly mentioned the dwellers in Egypt and in the parts of Libya about Cyrene, Jews and proselytes. Nay from the instance of Candace’s eunuch (Acts viii. 27.) we may infer that there were proselytes even beyond Egypt, in Ethiopia. Thus were the Jews settled and encouraged in Egypt, insomuch that (9) Philo represents their number as not less than a hundred myriads or ten hundred thousand men. Nor were they less favored or rewarded for their services, by the kings of Syria. Seleucus Nicator (1) made them free of the cities, which he built in Asia and the lower Syria, and of Antioch itself the capital of his kingdom; and granted the same rights and privileges to them as to the Greeks and Macedonians. Antiochus the great (2) published several decrees in favor of the Jews, both of those who inhabited Jerusalem, and of those who dwelt in Mesopotamia and Babylonia. Josephus (3) saith that the Jews gained many proselytes at Antioch. And thus by the means of the Jews and proselytes dwelling in Egypt and Syria, Israel, Egypt and Syria were in

(9) οἱ ἐκ τῶν ἐξωτερικῶν ἔχουσιν ἑαυτῶν ἑαυτῇ—["They were not short of a hundred myriads."—In Placentum, p. 971. Edit. Paris. 1649.]
(2) Joseph, ibid.

some measure united in the same worship. But this was more fully accomplished, when these countries became Christian, and so were made members of the same body in Christ Jesus. And we piously hope and believe, that it will still receive its most perfect completion in the latter days, when Mohammedism shall be rooted out, and Christianity shall again flourish in these countries, when the fulness of the Gentiles shall come in, and all Israel shall be saved.

IV. But there is a remarkable prophecy of Ezekiel, which comprehends in little the fate of Egypt from the days of Nebuchadnezzar, to the present. For therein it is foretold, that after the desolation of the land and the captivity of the people by Nebuchadnezzar, (xxix. 14, 15.) it should be a base kingdom: It shall be the basest of the kingdoms, neither shall it exult itself any more above the nations; nor shall they diminish them, that they shall no more rule over the nations: and again in the next chapter, (ver. 12, 13.) I will sell the land into the hand of the wicked, and I will divide the land waste, and all that is therein, by the hand of the strangers; and there shall be no more a prince of the land of Egypt. Such general prophecies, like general rules, are not to be understood so strictly and absolutely, as if they could not possibly admit of any kind of limitation or exception whatever; it is sufficient if they hold good for the most part, and are confirmed by the experience of many ages, tho’ perhaps not without an exception of a few years. The prophets exhibit a general view of things, without entering into the particular exceptions. It was predicted (Gen. i. 25.) that Canaan should be a servant of servants unto his brethren; and generally his posterity were subjected to the descendents of his brethren: but yet they were not always so: upon some occasions they were superior; and Hannibal and the Carthaginians obtained several victories over the Romans, tho’ they were totally subdued at last. In like manner it was not intended by this prophecy, that Egypt should ever afterwards, in every point of time, but only that it should for much the greater part of time, be a base kingdom, be tributary
and subject to strangers. This is the purport and meaning of the prophecy; and the truth of it will best appear by a short deduction of the history of Egypt from that time to this.

Amasis was left king by Nebuchadnezzar; and as he held his crown by the permission and allowance of the Babylonians, there is no room to doubt that he paid them tribute for it. Berosus, the Chaldaean historian, (4) in a fragment preserved by Josephus, speakseth of Nebuchadnezzar’s reducing Egypt to his obedience, and afterwards of his settling the affairs of the country, and carrying captives from thence to Babylon. By his constituting and settling the affairs of Egypt nothing less could be meant than his appointing the governors, and the tribute that they should pay to him; and by carrying some Egyptians captive to Babylon, he plainly intended not only to weaken the country, but also to have them as hostages to secure the obedience of the rest, and the payment of their tribute. If Herodotus hath given no account of these transactions, the reason is evident, according to the observation cited before from Scaliger; the Egyptian priests would not inform him of things, which were for the discredit of their nation. However we may, I think, confirm the truth of this assertion even by Herodotus’s own narration. The Persians succeeded in right of the Babylonians; and it appears (5) by Cyrus’s sending for the best physician in Egypt to Amasis, who was obliged to force one from his wife and children; and by Cambyses’s demanding the daughter of Amasis, not for a wife but a mistress; by these instances, I say, it appears that they considered him as their tributary and subject. And indeed no reason can be assigned for the strong resentment of the Persians against Amasis, and their horrid barbarity to his dead body, so probable and satisfactory, as his having revoluted and rebelled against them. Herodotus himself (6) mentions the league and alliance, which Amasis made with Crœsus king of Lydia against Cyrus.

Upon the ruins of the Babylonian empire, Cyrus erected the Persian. Xenophon hath written the life of this extraordinary man; and he affirms (7) both in the introduction and near the conclusion of his history, that Cyrus also conquered Egypt, and made it part of his empire; and there is not a more faithful, as well as a more elegant historian than Xenophon. But whether Cyrus did or not, it is universally allowed that his (8) son Cambyses did conquer Egypt, and deprived Psammeitus of his crown, to which he had newly succeeded upon the death of his father Amasis. Cambyses purposed to have made Psammeitus administrator of the kingdom under him, as it was the custom of the Persians to do to the conquered princes; but Psammeitus forming schemes to recover the kingdom, and being convicted thereof, was forced to drink bull’s blood, and thereby put an end to his life. The Egyptians groaned under the yoke near forty years. Then they revolted (9) towards the latter end of the reign of Darius the son of Hystaspes: but his son and successor Xerxes, in the second year of his reign, subdued them again, and reduced them to a worse condition of servitude than they had been in under Darius, and appointed his brother Achemenes governor of Egypt. About four and twenty years after this, when (1)

---


the king Artaxerxes Longimanus, except Amyrtæus who reigned in the fens, whither the Persians could not approach to take him: but Inarus, the author of these evils, was betrayed to the Persians, and was crucified. However they (2) permitted his son Thamyrus to succeed his father in the kingdom of Lybin; and Egypt continued in subjection all the remaining part of the long reign of Artaxerxes. In the (3) tenth year of Darius Nothus they revolted again under the conduct of Amyrtæus, who sallied out of the fens, drove the Persians out of Egypt, made himself master of the country, and reigned there six years; but his son Pausiris, (4) as Herodotus informs us, succeeding him in his kingdom by the favor of the Persians, this argues that the Persians had again subdued Egypt, or at least that the king was not established without their consent and approbation. It is certain, that after this, Egypt gave much trouble to the Persians. Artaxerxes Mnemon (5) made several efforts to reconquer it, but all in vain. It was not totally and finally subdued till the (6) ninth year of the following reign of Ochus, about 350 years before Christ; when Nectanebus the last king fled into Ethiopia, and Ochus became absolute master of the country, and having appointed one of his nobles, named Pherecydates, to be his viceroy and governor of Egypt, he returned with great glory and immense treasures to Babylon. Egypt from that time hath never been able to recover its liberties. It hath always been subject to strangers. It hath never been governed by a king of its own. From this last revolt of the Egyptians in the tenth year of Darius Nothus, to their total subjugation in the ninth year of Ochus, I think there are computed sixty-four years: and this is the only exception of any significance to the general truth of the prophecy. But what are sixty-four years compared to two thousand three hundred and twenty-five? For so many years have passed from the conquest of Egypt by Nebuchadnezzar to this time. They are really as nothing, and not worth mentioning in comparison; and during these sixty-four years, we see, that the Egyptians were not entirely independent of the Persians; Pausiris succeeded his father Amyrtæus in the kingdom by their consent and favor: and during the rest of the time the Egyptians lived in continual fear and dread of the Persians, and were either at war with them, or with one another. And perhaps this part of the prophecy was not intended to take effect immediately: its completion might be designed to commence from this period, when the Persians had totally subdued Egypt, and then there should be no more a prince of the land of Egypt.

After the Persians Egypt came into the hands of the Macedonians. It submitted to Alexander the great without striking a stroke; made no attempts at that favorable juncture to recover its liberties, but was content only to change its master. After the death of Alexander it fell to the share of Ptolemy one of his four famous captains, and was governed by his family for several generations. The two or three first of the Ptolemies were wise and potent princes, but most of the rest were prodigies of luxury and wickedness. It is (7) Strabo's observation, that all after the third Ptolemy governed very ill, being corrupted by luxury; but they who governed worst of all were the fourth, and the seventh, and the last called Auletes. The persons here intended by Strabo were (8) Ptolemy Philopator or the lover of his father, so

(4) Herod. Lib. 3. Sect. 15. Ibid.

(8) Justin, Lib. 20. Cap. 1. Sect. 5. p. 466. Edit. Graft. Αἰγύπτιον, πατρὶς ac mater interfeceris, occupaverat Ptolemaeus, cum ex inviris cautus, cognomentum Philopatoris fuit. [Ptolemy had gotten possession of Egypt by slaying his father and mother. From that crime he had the surname of Philopator, given to him.]
called (as Justin conceives) by way of antiphrasis, or with a contrary meaning, because he was a parricide, and murdered both his father and his mother; and (9) Ptolemy Physon or the big-bellied, who affected the title of Euergetes or the benefactor, but the Alexandrians more justly named him Kakergetes or the malefactor; (1) Ptolemy Auletes or the piper, so denominated because he spent much of his time in playing on the pipe, and used to contend for the prize in the public shows. This kingdom of the Macedonians (2) continued from the death of Alexander 294 years, and ended in the famous Cleopatra, of whom it is not easy to say, whether she excelled more in beauty, or wit, or wickedness.

After the Macedonians Egypt fell under the dominion of the Romans. The Romans had either by virtue of treaties or by force of arms obtained great authority there, and were in a manner arbiters of the kingdom before, but after the death of Cleopatra (3) Octavius Caesar reduced it into the form of a Roman prince, and appointed Cornelius Gallus, the friend of Virgil, to whom the tenth eulogium is inscribed, the first prefect or governor: and so it continued to be governed by a prefect or vicerny sent from Rome, or from Constantinople, when after the division of the Roman empire it fell to the share of the eastern emperors. It was first made a province of the Roman empire in the year (4) 30 before Christ, and in this state it remained without much variation till the year 641 after Christ, that is 670 years in the whole from the reign of Augustus Caesar to that of the emperor Heraclius.

Then it was that the (5) Saracens, in the reign of Omar their third emperor, and under the command of

(1) Strabo ibid.
(4) See Usler, Prideaux, &c. under that year.

Amrou the son of Aas, invaded and conquered Egypt, took Misrah (formerly Memphis, now Cairo) by storm, and also Alexandria, after they had besieged it fourteen months, and had lost twenty-three thousand men before it: and the rest of the kingdom soon followed the fortune of the capital cities, and submitted to the conqueror. There is one thing which was effected partly in the wars of the Romans and partly by the Saracens, and which no lover of learning can pass over without lamentation; and that is the destruction of the library at Alexandria. This famous library was founded by the first Ptolemies, and was so much enlarged and improved by their successors, that it (6) amounted to the number of seven hundred thousand volumes. It consisted (7) of two parts, one in that quarter of the city called Bruchion, containing four hundred thousand volumes, and the other within the Serapeum, containing three hundred thousand volumes. It happened that while Julius Caesar was making war upon the inhabitants of Alexandria, (8) the library in Bruchion together with other buildings was burnt, and the (9) four hundred thousand volumes which were kept therein were all consumed. But this loss was in some measure repaired by the (1) Pergamean library, consisting of two hundred thousand volumes, which Anthony presented to Cleopatra, and by the addition of other books afterwards, so that (2) this latter library was reckoned as numerous and as famous as the other ever was: and it came to the same fatal end, this being also destroyed by fire. For (3)
in particular to those Turkish and Circassian slaves, whom the Sultans of Egypt bought very young, trained up in military exercises, and so made them their choicest officers and soldiers, and by them controlled their subjects, and subdued their enemies. These slaves perceiving how necessary and useful they were, grew at length insolent and audacious, slew their sovereigns, and usurped the government to themselves. It is commonly said, that none but the sons of Christians were taken into this order; and there are other popular mistake about them, which are current among European authors, and which (7) Sir William Temple among others hath adopted and expressed, as he doth every thing, in a lively and elegant manner. "The sons of the deceased Sultans enjoyed the estates and riches left by their fathers, but by the constitutions of the government no son of a sultan was ever either to succeed, or even to be elected Sultan: So that in this, contrary to all others ever known in the world, to be born of a prince, was a certain and unalterable exclusion from the kingdom; and none was ever to be chosen Sultan, that had not been actually sold for a slave, brought from Circassia, and trained up a private soldier in the Mamaluc bands." But (8) they who are better versed in oriental authors, assure us that these are vulgar errors: and it appears from the (9) Arabian historians, that among the Mamaluc the son often succeeded the father in the kingdom. Their go-

(8) Pococki Supplem. p. 31. Ex his quae dicta sunt facile patet, in errore esse eos qui Manuncos Christianorum tantummodo filiosuisse autantum; nee non in aliis errasse, quae de successionibus apud eis extinctorum, quaeque disciplina tradunt. [* From what has been said, it is manifest that they are mistaken, who think that the Mamalucs are the sons of Christians only. They have also been mistaken in those assertions which they have made concerning the right of succession among them, and concerning their education.] Herbelot, Eib. Orient. p. 545. Il parait par ce que l'on vient de voir, que les Mamalucs n'étaient pas fils de Chrétiens (sic ce ne peut être qu'au d'autres) comme plusieurs de nos historiens l'ont avancé. [* It appears from what we have seen, that the Mamalucs were not the sons of Christians, (exceps perhaps some few among them,) as some of our historians have asserted.]
(9) Pococki Supplem. p. 8, 10, 11, 18, 19, 20, 22, 23, 21, 25.
government is thus characterized by an (1) Arabic author quoted by Dr. Pococke; 'If you consider the whole time that they possessed the kingdom, especially that which was near the end, you will find it filled with wars, battles, injuries and rapines.' Their government (2) began with Sultan Ibeg in the 648th year of the Hegira and the year of Christ 1250; and continued through a (3) series of 34 Turkish and 23 Circassian Mamaluc Sultans, 275 Arabic and 267 Julian years; and (4) ended with Tumanbi in the 923d year of the Hegira and the year of Christ 1517.

For at that time (5) Selim the ninth empor of the Turks conquered the Mamaluks, hanged their last Sultan Tumanbi before one of the gates of Cairo, put an end to their government; caused five hundred of the chiefest Egyptian families to be transported to Constantinople, as likewise a great number of Mamaluks wives and children, besides the Sultan's treasure and other immense riches; and annexed Egypt to the Ottoman empire, whereof it hath continued a province from that day to this. It is governed, as Prince Cantemir informs us, by a Turkish Basha (6) with twenty-four beys or princes under him, who are advanced from servitude to the administration of public affairs; a superstitious notion possessing the Egyptians, that it is decreed by fate, that captives shall reign, and the natives be subject to them. But it cannot well be called a superstitious notion, being a notion in all probability at first derived from some tradition of these prophecies, that Egypt should be a base kingdom, that there should be no more a prince of the land of Egypt, and that Ham in his posterity should be a servant of servants unto his brethren.

(1) Al. Jannabius in Pocockii Suppl. p. 31. Si totum quo regnum occupantem tempus expirat, praeclara quod fini proprius, repertes illud bellis, pugnis, injuriis et rapinis referatur. [Translated in the text.]
(6) Prince Cantemir's Hist. of the Ottoman Empire, Part 1. B. 3. p. 156. in the Notes.

By this deduction it appears, that the truth of Ezekiel's prediction is fully attested by the whole series of the history of Egypt from that time to the present. And who could pretend to say upon human conjecture, that so great a kingdom, so rich and fertile a country, should ever afterwards become tributary and subject to strangers? It is now a great deal above two thousand years since this prophecy was first delivered; and what likelihood or appearance was there, that the Egyptians should for so many ages bow under a foreign yoke, and never in all that time be able to recover their liberties, and have a prince of their own to reign over them? But as is the prophecy, so is the event. For not long afterwards Egypt was conquered by the Babylonians, and after the Babylonians (7) by the Persians; and after the Persians it became subject to the Macedonians, and after the Macedonians to the Romans, and after the Romans to the Saracens, and then to the Mamaluks; and is now a province of the Ottoman empire.

Thus we see how Nineveh, Babylon, Tyre and Egypt, the great adversaries and oppressors of the Jews, have been visited by divine vengeance for their enmity and cruelty to the people of God. Not that we must think God so partial as to punish these nations only for the sake of the Jews; they were guilty of other flagrant sins, for which the prophets denounced the divine judgments upon them. Egypt in particular was so severely threatened by the prophet Ezekiel, (Chap. xxix. xxx. xxxi. xxxii.) for her idolatry, her pride, and her wickedness. And the Egyptians have generally been more wretched, as they have generally been more wicked than other nations. Ancient authors describe them every where as superstitious and luxurious, as an (8) unwarlike and unserviceable people, as (9) a faithless

(9) Lucan V. 58. non sile gentes ['a faithless nation.'] Hierius de Bell. Alex. and Cap. 16, fallacem gentem, semperque alia cogitante, alia simulante. [Translated in the text.]
and fallacious nation, always meaning one thing and pretending another, as (1) lovers of wine and strong drink, (2) as cruel in their anger, as (3) thieves and tolerating all kinds of theft, as (4) patient of tortures, and though put to the rack, yet choosing rather to die than to confess the truth. Modern authors paint them still in blacker colors. The famous (5) Thevenot is very strong and severe; "The people of Egypt (generally speaking) are all swarthy, exceeding wicked, great rogues, cowardly, lazy, hypocrites, buggerers, robbers, treacherous, so very greedy of money, that they will kill a man for a maidon or three halfpence." Bishop (6) Pococke's character of them is not much more favorable, though not so harsh and opprobrious; "The natives of Egypt are now a slothful people, and delight in sitting still, hearing tales, and indeed seem always to have been more fit for the quiet life, than for any active scenes.—They are also malicious and envious to a great degree, which keeps them from uniting and setting up for themselves; and though they are very ignorant, yet they have a natural cunning and artifice, as well as falseness, and this makes them always suspicious of travelers—The love of money is so rooted in them, that nothing is to be done without bribery.—They think the greatest villanies are expiated, when once they wash their hands and feet.—"


"Their words pass for nothing, either in relations, promises, or professions of friendship, &c." Such men are evidently born not to command, but to serve and obey. They are altogether unworthy of liberty. Slavery is the fittest for them, as they are fittest for slavery. It is an excellent political aphorism of the wisest of kings, and all history will bear witness to the truth of it, that (Prov. xiv. 34.) righteousness exalteth a nation, but sin is a reproach and ruin to any people.

XIII.

NEBUCHADNEZZAR'S DREAM OF THE GREAT EMPIRES.

We have seen how it pleased God to reveal unto the prophets the future condition of several of the neighbouring countries; but there are other prophecies which extend to more remote nations, those nations especially and their transactions, wherein the church of God was particularly interested and concerned. It pleased God too to make these revelations, at a time when his people seemed in other respects abandoned and forsaken, and did not so much deserve, as stand in need of light and comfort. Isaiah and Jeremiah prophesied in the declension of the kingdoms of Israel and Judah. Ezekiel and Daniel prophesied during the time of the Babylonish captivity. And the prophecies of Daniel are so clear and exact, that in former as well as in later times it hath confidently been asserted, that they must have been written after the events, which they are pretended to foretell.

The famous Porphyry (who flourished at the latter end of the third century after Christ) was I think the first who denied their genuineness and authority. He wrote
(1) fifteen books against the Christian religion, the twelfth of which was designed to depreciate the prophecies of Daniel; and therein be affirmed, that they were not composed by Daniel whose name they bore, but by some body who lived in Judea about the time of Antiochus Epiphanes; because all to that time contained true history, but all beyond that were manifestly false. This work of Porphyry together with the answers of Eusebius, Apollinaris, and Methodius, is wholly lost, excepting a few fragments and quotations, which are preserved in Jerome and others of the fathers. But as (2) Jerome rightly observes, this method of opposing the prophecies is the strongest testimony of their truth. For they were fulfilled with such exactness, that to infidels the prophets seemed not to have fortold things future, but to have related things past.

The celebrated author of the Scheme of Literal Prophecy considered hath followed the steps of Porphyry. He hath collected everything, that in the course of his reading he thought could be turned to the disparagement of the book of Daniel. He had framed all that he had collected into eleven objections against it: and upon the whole concludes with much positiveness and assurance, that it must be written in the days of the Maccabees. But his (3) two learned opponents, both of the same name, have solidly and clearly refuted his eleven objections, and show them all to be mere cavils or direct falsities, groundless assertions, wrong quotations, or plain contradictions.

And indeed it may be proved, it hath been proved to a demonstration, as much as anything of this nature can be proved to a demonstration, by all the characters and testimonies both internal and external, that the prophecies of Daniel were written at the time that the scripture says they were written, and he prospered on account of these prophecies (Dan. vi. 28.) in the reign of Darius the Mede, and in the reign of Cyrus the Persian: that is between five and six hundred years before Christ. It is very capricious and unreasonable in unbelievers to object, as Collins doth, to the prophecies of Daniel, sometimes that they are too plain, and sometimes that they are too obscure. But it will entirely overthrow the notion of their being written in the days of Antiochus Epiphanes or of the Maccabees, and will establish the credit of Daniel as a prophet beyond all contradiction, if it can be proved that there are several prophecies of his which have been fulfilled since the days of Antiochus Epiphanes and the Maccabees as well as before, nay that there are prophecies of his which are fulfilling in the world at this very time.

Daniel's first prophecy, and the groundwork as I may say of all the rest, was his interpretation of Nebuchadnezzar's dream. This monarch in the second year of his reign (Dan. ii. 1.) according to the Babylonian account, or the fourth according to the Jewish, that is in the second year of his reigning alone, or the fourth from his first reigning jointly with his father, having subdued all his enemies, and firmly established his throne, was thinking upon his bed, (ver. 29.) what should come to pass hereafter, what should be the future success of his family and kingdom, and whether any or what families and kingdoms might arise after his own; and as our waking thoughts usually give some tincture to our dreams, he dreamed of something to the same purpose, which astonished him, but which he could not rightly understand. The dream affected him strongly at the time; but awaking in confusion, he had but an imperfect remembrance of it, he could not recollect all the particulars. He called therefore (ver. 22.) for the magicians and astrologers; and as absurdly as imperiously demanded of them (ver. 5.) upon pain of death and destruction, to make known unto him both the dream and the interpretation thereof. They answered very reasonably, that no king had ever
required such a thing, that it transcended all the powers and faculties of man. God alone or only beings like God could disclose it; (ver. 10, 11.) There is not a man upon earth that can show the king's matter; therefore there is no king, lord, nor ruler, that asked such things at any magician, astrologer, or Chaldean: And it is a rare thing that the king requireth, and there is none other that can show it before the king, except the God, whose dwelling is not with flesh. But the pride of absolute power cannot hear any reason, or bear any control; and the king greatly incensed presently ordered all the magicians and wise men of Babylon to be destroyed; (ver. 12.) For this cause the king was angry and very furious, and commanded to destroy all the wise-men of Babylon.

Daniel and his fellows would have been involved in the same fate as the rest; but by their joint and earnest prayers to the God of heaven, the secret was revealed unto Daniel in a night vision; (ver. 19.) and Daniel blessed the God of heaven. Daniel thus instructed was desirous to save the lives of the wise-men of Babylon, who were unjustly condemned, as well as his own; and he went unto Arioch, the captain of the king's guard, whom the king had ordered to destroy the wise-men of Babylon; he went (ver. 25.) and said thus unto him, Destroy not the wise-men of Babylon: bring me in before the king; and I will show unto the king the interpretation. The captain of the guard immediately introduced him to the king, and said (ver. 25.) I have found a man of the captives of Judah that will make known unto the king the interpretation. I have found a man, said he, though Daniel had voluntarily offered himself; where Jerome remarks the manner of courtiers, quipsum bona nuncius sunt, qui videri volunt, who when they relate good things, are willing to have them thought their own, and to have the merit ascribed to themselves. But Daniel was far from ascribing any merit to himself, and said very modestly, that this secret (ver. 27.) which the wise-men, astrologers, magicians, and soothsayers could not show unto the king, was not revealed to him (ver. 30.) for any wisdom that he had more than others; but there is a

God in heaven (ver. 28.) that revealeth secrets, and maketh known to the king Nebuchadnezzar, what shall be in the latter days; or what shall come to pass hereafter, as it is expressed (ver. 29 & 45.) twice afterwards. The impious king, as (4) Jerome justly observes, had a prophetic dream, that the saint interpreting it, God might be glorified, and the captives and those who served God in captivity might receive great consolation. We read the same thing of Pharaoh, not that Pharaoh and Nebuchadnezzar deserved to see such things, but that Joseph and Daniel by interpreting them might be preferred to all others. And as St. Jerome further observes, that Nebuchadnezzar might admire the grace of divine inspiration, Daniel not only told him what he saw in his dream, but also what he thought within himself before his dream. (ver. 29.) As for thee, O king, thy thoughts came into thy mind, upon thy bed, what should come to pass hereafter: and he that revealeth secrets, maketh known unto thee what shall come to pass.

Nebuchadnezzar's dream was of a great image. This great image, (ver. 31.) whose brightness was excellent, stood before him, and the form thereof was terrible. It appears from ancient coins and medals, that cities and people were often represented by figures of men and women. A great terrible human figure was therefore not an improper emblem of human power and dominion; and the various metals of which it was composed, not unfitly typify the various kingdoms which should arise. It consisted of four different metals, gold and silver and brass and iron mixed with clay; and these four metals, according to Daniel's own interpretation, mean so many kingdoms; and the order of their succession is clearly de-
noted by the order of the parts, the (5) head and higher parts signifying the earlier times, and the lower the parts the later the times. From hence, (6) as Calvin conceives, the poets drew their fables of the four ages of the world, the golden, the silver, the brazen, and the iron age; by which declension in this place it is signified, that the world always degenerates, and manners grow worse and worse. But Hesiod, who lived about 200 years before Daniel, mentioned the four ages of the world; so that this vision was formed agreeably to the common received notion, and the common received notion was not first propagated from hence. Whether this notion of the world's degenerating and growing worse and worse be true or not, these different kingdoms will naturally constitute the different heads of our discourse. And we shall follow the best commentators from Josephus down to Sir Isaac Newton, but we shall regard no commentator so much as the truth of history, the evidence of reason, and the analogy of scripture.

1. This image's head was of fine gold, (ver. 32.) which Daniel interprets (ver. 38.) Thou art this head of gold, thou, and thy family, and thy representatives. The Babylonian therefore was the first of these kingdoms; and it was fitly represented by the head of fine gold, on account of its great riches; and Babylon for the same reason was called by Isaiah (xiv. 4.) the golden city. The Assyrian is usually said to be the first of the four great empires; and the name may be allowed to pass, if it be not taken too strictly. For the Assyrian empire properly so called was dissolved before this time; the Babylonian was erected in its stead; but the Babylonians are sometimes called Assyrians in the best classic authors, Herodotus, Xenophon, Strabo, and others, as well as in the holy scriptures.

(5) Pars statue quod superior, eó prior, quod inferior, eó serioa tempora significant. Grot. in loc. [*The part of the statue which was higher, signifies what was first in the order of time, and the parts lower downwards, events that were to follow after.*]

(6) Ex hoc Danielis loco haec constat poetarum fabulas suas de quattuor seculis, aureo, argento, braccio, ferro; quia declinatione h. L. significatur, mundum semper decidere, et mores probati in deturias. [Translated in the text.] Calvin apud Poli Synops.

Daniel addressed Nebuchadnezzar, as if he was a very powerful king, and his empire very large and extensive (ver. 37.) Thou, O king, art a king of kings. He perhaps might think, like some of his predecessors, that his conquests were owing to his own fortitude and prudence; (Is. x. 13.) By the strength of my hand I have done it, and by my wisdom, for I am prudent; and I have removed the bounds of the people, and have robbed their treasures, and I have put down the inhabitants like a valiant man. But the prophet assures him that his success must be primarily imputed to the God of heaven; (ver. 37. and 38.) For the God of heaven hath given thee a kingdom, power, and strength, and glory: And whereasover the children of men dwell, the beasts of the field, and the fowls of the heaven he hath given into thine hand, and hath made thee ruler over them all.

All the ancient eastern histories almost are lost; but there are some fragments even of heathen historians yet preserved, which speak of this mighty conqueror and his extended empire. Berosus in Josephus (7) saith, that he held in subjection Egypt, Syria, Phoenicia, Arabia, and by his exploits surpassed all the Chaldeans and Babylonians who reigned before him. Josephus (8) sub-

(7) Καὶ ἔστη ἐν τῷ Βαβυλονίῳ, Ἀντιφαίρετος Ἐν Φειδίας τινι, ἔφτασεν ἄνα καὶ Χαλδαῖοι καὶ Βαβυλωνίας. Dicit insuper quod Babylonios tenetur Egyptum, Syriam, Phoeniciam, Arabiam; quodque prorsus Chaldeorum, ut Babyloniam regem universos rebus praebere gestis superavit. [*He further saith, that this Babylonian held in subjection Egypt, Syria, Phoenicia and Arabia, and that by his exploits he far surpassed all the Chaldean and Babylonian kings who had gone before him.*] Apud Joseph. Contra Apion. Lib. 1. Sect. 19. p. 1342. Ed. Hudson.

joins, that in the archives of the Phœnicians there are written things consonant to those which are said by Berosus concerning this king of the Babylonians, that he subdued Syria and all Phœnicia: With these likewise agrees Philostratus in his history, and Megasthenes in the fourth book of his Indian history, throughout which he attempts to show, that the forementioned king of the Babylonians exceeded Hercules in fortitude and greatness of exploits; for he affirms that he subdued the greatest part of Libya and Spain. Strabo likewise from the same Megasthenes (9) asserts, that this king among the Chaldaæans was more celebrated than Hercules, and that he proceeded as far as to the pillars of Hercules, and led his army out of Spain into Thrace and Pontus. But his empire, though of great extent, was yet of no long duration; for it (1) ended in his grandson Belshazzar, not 70 years after the delivery of this prophecy, nor above 23 years after the death of Nebuchadnezzar; which may be the reason of Daniel's speaking of him as the only king, thou art this head of gold, and after thee shall arise, &c. the rest being to be considered as nothing; nor do we read of any thing good or great that was performed by them.

II. His breast and his arms of silver, (ver. 32.) which Daniel interprets (ver. 39.) And after thee shall arise another kingdom inferior to thee. It is very well known, that the kingdom which arose after the Babylonian, was the Medo-Persian. The two hands and the shoulders, saith (2) Josephus, signify the empire of the Baby-


(2) a'is ἰδ. ἐτούμ χειρις καὶ οἱ ἄνθρωποι ὑπενυοῦσας ἐν τού τι χαλδασιαντι τῇ πτηνήν ἵμας, δια τοῦ μεγάλου καὶ δυναμικοῦ εἰδέναι, ἐπιφανείαν ἐπιστρέφεσιν ἐπὶ τῷ χρυσῷ σύνεργῳ. [But the two hands and the shoulders, shew that your kingdom will be dissolved by two kings.] Joseph. Antiq. Lib. 10. Cap. 10. Sect. 4. p. 457. Edit. Hudson.

lionians could be dissolved by two kings. The two kings were the kings of the Medes and Persians, whose powers were united under Cyrus, who was son of one of the kings and son-in-law of the other, and who besieged and took Babylon, put an end to that empire, and on its ruins erected the Medo-Persian, or the Persian, as it is more usually called, the Persians having soon gained the ascendancy over the Medes. This empire is said to be inferior as being less than the former, minus tu as the Vulgar Latin translates it, because neither Cyrus nor any of his successors ever carried their arms into Africa or Spain so far as Nebuchadnezzar is reported to have done: or rather inferior as being worse than the former, detersus tu as Castalio translates it, for (3) Dr. Prideaux asserts, and I believe he may assert very truly, that the kings of Persia were "the worst race of men that ever governed an empire." This empire from its first establishment by Cyrus to the death of the last king Darius Codomannus lasted not much above 200 years. Thus far all critics and commentators are agreed, that the two first kingdoms represented in Nebuchadnezzar's dream were the Babylonian and the Persian. As to the rest there hath been some controversy, but with little reason or foundation for it, only that some persons are troubled with the spirit of contradiction, and will dispute about the plainest points.

III. His belly and his thighs of brass, (ver. 32.) which Daniel interprets (ver. 39.) And another third kingdom of brass, which shall bear rule over all the earth. It is universally known, that Alexander the Great subverted the Persian empire. The kingdom therefore which succeeded to the Persian was the Macedonian; and this kingdom was fitly represented by brass; for the Greeks were famous for their brazen armour, their usual epithet being Ἰαττεκαλτικών Ἀχαϊων, the brazen-coated Greeks. Daniel's interpretation in (4) Josephus is, that another


coming from the west, completely armed in brass, shall destroy the empire of the Medes and Persians. This third kingdom is also said to bear rule over all the earth by a figure usual in almost all authors. Alexander himself (5) commanded, that he should be called the king of all the world; not that he really conquered, or near conquered the whole world, but he had considerable dominions in Europe, Asia, and Africa, that is in all the three parts of the world then known; and (6) Diodorus Siculus and other historians give an account of embassadors coming from almost all the world to congratulate him upon his success, or to submit to his empire: and especially, as (7) Arrian remarks, did Alexander himself appear to himself to those about him to be master both of all the earth and sea.

That this third kingdom therefore was the Macedonian, every one allows, and must allow: but then it is controverted, whether this kingdom ended in the person of Alexander, or was continued in his successors. St. Jerome saith (8) expressly, that the third kingdom signifies Alexander, and the kingdom of the Macedonians, and of the successors of Alexander. Which is rightly

(5) Accepto die data imperio regem se terram omnium ac mundi appellari jusserat. [After he had received the empire, he gave orders that he should be called the king of all lands, and of the world.] Justin. Lib. 12. Cap. 16. Sect. 9. Edit. Gravii.

(6) καὶ τὰ δέ τέσσαρα, εἰς ἀπάντησιν σχεδόν τῆς εἰκοσιοῦ βουκετέος, ἡ τοι Generated content is not displayed here.


among his successors; he doth not say that so many new
empires were erected. After the death of Alexander,
smith (2) Justin, the kingdoms of the east were divided
among his successors: and he still denominates them
Macedonians, and their empire the Macedonian; and
reckons Alexander the same to the Macedonians, as
Cyrus was to the Persians, and Romulus to the Ro-
mans. Grotius himself (3) acknowledgest, that even
now the Hebrews call those kingdoms by one name the
kingdom of the Grecians. There is one insuperable ob-
jection against the kingdoms of the Lagidae and of the
Seleucidae being a different kingdom from that of
Alexander, because if they are not considered as parts of
Alexander's dominion, they cannot be counted as one
kingdom, they constitute properly two separate and
distinct kingdoms.

IV. His legs of iron, his feet part of iron, and part of
clay, (ver. 33.) which is thus interpreted by Daniel (ver. 40,
41, 42, 43.) And the fourth kingdom shall be strong as
iron; forasmuch as iron breaketh in pieces, and subdueth
all things; and as iron that breaketh all these, shall it
break in pieces and bruise. And whereas thou sawest the
feet and toes, part of potter's clay, and part of iron; the
kingdom shall be divided, but there shall be in it of the
strength of the iron, forasmuch as thou sawest the iron
mixed with miry clay. And as the toes of the feet were

(2) Post mortem Alexandri magno, dum inter successores ejus orientis
regna dividitur, &c. After the death of Alexander the Great, whilst
his eastern kingdoms were divided among his successors, &c. Justin.
Lib. 41. Cap. 4. Sect. 1. Speaking of the Parthians, Postremo Macedonibus trium-
phanto oriente servietur. The east being subdued, they last of all became
subject to the Macedonians. 
Cap. 1. Sect. 5. Hi postes didiexit Macedonibus in bellum civile, &c. The
fourth kingdom shall be divided into ten toes: but when or where were the
kingdoms of the Lagidae and of the Seleucidae divided into so many parts? Besides, the
metal here is different, and consequently the nation should
be different from the preceding. The four different
metals must signify four different nations: and as the gold
signified the Babylonians, and the silver the Persians, and
the brass the Macedonians; so the iron cannot signify
the Macedonians again, but must necessarily denote some
other nation: and we will venture to say that there is not
the nation upon earth, to which this description is applica-
able, but the Romans.

The Romans succeeded next to the Macedonians, and
therefore in course were next to be mentioned. The
Roman empire was stronger and larger than any of the
preceding. The Romans brake in pieces and subdued
all the former kingdoms. As Josephus said, that the
two arms of silver denoted the kings of the Medes and
Persians; so we might say in like manner, that the two
legs of iron signified the two Roman consuls. The
iron was mixed with miry clay, and the Romans were defiled
with a mixture of barbarous nations. The Roman em-
prise was at length divided into ten lesser kingdoms,
answering to the ten toes of the image, as we shall see
hereafter. These kingdoms retained much of the old
Roman strength, and manifested it upon several occasions, so that the kingdom was partly strong and partly broken. They mingled themselves with the seed of men; they made marriages and alliances with one another, as they continue to do at this day: but no hearty union ensued; reasons of state are stronger than the ties of blood, and interest generally avails more than affinity. Some expend it of the secular and ecclesiastical powers, sometimes agreeing, sometimes clashing and interfering with each other, to the weakening of both, and endangering their breaking to pieces. Or if by the seed of men we are to understand the same as by the daughters of men (Gen. vi. 2.) those of a false and different religion, it may allude to the intermarriages, which several of the European nations, and particularly the French, Spanish and Portuguese, have made with the Indians Africans and Americans. Thus some of the ten kingdoms who call themselves sons of God, and the only sons of God by adoption, having mixed with the seed of men, with strangers to him; and yet no solid union ensues.

Which observation was suggested to me by an unknown Correspondent, Mr Hercules Younge, an ingenious Clergyman of Carrick in Ireland. The Roman empire therefore is represented in a double state, first with the strength of iron, conquering all before it, his legs of iron; and then weakened and divided by the mixture of barbarous nations, his feet part of iron, and part of clay. It subdued Syria, and made the kingdom of the Seleucidae a Roman province in the (4) year 63 before Christ; it subdued Egypt, and made the kingdom of the Lagidae a Roman province in the year 30 before Christ: and in the fourth century after Christ, it began to be torn in pieces by the incursions of the barbarous nations.

St. Jerome lived to see the incursions of the barbarous nations; and his (5) comment is, that "the fourth king-

(4) See Usher, Prideaux, and other chronologers.
(6) Regnum autem quattuor, quod perspicue pertinet ad Romanos, fer-
rum est quod communitt et duamat omnia; sed pedes ejus et digiti ex parte
et ferrei, et ex parte sunt fictiles, quod hoc tempore manifestissimo com-
probatur. Sic enim in principio nihil Romano imperio fortius et durius

dom, which plainly belongs to the Romans, is the iron
that breaketh and subdueth all things; but his feet
and toes are part of iron, and part of clay, which is
most manifestly proved at this time: For as in the
beginning nothing was stronger and harder than the
Roman empire, so in the end of things nothing is
weaker; since both in civil wars, and against divers
nations, we want the assistance of other barbarous na-
tions." He hath given the same interpretation in other
parts of his works; and it seemeth that he hath been
blamed for it, as a reflection upon the government; and
therefore he maketh this apologo for himself. "If (6)
say he in explaining the statue and the difference of
his feet and toes, I have interpreted the iron and clay
of the Roman kingdom, which the scripture foretels
should first be strong, and then weak, lest them not
impute it to me, but to the prophet: For we must
not so flatter princes, as to neglect the verity of the
holy scriptures, nor is a general disputatio an injury
to a single person."

All ancient writers, both Jewish and Christian, agree
with Jerome in explaining the fourth kingdom to be
the Roman. Porphyry, who was a heathen, and any
every Christ, was the first who broached the other
opinion; though it hath been maintained since
by some of the moderns, is yet not only destitute of the
authority, but is even contrary to the authority of
both scripture and history. It is a just observation of
(7) Mr. Mede, who was as able and consummate a
judge as any in these matters: "The Roman empire to
be the fourth kingdom of Daniel, was believed by
the church of Israel both before and in our Saviour's

fuit; in fine rerum nihil imbecillius: quando et in bellis civilibus, et ad
versum diversas nationes, alienum gentium barbararum indigemus auxilii.
(6) Quod si in expositione statuam perspiculum ejus, et digitum descrubat
ian, terrum et textum super Romanum regnum interpres signum, quod primum
vatu, deinde imbecillum scriptura portendit, nulli impudent, sed prophetar.
Nec enim sic adulaturum est principios, ut sanctarum scripturarum ver-
itas neglegatur, nec generalis disputatio unius personae injuria est. [Trans-
the days of these kings signifies in the days of some of these kingdoms: and it must be during the days of the last of them, because they are reckoned four in succession, and consequently this must be the fifth kingdom. Accordingly the kingdom of Christ was set up during the days of the last of these kingdoms, that is the Romans. The stone was totally a different thing from the image, and the kingdom of Christ is totally different from the kingdoms of this world. The stone was cut out of the mountain without hands, as our heavenly body is said (2 Cor. v. 1.) to be a building of God, an house not made with hands; that is spiritual, as the phrase is used in other places, (Mark xiv. 58, compared with John ii. 21. See also Coloss. ii. 11.) This the (8) fathers generally apply to Christ himself, who was miraculously born of a virgin without the concurrence of a man: but it should rather be understood of the kingdom of Christ, which was formed out of the Roman empire, not by number of hands, or strength of armies, but without human means, and the virtue of second causes. This kingdom was set up by the God of heaven; and from hence the phrase of the kingdom of heaven came to signify the kingdom of the Messiah; and so it was used and understood by the Jews, and so it is applied by our Saviour in the New Testament. Other kingdoms were raised by human ambition and worldly power: but this was the work not of man but of God; this was true as it is called the kingdom of heaven, and (John xviii. 36.) a kingdom not of this world; its laws, its powers, were all divine. This kingdom was never to be destroyed, as the Babylonian, the Persian, and the Macedonian empires have been, and in great measure also the Roman. This kingdom was to break in pieces and consume all the kingdoms, to spread and enlarge itself, so that it should comprehend within itself all the former kingdoms. This kingdom was never to be destroyed, as the Babylonian, the Persian, and the Macedonian empire have been, and


VOL. I.
Jonathan Ben Uzziel, who made the Chaldee Targum or paraphrase upon the prophets, (1) lived a little before our Saviour. He made no Chaldee version of Daniel, the greater part of this book being originally written in Chaldee, or his version is lost; but however he applies the prophecies of Daniel in his interpretation of other prophets. Thus in his paraphrase upon Habakuk he speaketh of the four great kingdoms of the earth, (2) that they should in their turns be destroyed, and he succeeded by the kingdom of the Messiah. For the kingdom of Babylon shall not continue, nor exercise dominion over Israel; the kings of Media shall be slain, and the strong men of Greece shall not prosper; the Romans shall be blotted out, nor collect tribute from Jerusalem. Therefore because the sign and redemption which thou shalt accomplish for thy Christ and for the remnant of thy people, they who remain shall praise thee, &c.

The sense of Josephus we will give in the words of Bishop (3) Chandler, together with his reflections upon it. "Josephus's exposition of this text is so full in the point, that it ought not to be omitted. Josephus was born while Jesus Christ lived, and was, as he (4) says, skilful in the knowledge of the sacred books of the prophets, being himself a priest, and the son of a priest, and exercised this way. Hear then his sense of that part of the dream we have been upon. Daniel foretold, (5) that the second kingdom should be


(5) την ἵνα λέγομεν ἑνετίθεν τοις ἄστιμοις γάρ πλείστην χρήσιν ἐμφανίζεται, καὶ ταῦτα ἅλλα ἀρχηγοί τοις υἱοίς ἀποτελεῖται καὶ ἐκ τούτων διά τὴν Κρήτην, ἐναν τον καθέναν ἀπόκρισιν τούτο τοῦ ναοῦ καὶ τοῦ σταυροῦ, τινος ἐκείνου τοῦ τὸν τοῖς θεολόγοι τὴς σωτηρίας ἐφορτισμένος, το παρθένου καὶ τοῦ γενέσθαι συγκεκριμένος μετά τοῦ κυρίου ἀπὸ τοιαύτης ἐκπομπῆς, ἐν τῇ τῇ οἰκείᾳ γλώσσῃ καὶ συγκεκριμένος εἰς ἀνθρώπους το θεολόγων. //
taken out of the way, by one that should come from the west clothed with brazen arms; and also that the strength of this (empire) another should put an end to, that should be like to iron, which from the nature of the mineral is superior to gold, silver, and brass. Daniel added his interpretation of the stone; but I don't think fit to relate that; my business being only to give a history of past and newly done things, not to write of future things. Yet if there be any one that is eager after truth, and will not give over inquiring, in order to learn these obscure events that are to come, let him carefully read the book itself, which he will find among our sacred (or canonical) books. Upon this passage observe, that the fourth empire is the Roman in his judgment; because the third kingdom, which he begins in Alexander, was destroyed, not by the Greek generals, but by the Romans. Again, the fourth empire he reckons to be past, i.e. to be set up in the room of the Greek, and therefore he gives an historical explication of that, among the past events. But the kingdom of the stone being future, he refuses to touch on that. But he had a better reason than he gave; he feared to offend the power in being, whose protection he needed, and which, he foresaw, must be offended, if he should publish the hope of his captive nation, one day to subdue their conquerors. We see however, in his excuse for stopping short, his sense of the prophecy that is yet unfulfilled, viz. that the kingdom of the God of heaven should break in pieces the Roman; and which he must consequently suppose will continue,
which are already destroyed? But to destroy others in which these are included, amounts to the same thing.

Sulpicius Severus having given an account of Nebuchadnezzar’s dream, and of all the particulars relating to it, subjoins (7) an exposition of it, agreeable to Daniel’s interpretation. The image is an emblem of the world. The golden head is the empire of the Chaldeans: forasmuch as that was the first and most wealthy. The breast and arms of silver signify the second kingdom: For Cyrus, the Chaldeans and Medes being overcome, transferred the empire to the Persians. In the brazen belly the third kingdom is declared to be portended: and that we see fulfilled: Forasmuch as the Roman empire was taken from the Persians and Alexander vindicated to Macedonia. The iron legs are the fourth kingdom: and that is the Roman, the strongest of all the kingdoms before it. But the feet part of iron and part of clay, prefigure the Roman empire to be so divided as that it should never unite again: which is equally fulfilled—Forasmuch as the Roman territory is occupied by foreign nations or rebels:— and we see (saith he, and he lived at the beginning of the (8) fifth century) barbarous nations mixed with our armies, cities, and provinces:— But in the stone cut out without hands, which brake
in pieces the gold, the silver, the brass, the iron, and the clay; we have a figure of Christ. For he shall reduce this world, in which are the kingdoms of the earth to nothing, and shall establish another everlasting kingdom. Of which alone the faith of some is still dubious, and they will not credit future things, when they are convinced of the past.

Nay Grorius himself, the great patron of the other opinion, that the fifth kingdom is the Roman empire, commenting upon those words (ver. 45.) it brake in pieces the iron, the brass, the clay, the silver and the gold, cannot but acknowledge (9) the subler sense is, that Christ will put an end to all earthly empires, according to I Corinthians. xvi. 24. that he shall put down all rule, and all authority, and power.

Thus it pleased God to reveal unto Daniel, and by Daniel unto Nebuchadnezzar, the greatest and most signal events of this world. As Daniel said unto Nebuchadnezzar, (ver. 43.) The great God hath made known to the king what shall come to pass hereafter; and the dream is certain, and the interpretation thereof is sure. The king hearing his dream related with such exactness, might be better assured of the truth of the interpretation, and of the great events which would follow. And from hence we are enabled in some measure to account for Nebuchadnezzar’s prophesying a little before he died. Abydenus wrote the history of the Assyrians. It is not well known in what age he lived, and his history is lost; but there is a fragment of it preserved by Eusebius, wherein it is asserted upon the authority of Megasthenes, that Nebuchadnezzar was divinely inspired and prophesied in this manner: ‘I Nebuchadnezzar foretold unto you,

(9) Sensus sublimior, Christianorum omnium imperii terrestrii, I Cor. xvi. 24. [Translated in the text.] Got in locum.


[Thus when a mule shall hold o’er Medoa the way, the same.


0 Babylonians, an imminent calamity, which neither Belus my progenitor, nor queen Belis can persuade the fates to avert: A Persian mule shall come assisted by your demons, and impose servitude upon you; whose conduct shall be a Mede, the boast of the Assyrians. And soon after he died. Herodotus, who was a much older historian than Megasthenes, relates, that a Delphic oracle was given to Cyrus king of Lydia, that (2) when a mule should rule over the Medes, then he should not be ashamed to fly away. Which oracle was afterwards thus interpreted by the Pythian priestess; Cyrus (3) was this mule; for he was born of parents of different nations, the mother the better, and the father the meeker; for she was a Mede, and the daughter of the king of the Medes, but he was a Persian and subject to the Medes. If any credit is to be given to these stories, if any such prophecy was uttered by Nebuchadnezzar a little before his death, if any such oracle was received and believed of Cyrus and the Persians subduing Asia, the notion may very well be supposed to have been derived originally from this prophecy of Daniel, which being so solemnly delivered to a great king, and published in Chaldee, might come to be generally known in the east; and the event soon afterwards evinced the truth of it.

It was from this prophecy too, that the distinction first arose of the four great empires of the world, which hath


[But when a mule shall hold o’er Medoa the way, the same.


been followed by most historians and chronologers in their distribution of times. These four empires, as they are the subject of this prophecy are likewise the subject of the most celebrated pens both in former and in later ages. The histories of these empires are the best writ, and the most read of any; they are the study of the learned, and the amusement of the polite; they are of use both in schools and in senates; we learn them when we are young, and we forget them not when we are old; from hence examples, instructions, laws and polities are derived for all ages; and very little in comparison is known of other times, or of other nations. Not but there have been empires as great or greater than some of these, as those of the Tartars for instance, and of the Saracens, and of the Turks; and you may think perhaps, that they are as well deserving of a place in this succession of kingdoms, and were equally worthy to be made the objects of prophecy, being as eminent for the wisdom of their constitutions, the extent of their dominions, and the length of their duration. But these four empires had a particular relation to the church and people of God, who were subject to each of them in their turns. They were therefore particularly predicted; and we have in them, without the intermixture of others, a line of prophecy (as I may say) extending from the reign of Nebuchadnezzar to the full and complete establishment of the kingdom of the Messiah. He who is arbiter of kingdoms, and governor of the universe, can reveal as much of their future revolutions as he pleaseth: and he hath revealed enough to manifest his providence, and to confirm the truth of religion. What Daniel said upon the first discovery of these things, well may we say after the completion of so many particulars: (ver. 20, 21, 22.) Blessed be the name of God for ever and ever! for wisdom and might are his. And he changeth the times and the seasons: he removeth kings, and setteth up kings: he giveth wisdom unto the wise, and knowledge to them that know understanding. He revealeth the deep and secret things: he knoweth what is in the darkness, and the light dwelleth with him.

THE PROPHECIES.

XIV.

DANIEL'S VISION OF THE SAME.

WHAT was revealed unto Nebuchadnezzar in the second year of his reign concerning the four great empires of the world, was again revealed unto Daniel (Chap. vii.) with some enlargements and additions in the first year of Belshazzar, that is about eight and forty years afterwards. But there is this difference, that what was exhibited to Nebuchadnezzar in the form of a great image, was represented to Daniel in the shape of great wild beasts. The reason of which is ingeniously assigned by Grotsius, and after him by (1) by Mr. Lowth, "that this image appeared with a glorious lustre in the imagination of Nebuchadnezzar, whose mind was wholly taken up with admiration of worldly pomp and splendor; whereas the same monarchies were represented to Daniel under the shape of fierce and wild beasts, as being the great supporters of idolatry and tyranny in the world."

Daniel dreamed, and the angel interpreted. "These great beasts, which are four, (says the angel, ver. 17.) are four kings, or kingdoms, as it is translated in the vulgar Latin, and the Greek, and Arabic versions, and as the angel himself explains it, (ver. 23.) The fourth beast shall be the fourth kingdom upon earth. They arise out of a stormy and tempestuous sea, that is out of the wars and commotions of the world: and they are called great in comparison of other lesser states and kingdoms, as they are denominated beasts for their tyrannical and cruel oppressions and depredations. These beasts are indeed monstrous productions; a lion with eagle's wings, a bear with three ribs in the mouth of it, a leopard with four wings and four heads, and a beast with ten horns; but such emblems and hieroglyphics were usual among

(1) Lowth's Comment on Chap. II. 31. Grotsius ibid.
the eastern nations; a winged lion and such fictitious animals may still be seen in the ruins of Persepolis; horns are attributed to beasts, which naturally have none; and these figures were, as I may say, the arms and symbols of such and such nations, and are no stranger than several which are still used in modern heraldry. We will consider them in order, and take notice only of such interpretations as carry in them something probable and plausible, to the end that we may establish what is more certain. To recite all the various opinions of commentators would be but heap up a monument of the absurdities of former ages. We may collect something from one, and something from another, and yet in all respects perfectly agree with none.

1. The first kingdom is represented by a beast, (ver. 4.) that was like a lion, and had eagle's wings: and I beheld till the wings thereof were plucked, and it was lifted up from the earth, and made stand upon the feet as a man, and a man's heart was given to it. This is the kingdom of the Babylonians: and the king of Babylon is in like manner compared to a lion by Jeremiah, (iv. 7.) The lion is come up from his thicket, and the destroyer of the Gentiles is on his way; and he is said to fly as an eagle, (xlviii. 40.) Behold, he shall fly as an eagle, and shall spread his wings over Moab: and he is also compared to an eagle by Ezekiel, (xviii. 3, et 12.) Thus saith the Lord God, A great eagle with great wings, &c. The lion is esteemed the king of beasts; and the eagle the king of birds; and therefore the kingdom of Babylon, which is described as the first and noblest kingdom, and was the kingdom then in being, is said to partake of the nature of both. Instead of a lion, the Vulgar Latin, and the Greek, and Arabic versions have a lioness; and it is (3) Jerome's observation, that the kingdom of Babylon for its cruelty is compared not to a lion, but to a lioness, which naturalists say is thetier of the two.

The eagle's wings denote its swiftness and rapidity: and the conquests of Babylon were very rapid, that empire being advanced to the height within a few years by a single person, by the conduct and arms of Nebuchadnezzar. It is further said, the wings thereof were plucked, and it was lifted up from the earth, that is, it was taken away from the earth, as it is commonly understood, and as it is translated in almost all the (4) ancient versions: or it may be rendered thus, the wings thereof were plucked where it was lifted up from the earth, as (5) Grotius explains it, and as we read it in the margin of our bibles, the conjunction copulative sometimes supplying the place of a relative. Its wings were beginning to be plucked at the time of the delivery of this prophecy; for at this time the Medes and Persians were marching upon it; Belshazzar the king now reigning was the last of his race; and in the (6) seventeenth year of his reign Babylon was taken, and the kingdom was transferred to the Medes and Persians.

And it was made stand upon the feet as a man, and a man's heart was given to it. It is not easy to say what is the precise meaning of this passage; unless it be an allusion to the case of Nebuchadnezzar, when in his madness (iv. 6.) a beast's heart was given unto him, and after he was restored to his senses, a man's heart was given to him again. What appears most probable is,

(4) Et sublata est, insipit, de terra; subverso civitates impis [impior] Chaldaorum. [* And the says it was lifted up from the earth: by the subversion of the impious [empire] of the Chaldeans.*] Trion, Bid. cap. 176. § 274. [* And it was taken away from the earth.*] Sept. Vulg. reads est inspicitimum, et ab humana sublatione. [*It beheld its wings plucked, and it was lifted up from the ground.*] Sinon, et egressus est de terra. [*And it went away from the earth.*] Arab.

(5) Et sublata est de terra, Verte: per quos effeccesserat super terram. Sapemnim Chaldaes, ut et Hebræis, copula vina habet relative. [*And it was lifted up from the earth; render the passage, by which it was lifted above the earth.*] For frequently in the Chaldee, as in the Hebrew language, the copulative conjunction has the power of a relative. [*Grot. in locum.*]

that after the Babylonian empire was subverted, the people became more humane and gentle; their minds were humbled with their fortune; and they who vaunted as if they had been gods, now felt themselves to be but men. They were brought to such a sense as the Psalmist wisheth such persons to have. (Psal. ix. 20.) *Put them in fear, O Lord; that the nations may know themselves to be but men.*

II. The second kingdom is represented (ver. 5) by another beast like to a bear, and it raised up itself on one side, and it had three ribs in the mouth of it between the teeth of it: and they said thus unto it, *Arise, devour much flesh.* This is the kingdom of the Medes and Persians: and for their cruelty and greediness after blood they are compared to a bear, which is a most voracious and cruel animal. The very learned (7) Bochart recounts several particulars, wherein the Persians resembled bears; but the chief likeness consisted in what I have mentioned; and this likeness was principally intended by the prophet, as I think we may infer from the words of the text itself; *Arise, devour much flesh.* A bear, saith Aristotle, is an all-devouring animal: and so, saith (8) Grotius, the Medo-Persians were great robbers and spoilers according to Jeremiah (li. 48, 56).

*And it raised up itself on one side,* or as it is in the margin, *it raised up one dominion,* for the Persians were subject to the Medes at the conquest of Babylon, but soon after raised up themselves above them. *And it had three ribs in the mouth of it between the teeth of it,* these (9) Jerome understands of the three kingdoms of the Babylonians, Medes, and Persians, which were reduced into one kingdom; and so likewise Vatablus and Grotius: but (1) Sir Isaac Newton and Bishop Chandler with great propriety explain them to signify the kingdoms of Babylon, Lydia, and Egypt, which were conquered by it, but were not properly parts and members of its body. They might be called ribs, as the conquest of them much strengthened the Persian empire; and they might be said to be *between the teeth of the bear,* as they were much grinded and oppressed by the Persians.

*And they said thus unto it, Arise, devour much flesh:* this was said, as it was before observed, to denote the cruelty of the Medes and Persians. They are also represented very cruel by the prophet Isaiah, (xviii.) *Their bones also shall dash the young men to pieces,* and *they shall have no pity on the fruit of the womb; their eye shall not spare children.* Cambyses, Darius, and others of their princes were indeed more like bears than men. Instances of their cruelty abound in almost all the historians, who have written of their affairs, from Herodotus down to Ammiannus Marcellinus, (2) who describes them proud, cruel, exercising the power of life and death over slaves and obscure plebeians. They pull off the skins, says he, from men alive by pieces, or all together; and they have abominable laws, by which for one man’s offense all the neighbourhood is destroyed. Well therefore might a learned (3) French commentator say, that the Persians have exercised the most severe, and the most cruel dominion that we know of. The punishments used among them heget horror in those who read of them.

III. The third kingdom is represented (ver. 6) by another beast like a leopard, which had upon the back of it

---

(9) Faveo terrulentes in ore regni Persarum, et in dentibus ejus tria regna desemnata accipere, Babyloniam, Mediam, et Persiam; quae in omnibus regnent. [Therefore, the three rows in the mouth of the kingdom of the Persians, and in its teeth, we are to understand, as the three kingdoms of the Babylonians, Medes, and Persians, which were reduced into one kingdom.] Hieron. Comment. Vol. 3. p. 1100. Edit. Benedict. Vatilius et Grot. in locum.

(3) Les Perses ont exercé la domination la plus sévère, et la plus cruelle que l’homme. Les supplices usités par eux sont horrible à ceux qui les lisent. [Translated in the text.] Calmet in Dan.
four wings of a fowl. The beast had also four heads; and
dominion was given to it. This is the kingdom of the
Macedonians or Greeks, who under the command of
Alexander the Great overcame the Persians, and reigned
next after them: and it is fitly compared to a leopard
upon several accounts. The leopard is remarkable for
swiftness; their horses (saith the prophet Habakuk. i.
8.) are swifter than the leopards: and Alexander and the
Macedonians were amazingly swift and rapid in their
conquests. The leopard is a spotted animal: and so was
a proper emblem, according to (4) Bochart, of the dif-
fJerent manners of the nations which Alexander com-
manded; or, according to (5) Grotius, of the various
manners of Alexander himself, who was sometimes mer-
ciful, and sometimes cruel; sometimes temperate, and
sometimes drunken; sometimes abstemious, and some-
times incontinent. The leopard as (6) Bochart ob-
serves, is of small stature, but of great courage, so as
not to be afraid to engage with the lion and the largest
beasts; and so Alexander a little king in comparison,
of small stature too, and with a small army, dared to at-
tack the king of kings, that is Darius, whose kingdom
was extended from the Ægean sea to the Indies. Others
have pursued the comparison further, but with more sub-
til薄 than solidity; for I conceive the principal point of
likeness was designed between the swiftness and impe-
tuity of the one and the other.

For the same reason the beast had upon the back of it

(4) Maccenas parvi renovat gentium, quisque imperavit, diversis mors.
They different manners of the nations, over which he have rule, have a re-
terence to the spots of a leopard.” Bochart. Hierozon. Pars prior. Lib. 3.
Cap. 7. Col. 726.
(5) Parvum varium animal. Sic Alexander moribus variis: modo clemente,
modo crudelis; modo vigetius temperato; modo obinos; modo abstemius,
modo indulgens amorosis. The leopard is an animal of divers colours.
So Alexander was of various manners. For sometimes he was merciful,
sometimes cruel, sometimes temperate, sometimes drunken, sometimes
chaste, and sometimes incontinent.” Grot. in locum.
(6) Ut parvis statu frons est, sed animo et robore maxime praestans,
it ut cum leone et procneum quidamque feris congrede non vereat:
Sic Alexander penes regulus, et cum exiguo apparatu, regem regnum ag-
veri quis est, ut est, Darium, cujus regnum a mari Ægeo usque ad Indos
extendebatur. [Translated in the text.] Bochart. ibid.

four wings of a fowl. The Babylonian empire was re-
presented with two wings, but this is described with four.
For, as (7) Jerome saith, nothing was swifter than the
victories of Alexander, who ran through all the countries
from Illyricum and the Adriatic sea to the Indian ocean
and the river Ganges, not so much fighting as conquer-
ing, and in six years (he should have said in lector, sub-
jugated part of Europe, and all Asia to himself. The
beast had also four heads: to denote the four kingdoms
into which this same third kingdom should be divided,
as it was divided into four kingdoms after the death of
Alexander, (5) his four captains, Cassander reigning over
Macedon and Greece, Lysimachus over Thrace and
Bithynia, Ptolemy over Egypt, and Seleucus over Syria.
And dominion was given to it: which showeth, as (7) Je-
rome saith, that it was not owing to the fortune of
Alexander, but proceeded from the will of the Lord.
And indeed unless he had been directed, preserved, and
assisted by the mighty power of God, how could Alex-
ander with thirty thousand men have overcome Darius
with six hundred thousand, and in so short a time have
brought all the countries from Greece as far as to India
into subjection?

IV. The fourth kingdom is represented (ver. 7.) by a
fourth beast, dreadful and terrible; and strong exceed-
ingly: and it had great iron teeth: it devoured and brake
in pieces, and stamped the residue with the feet of it, and
it was diverse from all the beasts that were before it.
Daniel was curious to know particularly what this might
mean; (ver. 19.)Then I would know the truth of the
fourth beast, which was diverse from all the others, ex-
ceeding dreadful, whose teeth were of iron, and his nails

(7) Nihil eum Alexanrid victoria velocius fuit, qui ab Hlyricum, et Adria-
lico maris usque ad Indicum oceanum, et Gangum flumnum, non tam pridie,
quum victoriae percutret, et in sex annis partem Europe, et commune Asia
Edit. Benedict.

(9) Quodque additur, Et preces data est ei, ostendit, non Alexanrid for-
titudiniis, sed Domini voluntatisuisse. [And what is added, And dominion
was given to it, sheweth, that it did not proceed from the fortune of Alex-
ander, but from the will of the Lord.] Hieron. ibid.
from enlarging their dominions, that they could not preserve what was left them by their ancestors.

Wherefore (2) Jerome rightly concluded, that the fourth empire which now possesseth the world, is the Roman, wherein it is said in the statue, his legs of iron, his feet part of iron, and part of clay; and yet he mentions now the iron in part, attesting that it had great iron teeth. And I greatly wonder, saith he, that when he had before placed a lion, and a bear, and a leopard in three kingdoms, he should compare the Roman empire to no beast; unless perhaps that he might make the beast more formidable, he concealed the name; so that whatsoever we could imagine the most fierce in beasts, that we should understand the Romans to be. The fourth beast was so great and horrible, that it was not easy to find an adequate name for it: and the Roman empire was dreadful, and terrible, and strong exceedingly, beyond any of the former kingdoms. It was divers from all kingdoms, not only in its republican form of government, but likewise in strength and power, and greatness, length of duration, and extent of dominion. It devoured and brake in pieces, and stamped the residue with the feet of it; it reduced Macedon into a Roman province; (3) about 168 years, the kingdom of Pergamus, about 133 years, Syria about 65 years, and Egypt about 50 years before Christ. And besides the remains of the Macedonian empire, it subdued many other provinces and kingdoms, so that it might by a very usual figure be said, to devour the whole earth, and to tread it down, and brake it in pieces; and became in a manner what the Roman writers delighted to call it, terrarum orbis imperium, the empire of the whole world.


(3) See Uschi, Pridaux, and other Chronologers.
and at last was destroyed by the Romans. And yet it 
did not reduce all the earth and sea to its obedience. 
For neither did it possess Africa, except that part ad-
joining to Egypt; neither did it subdue all Europe, 
but only northwards it proceeded as far as Thrace, 
and westwards it descended to the Adriatic sea. But 
the city of Rome raleth over all the earth, as far as it 
is inhabited; and commands all the sea, not only that 
within the pillars of Hercules, but also the ocean, as 
far as it is navigable, having first and alone of all the 
most celebrated kingdoms, made the cast and west the 
bounds of its empire; and its dominion hath con-
tinued not a short time, but longer than that of any 
other city or kingdom.

2. Another remarkable property of this beast is (ver. 
7) that it had ten horns: and according to the angel's 
interpretation (ver. 21.) the ten horns of this kingdom 
are ten kings or kingdoms that shall arise. Four kings 
a little before (ver. 17.) signified four kingdoms: and so 
here ten horns are ten kingdoms according to the usual 
phraseology of scripture. And this is a farther argu-
ment, that the kingdoms of the Lagidae and of the Scy-
leucidae cannot possibly be the fourth kingdom, because 
they were never divided into so many parts. The Mac-
edonian empire was divided a few years after the death 
of Alexander into four kingdoms, whereof Egypt and 
Syria were two; but these two were never again subdi-
vided into ten lesser kingdoms. Porphyry therefore, 
who made two separate kingdoms of the kingdom of 
Alexander and his successors, contrary to the received 
interpretation of kings for kingdoms, reckons down to 
Antiochus Epiphanes, whom he supposeth to be the little 
horn, ten kings who were most cruel: but these kings, as 
it Jerome observes, were not all of one kingdom,

(5) et deinde usque ad Antiochenum evangelen Epiphanem, decem 
reges enumeravit, qui fuit servissimus: quippe reges non minus potest 
regni verbi gratia, Macedonia, Syria, Asia, et Egypti; sed de diversis 
regibus minus effect regum ordinem. [And from hence down to Antiochus, 
surnamed Epiphanes, he reckons ten kings, all of whom were outrageous-
ly cruel: and he places these kings, not in our kingdom, for example, 
that of Macedonia, or Syria, or Asia, or Egypt. But he makes up his own 
list of kings, by taking them out of different kingdoms.] Hieron. Com-
of Macedonia for instance, or Syria, or Asia, or Egypt; but the list was made up out of the different kingdoms.

Grotius (6) indeed, and Collins after him, form their catalogue of the ten kings who were very oppressive and cruel to the Jews, out of the kings of Egypt and Syria; and they thus enumerate them, five of one kingdom, and five of the other, Ptolemy the son of Lagus, Seleucus Nicator, Ptolemy Emperor (I suppose they meant Ptolemy Philadelphus, for he reigned next after Ptolemy the son of Lagus, and next before Ptolemy Euergetes, being the son of the former, and the father of the latter) Ptolemy Euergetes, Seleucus Callinicicus, Antiochus the Great, Ptolemy Philopator, Ptolemy Epiphanes, Seleucus Philopator, and Antiochus Epiphanes. But it happens, that some of these kings did not persecute the Jews at all, as Seleucus Callinicicus. Others were so far from persecuting them, that they were their patrons and protectors. Such were Ptolemy the son of Lagus, Seleucus Nicator, Ptolemy Philadelphus, Ptolemy Euergetes, and Antiochus the Great; and such they are reckoned by (7) Josephus himself. So that out of the ten kings only four were persecutors and oppressors of the Jews. The ten horns too are represented as existing all at once; they shoot out and appear upon the head of the beast all together; but these kings were not all contemporaries, many of them were successive, and one fell before another rose. So forced and arbitrary is this exposition, and so contrary to the truth of history.

We must therefore look for the ten kings or kingdoms, where only they can be found, amid the broken pieces of the Roman empire. The Roman empire, as the (8) Romanists themselves allow, was by means of the incursions of the northern nations, dismembered into ten kingdoms; and (9) Machiavel, little thinking what he was doing, (as Bishop Chandler observes) hath given us their names; 1. the Ostrogoths in Moesia, 2. the Visigoths, in Pannonia, 3. the Sueves and Alans in Gascony and Spain, 4. the Vandals in Africa, 5. the Franks in France, 6. the Burgundians in Burgundy, 7. the Heraclidi and Turings in Italy, 8. the Saxons and Angles in Britain, 9. the Huns in Hungary, 10. the Lombards, at first upon the Danube, afterwards in Italy.

Mr. Mede, whom (1) a certain writer esteemed as a man divinely inspired for the interpretation of the prophecies, (2) reckons up the ten kingdoms thus in the year 456, the year after Rome was sacked by Generich king of the Vandals: 1. the Britons, 2. the Saxons in Britain, 3. the Franks, 4. the Burgundians in France, 5. the Wisigoths in the south of France and part of Spain, 6. the Sueves and Alans in Gallicia and Portugal, 7. the Vandals in Africa, 8. the Alamanni in Germany, 9. the Ostrogoths whom the Longobards succeeded in Pannonia; and afterwards in Italy, 10. the Greeks in the residue of the empire.


Sir Isaac Newton enumerates them (4) thus, 1. the kingdom of the Vandals and Alans in Spain and Africa, 2. the kingdom of the Suevians in Spain, 3. the kingdom of the Visigoths, 4. the kingdom of the Alans in Gallia, 5. the kingdom of the Burgundians, 6. the kingdom of the Franks, 7. the kingdom the Britons, 8. the king-

(8) Calvin in locum Rev. xiii. 1. and he refers likewise to Beza, Gaskell, and Du Pin.

(1) Mon. Jurien, in the preface to his Accomplishment of the Scripture Prophecies.
(3) Addenda to Law's Comment. p. 524.
dom of the Huns; 9. the kingdom of the Lombards; 10. the kingdom of Ravenna.

The few variations in these accounts must be ascribed to the great disorder and confusion of the times, one kingdom falling, and another rising, and scarce any subsisting for a long while together. As a learned (5) writer remarks, "all these kingdoms were variously divided either by conquest or inheritance. However, as if that number of ten had been fatal in the Roman dominions, it hath been taken notice of upon particular occasions. As about A.D. 1240 by Eberard bishop of Salzburg in the diet of Ratisbon. At the time of the reformation they were also ten. So that the Roman empire was divided into ten in a manner first and last." Mr. Whiston, who published his essay on the Revelation of St. John in the year 1706, farther (6) observes, "that as the number of the kingdoms into which the Roman empire in Europe, agreeably to the ancient prophecies, was originally divided A.D. 456, was exactly ten: so it is also very nearly returned again to the same condition; and at present is divided into ten grand or principal kingdoms or states.—For tho' there are many more great kingdoms and dominions in Europe besides, yet are they out of the bounds of the old Roman Empire, and so not so directly within our present enquiry.

We would, for reasons which will hereafter appear to the attentive reader, fix these ten kingdoms at a different era from any of the foregoing; and let us see how they stood in the eighth century. The principal states and governments then were 1. of the senate of Rome, who revolted from the Greek emperors, and claimed and exerted the privilege of choosing a new western emperor; 2. of the Greeks in Ravenna; 3. of the Lombards in Lombardy; 4. of the Huns in Hungary; 5. of the Alemans in Germany; 6. of the Franks in France; 7. of the Burgundians in Burgundy; 8. of the Goths in Spain.

9. of the Britons; 10. of the Saxons in Britain. Not that there were constantly ten kingdoms; they were sometimes more, and sometimes fewer: but, as (7) Isaac Newton says, "whatever was their number afterwards, they are still called the ten kings from their first number."

3. Besides these ten horns or kingdoms of the fourth empire, there was to spring up among them another little horn. I considered the horns, saith Daniel, (ver. 8.) and behold there came up among them another little horn, before whom there were three of the first horns plucked up by the roots. Daniel was eager to know (ver. 20.) as of the ten horns, so likewise of the other which came up, and before whom three fell. And he was informed by the angel, (ver. 24.) that as the ten horns out of this kingdom were ten kings or kingdoms that should arise, so likewise that another shall rise after them, and he shall subdue three kings or kingdoms. One absurdity generally produceth another: and (8) Grotius, in consequence of his former supposition that the fourth kingdom was the kingdoms of the Selucide and the Laodice, supposed also, that the little horn was Antiochus Epiphanes, and that the three horns which were plucked up before him were his elder brother Seleucus, and Demetrius the son of Selenus, and Ptolemy Philopator king of Egypt; and Collins adopts the same notion after Grotius, for Collins was only a retailer of scraps, and could not advance any thing of this kind of his own. But surely it is very arbitrary to reckon Antiochus Epiphanes as one of the ten horns, and at the same time as the little horn, when the prophet hath plainly made the little horn an eleventh horn, distinct from the former ten. There were three of the first horns to be plucked up by the roots before the little horn; but the three kings mentioned by Grotius are not all in his first catalogue of ten kings, neither Ptolemy Philometor (if Philometor he meant) nor Demetrius being of the number. Neither were they plucked up by

(5) Danburg on Rev. XIII. 1. p. 555.
(7) Sir Isaac Newton's Observ. on Daniel, Chap. 6. p. 73.
(8) Grotius and Collins, ibid.
the roots by Antiochus, or by his order. Seleucus was
(9) poisoned by his treasurer Heliodorus, whose aim it
was to usurp the crown to himself, before Antiochus
returned from Rome, where he had been detained a
hostage several years. Demetrius (1) lived to dethrone
and murder the son of Antiochus, and succeeded him
in the kingdom of Syria. Ptolemy Philometor (2) died
king of Egypt almost thirty years before Antiochus
came to the throne of Syria: or if Ptolemy Philometor
(as is most probable) was meant by Grocius, Philometor,
though he suffered much in his wars with Antiochus, yet
survived him (3) about eighteen years, and died in pos-
session of the crown of Egypt, after the family of An-
tiochus had been set aside from the succession to the
crown of Syria. Neither doth Antiochus Epiphanes an-
ter to the character of the little horn in other respec-
ts, and particularly in this. The little horn continues (ver.
21, 22, 26.) to reign till the second coming of Christ in
glory; but Antiochus Epiphanes died about 164 years
before his coming in the flesh. These are all further
arguments to prove, that the fourth beast must signify
the Roman empire, and that the ten horns represent
the ten kingdoms into which that empire was divided,
and therefore we must look for the little horn among
them, and no where else: and that we may not be led
away by modern prejudices, let us see whether the an-
cients will not afford us some light and direction.

Irenaeus, a father who flourished in the second century,
treating of the fraud, pride, and tyranny of Antichrist,
asserts that (4) Daniel respecting the end of the last

(2) Ptolemy Philometor died A.D. 204, Antiochus became king A.D.
175 before Christ. See Usher, Prid. &c.
(3) Antiochus Epiphanes died A.D. 164, Ptolemy Philometor A.D. 146
before Christ. See Usher, Prid. &c.,
(4) Daniel autem novissimae regum in finem respiciens, id est, novissimae de-
cem reges, in qua dividetur quod nono regnum: quod concinnavit Ioannes
Dionysius in Apocalypsi, ed. sermone quod factum esse comm. nune, qua
Daniele visa sunt, &c. [Translated in the text.] Iren. Lib. 5.
(5) Tags de inuentione, ex iis excelellentibus, alii in iis in eclesiasticis
gentibus, et alii in iis in arquitettonia in ecclesiasticis quatuor de rebus, dicentes'
hebdomada tribus diebus in ea, quae uterque paucis annis in eadem
hastis, et in his diebus, etiam annis, et eis annis, etiam annis, et eis annis,

kingsdom, that is, the last ten kings, among whom that
country should be divided, upon whom the son of per-
dition shall come, saith that ten horns shall grow on
the beast, and another little horn shall grow up among
them, and three of the first horns shall be rooted out
before him. Of whom also Paul the apostle speaketh
in his second epistle to the Thessalonians, calling him
the son of perdition, and the wicked one. St. John our
Lord's disciple, hath in the Apocalypse still more plainly
signified of the last time, and of these ten kings, among
whom the empire that now reigneth shall be divided,
explaining what the ten horns shall be, which were
seen by Daniel.

St. Cyril of Jerusalem, who flourished about the middle
of the fourth century, speaking of Antichrist's coming in
the latter times of the Roman empire, (5) saith, 'We
teach these things not of our own invention, but having
festus adhibeit etiam de novissimo tempore, et de his qui sunt in eo decrem
gregum, in quos dividetur quod nono regnum: quod concinnavit Ioannes
Dionysius in Apocalypsi, ed. sermone quod factum esse comm. nune, qua
Daniele visa sunt, &c. [Translated in the text.] Iren. Lib. 5.
learned them out of the divine scriptures, and especially out of the prophecy of Daniel, which was just now read; even as Gabriel the archangel interpreted, saying thus; the fourth beast shall be the fourth kingdom upon earth, which shall exceed all the kingdoms; but that this is the empire of the Romans, ecclesiastical interpreters have delivered. For the first that was made famous, was the kingdom of the Assyrians; and the second was that of the Medes and Persians together; and after these the third, was that of the Macedonians; and the fourth kingdom, is now that of the Romans. Afterwards Gabriel interpreting saith, Its ten horns are ten kings that shall arise; and after them shall arise another king, who shall exeed in wickedness all before him; not only the ten he saith, but also all who were before him. And he shall depress three kings: but it is manifest that of the first ten he shall depress three, that he himself may reign the eighth; and he shall speak words, saith he, against the most High.'

St. Jerome having refuted Porphyry's notion of Antichrist Epiphanes being the little horn, (where by the way the passage appears to want much emendation) (6) concludes thus: 'Therefore let us say what all ecclesiastical writers have delivered, that in the latter days, when the empire of the Romans shall be destroyed, there will be ten kings, who shall divide it between them, and an eleventh shall arise, a little king, who shall subdue three of the ten kings, and the other seven shall submit their necks to the conqueror.' Theodoret speaketh much to the same purpose in his comment upon Daniel; and (7) St. Austin expressly approveth of Jerome's interpretation. 'Those four kingdoms, saith he, some have expounded to be the Assyrian, Persian, Macedonian and Roman. How properly they have done that, those who are desirous of knowing, may read the presbyter Jerome's book upon Daniel, which is very accurately and learnedly written.'

The fathers, it appears by these instances, conceived that the fourth empire was the Roman, that the Roman empire was to be divided between ten kings, and that among them would arise Antichrist, who should root up three of the ten kings, and dominion over the other seven. At the same time it must be confessed, that these same fathers entertained strange wild notions concerning this Antichrist, (8) that he should be a Jew, that he should descend from the tribe of Dan, that he should come from Babylon, that he should fix his residence in the temple at Jerusalem, that he should first subdue Egypt, and afterwards Lydia and Ethiopia, which were the three horns that should fall before him. But it is no wonder that the fathers, nor indeed that any one should mistake in particularly applying prophecies, which had not then received their completion. The fathers might understand the prophecies so far as they were fulfilled, and might say with certainty which were the four great kingdoms of the world, that the fourth was the Roman, and that the Roman would be divided in the manner that Daniel had foretold. So far


(8) Irenaei Lib. 5. Cap. 25 et 30. Cyrilli Hieros. Catech. 15. Cap. 7. tres reges de decem regibus superanuntur sit, id est, Assyriam regnum, et Africana et Ethiopia. [He shall conquer three kings out of the ten kings, namely, the kings of Egypt, Africa, and Ethiopia.] Hieron. Comment. p. 1101. mos tamen est de populo Judæorum, et de Babylone vetustam, quæ de decem regibus tria contra corona super legumam. [He will be descended from the people of the Jews, and he will come from Babylon, and first subdue the king of Egypt, &c. Afterwards he will subdue the Libyans and Ethiopians, which are the three horns out of the ten, which we read above as trodden upon.] Hieron. in Cap. 24. p. 1192 et 1193. Ed. Benedict. Aurelius Hieron. Cæsarius filius eius beneficietur, ita est divit de isto Dan, ut de ipsa tribu existimetur exsuscitari Antichristus. Augustin. [When Jacob blessed his sons, he spoke such things concerning Dan, that from thence it hath been thought that Antichrist would spring from that tribe.] Questions in Jos. Lib. 6. Quest. 12. p. 411. 5d. Tom. Ed. Benedict. Antwerpia.
was plain and obvious, and so far they might proceed with safety; but when they ventured farther, and would define particularly who were the ten kings, and who was Antichrist, and who were the three kings that should fall before him, then they plunged out of their depth, and were lost in the abyss of error. Such prophecies can be explained only by the events, and these events were yet in the womb of time. Some other mistaken prophecies might lead the fathers into this interpretation. There is not the least foundation for it in this prophecy. On the contrary this prophecy might have instructed them better, and have taught them that as the western empire was to be divided into ten kingdoms, so the little horn should arise among them, and subdue three of them; and consequently the little horn could not arise in the east, he could not be a Jew, he could not come from Babylon, and neither could Egypt, Lyvia, and Ethiopia be the three kingdoms which should fall before him.

Antichrist then (as the fathers delight to call him) or the little horn is to be sought among the ten kingdoms of the western Roman empire. I say of the western Roman empire, because that was properly the body of the fourth beast; Greece and the countries which lay eastward of Italy belonged to the third beast; for the former beasts were still subsisting, and their dominion was taken away. As concerning the rest of the beasts, saith Daniel (ver. 12.) they had their dominion taken away; yet their lives were prolonged for a season and a time. And therefore, as Sir Isaac (9) Newton rightly infers, all the four beasts are still alive, though the dominion of the three first be taken away. The nations of Chaldea and Assyria are still the first beast. Those of Media and Persia are still the second beast. Those of Macedonia, Greece, and Thrace, Asia minor, Syria and Egypt, are still the third. And those of Europe, on this side Greece, are still the fourth. Seeing therefore the body of the third beast is confined to the nations

"on this side the river Euphrates, and the body of the fourth beast is confined to the nations on this side Greece; we are to look for all the four heads of the third beast among the nations on this side the river Euphrates; and for all the eleven horns of the fourth beast, among the nations on this side Greece. And therefore, at the breaking of the Greek empire into four kingdoms of the Greeks, we include no part of the Chaldeans, Medes and Persians in those kingdoms, because they belonged to the bodies of the two first beasts. Nor do we reckon the Greek empire seated at Constantinople, among the horns of the fourth beast, because it belonged to the body of the third." For the same reason, neither can the Saracen nor the Turk be the little horn or Antichrist, as some have imagined them to be; and neither do they come up to the character in other respects.

Let us therefore look for the little horn, as the prophecy itself directs us, among the other ten horns of the western Roman empire. If indeed it be true, as the Romanists pretend, that this part of the prophecy is not yet fulfilled, and that Antichrist will come only, for a little time before the general judgment, it would be in vain to inquire who or what he is; we should split upon the same rock as the fathers have done; it would better become us to say with (1) Calmet, that 'as the reign of Antichrist is still remote, we cannot show the accomplishment of the prophecies with regard to him; we ought to content ourselves with considering the past, and comparing it with the words of the prophet; the past is an assurance of the future.' But perhaps upon examination we shall see reason to conclude with the generality of the Protestants, that this part of the prophecy is fulfilled. We have seen already that the Roman empire was divided into ten horns or kingdoms.

(9) Sir Is. Newton's Observ. on Daniel, Chap. 4. p. 31, 32.

(1) Comme le règne de l'Antichrist est encore éloigné, on ne peut pas montrer l'accomplissement des prophéties à son regard. On doit se contenter de considérer le passé, et de le comparer avec les paroles du prophète. Le passé est une assurance de ce qui doit arriver aujour. [Translated in the text.] Calmet in locum.
and among them possibly we may find another little horn or kingdom answering in all respects to the character here given. Machiavel himself will lead us by the hand; for having shown how the Roman empire was broken and divided by the incursions of the northern nations, he (2) says "About this time the bishops of Rome began to take upon them, and to exercise greater authority than they had formerly done. At first the successors of St. Peter were venerable and eminent for their miracles, and the holiness of their lives; and their examples added daily such numbers to the Christian church, that to obviate or remove the continuations which were then in the world, many princes turned Christians, and the emperor of Rome being converted among the rest, and quitting Rome, to hold his residence at Constantinople, the Roman empire (as we have said before) began to decline, but the church of Rome augmented as fast." And so he proceeds to give an account how the Roman empire declined, and the power of the church of Rome increased, first under the Goths, then under the Lombards, and afterwards by the calling in of the Franks. Here then is a little horn springing up among the other ten horns. The bishop of Rome was respectable as a bishop long before, but he did not become a horn properly, (which is an emblem of strength and power) till he became a temporal prince. He was to rise after the other, that is behind them, as the Greek translates it or sev adôv, and as (3) Mr. Mede explains it, so that the ten kings were not aware of the growing up of the little horn, till it overtopped them; the word in the original signifying as well behind in place, as after in time; as also post in Latin is used indifferently either of place or time. Three of the first horns, that is three of the first kings or kingdoms, were to be plucked up by the roots, and to fall before him. And these three, according to Mr. Mede, "were those whose dominions extended into Italy, and so stood in his light: first, that of the Greeks, whose emperor Leo Isaurus, for the quarrel of image-worship, he excommunicated, and made his subjects of Italy revolt from their allegiance; secondly, that of the Lombards (successors to the Ostrogoths) whose kingdom he caused by the aid of the Franks to be wholly ruined and extirpated, thereby to get the exarchate of Ravenna (which since the revolt from the Greeks the Lombards were seised on) for a patrimony to St. Peter: thirdly, the last was the kingdom of the Franks itself, continued in the empire of Germany; whose emperors from the days of Henry the fourth he excommunicated, deposed and trampled under his feet, and never suffered to live in rest, till he made them not only to quit their interest in the election of popes and investitures of bishops, but that remainder also of jurisdiction in Italy, where-with together with the Roman name he had once incoffed their predecessors. These were the kings by displanting or (as the Vulgar hath) humbling of whom the pope got elbow-room by degrees, and advanced himself to that highth of temporal majesty and absolute greatness, which made him so terrible in the world."

Sir Isaac Newton reckons them up with some variation. "Kings, (4) saith he, are put for kingdoms, as above; and therefore the little horn is a little kingdom. It was a horn of the fourth beast, and rooted up three of his first horns; and therefore we are to look for it among the nations of the Latin empire, after the rise of the ten horns.—In the eighth century, by root-ing up and subduing the exarchate of Ravenna, the kingdom of the Lombards, and the senate and duke-dom of Rome, he acquired Peter's patrimony out of their dominions; and thereby rose up as a temporal prince or king, or horn of the fourth beast." Again, "It was certainly by the victory of the see of Rome over the Greek emperor, the king of Lombardy, and

(2) Machiavel's Hist. of Florence, B. 1, p. 6, of the English translation.
(3) Mede's Works, B. 4, Epist. 21, p. 779, S. C.
(4) Sir Isaac Newton's Observ. on Daniel, Chap. 7, p. 71, et 75, et 70.
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the senate of Rome, that she acquired Peter's patri-
mony, and rose up to her greatness.

In both these schemes there is something to be ap-
proved, and something perhaps to be disapproved. In
Mr. Mede's plan it is to be approved, that the three
kingdoms which he propoundeth, are mentioned in his first
table of the ten kingdoms; but then it may be questioned,
whether the kingdom of the Franks or Germans in Italy
can be said properly to have been pluckt up by the roots
through the power or policy of the popes. There were
indeed long struggles and contests between the popes
and emperors; but did the pope ever so totally prevail
over the emperors, as to extirpate and eradicate them
out of Italy, (for so the (5) original word signifies) and
to seize and annex their dominions to his own? If all
history answers in the affirmative, as it hath been said,
it would be easy to point out the time or times. But
for my part I recollect no period when the pope dis-
possessed the emperor of all his Italian dominions, and
uniteth them to the estates of the church, and enjoyed
them as such for any time. The emperor possesseth
dominions in Italy to this day. In Sir Isaac Newton's
plan it is to be approved, that the three kingdoms which
he propoundeth, were pluckt up by the roots, were totally
undered by the popes, and possessed as parts of Peter's
patrimony; but then it may be objected, that only two
of the three are mentioned in his first catalogue of the
ten kingdoms, the senate and dukedom of Rome being
not included in the number. There were not only three
horns to be pluckt up before the little horn, but three of
the first horns. We have therefore exhibited a catalogue
of the ten kingdoms, as they stood in the eighth century;
and therein are comprehended the three states or king-
doms, which constituted the pope's dominions, and which
we conceive to be the same as Sir Isaac Newton did, the
exarchate of Ravenna, the kingdom of the Lombards,
and the state of Rome.

First, the exarchate of Ravenna, which of right be-

(5) [cere, exstipare, eradicare. To pluck up, to exstipate, to
[eriadere.] Buxtorf.
minions in Italy, and conquered the Lombards, and put an end to their kingdom, and gave great part of their dominions to the pope. He not only confirmed the former donations of his father Pipin, but also made an addition of other countries to them, as Corsica, Sardinia, Sicily, the Sabine territory, the whole tract between Lucca and Parma, and that part of Tuscany which belonged to the Lombards: and the tables of these donations he signed himself, and caused them to be signed by the bishops, abbots, and other great men then present, and laid them so signed upon the altar of St. Peter. And this (9) was the end of the kingdom of the Lombards, in the 266th year after their possessing Italy, and in the year of Christ 774.

Thirdly, the state of Rome, though subject to the popes in things spiritual, was yet in things temporal governed by the senate and people, who after their defection from the eastern emperors, still retained many of their old privileges, and elected both the western emperor and the popes. After (1) Charles the great had overthrown the kingdom of the Lombards, he came again to Rome, and was there by the pope, bishops, abbots, and people of Rome, chosen Roman patrician, which is the degree of honor and power next to the emperor. He then settled the affairs of Italy, and permitted the pope to hold under him the duchy of Rome with other territories: but after a few years, the (2) Romans desirous to recover their liberty conspired against pope Leo III, accused him of many great crimes, and imprisoned him. His accusers were heard on a day appointed before Charles and a council of French and Italian bishops: but the pope, without pleading his own cause or making any defense, was acquitted, his accusers were slain or banished, and he himself was declared su-


perior to all human judicature. And thus the foundation was laid for the absolute authority of the pope over the Romans, which was completed by degrees; and Charles in return was chosen emperor of the west. However (3) after the death of Charles the great, the Romans again conspired against the pope; but Lewis the pious, the son and successor of Charles, acquitted him again. In the mean while Leo was dangerously ill: which as soon as the Romans his enemies perceived, they rose again, burnt and plundered his villas, and thence marched to Rome to recover what things they complained were taken from them by force; but they were represed by some of the emperor’s troops. The same (4) emperor Lewis the pious, at the request of pope Paschal, confirmed the donations which his father and grandfather had made to the see of Rome. Signorius has recited the confirmation; and therein are mentioned Rome and its duchy containing part of Tuscany and Campania, Ravenna with the exarchate and Pentapolis, and the other part of Tuscany and the countries taken from the Lombards: and all these are granted to the pope and his successors to the end of the world, ut in sua determinata juris, principatu, atque ditione, that they should hold them in their own right, principality, and dominion. These, as we conceive, were the three horns, three of the first horns, which fell before the little horn: and the pope hath in a manner pointed himself out for the person by wearing the triple crown.

4. In other respects too the pope fully answers the character of the little horn; so that if exquisite fitness of application may assure us of the true sense of the prophecy, we can no longer doubt concerning the person. He is a little horn: And the power of the popes was originally very small, and their temporal dominions were little and inconsiderable in comparison with others of the ten horns. —— He shall be divers from the first; (ver.

24.) The Greek and Arabic translate it, that (5) he shall exceed in wickedness all before him; and so most of the fathers, who made use only of the Greek translation, understood it: but it rather signifies that his kingdom shall be of a different nature and constitution: And the power of the pope differs greatly from that of all other princes, being an ecclesiastical and spiritual, as well as a civil and temporal authority. — And behold in this horn were eyes like the eyes of a man: (ver. 8.) To denote his cunning and fore-sight, his looking out and watching all opportunities to promote his own interests: And the policy of the Roman hierarchy hath almost passed into a proverb; the pope is properly an over-seer, ἐπιτάγματος or bishop in the literal sense of the word — He had a mouth speaking very great things: (ver. 8, 20.) And who hath been more noisy and blustering than the pope, especially in former ages, boasting of his supremacy, thundering out his bulls and anathemas, excommunicating princes, and absolving subjects from their allegiance? — His look was more stout than his fellows; (ver. 20.) And the pope assumes a superiority not only over his fellow bishops but even over crowned heads, and requires his foot to be kissed, and greater honors to be paid to him than to kings and emperors themselves. — And he shall speak great words against the most High: (ver. 25.) or as (6) Symmachus interprets it he shall speak great words as the most High; setting up himself above all laws divine and human, arrogating to himself godlike attributes and titles of holiness and infallibility, exacting obedience to his ordinances and decrees in preference to, and open violation of reason and scripture, insulting men, and blaspheming God. In Gratian's decretals the pope hath the title of God given to him. — And he shall wear out the saints of the most High; by wars and massacres and inquisitions, persecuting and destroying the faithful servants of Jesus and the true worshippers of God, who

(5) ὅς ἐπιτάγματος καὶ κυρὶς τῆς ἐπιτάγματος. Gr. qui maior omnibus predecessores suos superabit. [Translated in the text.] Arab.
to be computed from the full establishment of the power of the Pope, and no less is implied in the expression given into his hand. Now the power of the pope, as a horn or temporal prince, it hath been shown, was established in the eighth century: and 1260 years from that time will lead us down to about the year of Christ 2000, or about the 6006th year of the world: and there is an (7) old tradition both among Jews and Christians, that at the end of six thousand years the Messiah shall come, and the world shall be renewed, the reign of the wicked one shall cease, and the reign of the saints upon earth shall begin. But as (8) Irenaeus saith in a like case, it is surer and safer to wait for the completion of the prophecy, than to conjecture and to divine about it. When the end shall come, then we shall know better whence to date the beginning.

V. All these kingdoms will be succeeded by the kingdom of the Messiah. I beheld, saith Daniel, (ver. 9, 10.) till the thrones were cast down, or rather (9) till the thrones were set, and the ancient of days did sit, whose garment was white as snow, and the hair of his head like the pure wool; his throne was like the fiery flame, and his wheels as burning fire. A fiery stream issued and came forth from before him; thousand thousands ministered unto him: and ten thousand times ten thousand stood before him; the judgment was set, or the judges did sit, and the books were opened. These metaphors and figures are borrowed from the solemnities of earthly judicatories, and particularly of the great Sanhedrin of the Jews, where the father of the consistory sat, with his assessors seated on each side of him in the form of a semicircle, and the people stand-

(7) S. Barnabae Epist. Cap. 15. cum notis Cotelerii. Burnet's Theory, B. 3. ch. 5.


(9) ὁ δὲ κοίμησαν πρὸ τοῦ θρόνου. [Till the thrones were set.] Vulg. legetur πρὸς τοὺς θρόνους. [Until the thrones were placed.] Sept. Videbam sub-sellibus postea esse. [I saw the thrones placed.] Syr. legetur postea esse. [The seats were set.] Amb. and the same word is used in the Chaldee paraphrase of Jer. i. 16. They shall set every one his throne.

(1) See Jewish authors cited even by Grotsius and Bp. Chandler in his Defense of Christianity, Chap. 2. Not. 1. p. 103. 3d Edit.

ing before him: and from this description again was borrowed the description of the day of judgment in the New Testament.

1. Beheld then because of the voice of the great words which the horn spake: I beheld, even till the beast was slain, and his body destroyed, and given to the burning flame, (ver. 11.) The beast will be destroyed because of the great words which the horn spake, and the destruction of the beast will also be the destruction of the horn: and consequently the horn is a part of the fourth beast, or of the Roman empire. As concerning the rest of the beasts, they had their dominion taken away, yet their lives were prolonged for a season and time. (ver. 12.) When the dominion was taken away from the other beasts, their bodies were not destroyed, they were suffered to continue still in being; but when the dominion shall be taken away from this beast, his body shall totally be destroyed; because other kingdoms succeeded to those, but none other earthly kingdom shall succeed to this.

I saw in the night-visions, and behold, one like the son of man, came with the clouds of heaven, and came to the ancient of days, and they brought him near before him. (ver. 13.) How strange and forced, how absurd and unworthy of Grotsius is it to apply this to the Romans, which hath always been, and can only be properly understood of the Messiah? From hence the son of man came to be a (1) known phrase for the Messiah, among the Jews. From hence it was taken and used so frequently in the gospels: and our Saviour intimates himself to be this very son of man in saying (Mat. xxvi. 64, 65.) Hereafter shall ye see the son of man sitting on the right hand of power, and coming in the clouds of heaven; and thereupon he was charged by the high priest with having spoken blasphemy.

And there was given him dominion, and glory, and a kingdom, that all people, nations, and languages should serve him: his dominion is an everlasting dominion,
which shall not pass away, and his kingdom that shall not be destroyed. (ver. 14.) All these kingdoms shall in their turns be destroyed, but the kingdom of the Messiah shall stand for ever; and it was in allusion to this prophecy, that the angel said of Jesus before he was conceived in the womb, (Luke i. 33.) He shall reign over the house of Jacob for ever, and of his kingdom there shall be no end.

After what manner these great changes will be effected, we cannot pretend to say, as God hath not been pleased to reveal it. We see the remains of the ten horns, which arose out of the Roman empire. We see the little horn still subsisting, though not in full strength and vigor, but as we hope upon the decline, and tending towards a dissolution. And having seen so many of these particulars accomplished, we can have no reason to doubt that the rest also will be fulfilled in due season, though we cannot frame any conception how Christ will be manifested in glory, how the little horn with the body of the fourth beast will be given to the burning flame, or how the saints will take the kingdom, and possess it for ever and ever. It is the nature of such prophecies not to be perfectly understood, till they are fulfilled. The best comment upon them will be their completion.

It may yet add some farther light to the prophecies, if we compare this and the former together; for comparing scripture with scripture is the best way to understand both the one and the other. What was represented to Nebuchadnezzar in the form of a great image, was represented again to Daniel by four great wild beasts; and the beasts degenerate, as the metals in the image grow worse and worse, the lower they descend.

This image's head was of gold, and the first beast was like a lion with eagle's wings: and these answer to each other; and both represented the powers then reigning, or the kingdom of the Babylonians: but it appeared in splendor and glory to Nebuchadnezzar, as it was then in its flourishing condition; the plucking of its wings, and its humiliation were shown to Daniel, as it was then drawing near to its fatal end.

The breast and arms of silver, and the second beast

like a bear were designed to represent the second kingdom, or that of the Medes and Persians. The two arms are supposed to denote the two people; but some farther particulars were hinted to Daniel, of the one people rising up above the other people, and of the conquest of three additional kingdoms. To Nebuchadnezzar this kingdom was called inferior, or worse than the former; and to Daniel it was described as very cruel. Arise, devour much flesh.

The third kingdom, or that of the Macedonians, was represented by the belly and thighs of brass, and by the third beast like a leopard with four wings of a fowl. It was said to Nebuchadnezzar, that it should bear rule over all the earth; and in Daniel's vision, dominion was given to it. The four heads signify Alexander's four successors; but the two thighs can only signify the two principal of them, the Seleucid, and Lagid, the Syrian and Egyptian kings.

The legs of iron, and the fourth beast with great iron teeth, correspond exactly; and as iron breaketh in pieces all other metals, so the fourth beast devoured, and brake in pieces, and stamped the residue with the feet of it; and they were both therefore equally proper representatives of the fourth kingdom, or the Roman, which was stronger and more powerful than all the former kingdoms. The ten toes too and the ten horns were alike fit emblems of the ten kingdoms, which arose out of the division of the Roman empire; but all that relates to the little horn was revealed only to Daniel, as a person more immediately interested in the fate of the church.

The stone, that was cut out of the mountain without hands, and became itself a mountain, and filled the whole earth, is explained to be a kingdom, which shall prevail over all other kingdoms, and become universal and everlasting. In like manner, one like the son of man came to the ancient of days, and was advanced to a kingdom, which shall prevail likewise over all other kingdoms, and become universal and everlasting.

Such concord and agreement is there between these prophecies of Daniel, which remarkable as they are in
many things, are in nothing more remarkable, than that they comprehend so many distant events, and extend through so many ages, from the reign of the Babylonians to the consummation of all things. They are truly, as (2) Mr. Mede called them “the sacred calendar, dar and great almanac of prophecy, a prophetical chronology of times measured by the succession of four principal kingdoms, from the beginning of the captivity of Israel, until the mystery of God should be finished.” They are as it were the great outlines, the rest mostly are filling up the parts; and as these will cast light upon the subsequent prophecies, so the subsequent prophecies will reflect light upon them again.

Daniel was much troubled, (ver. 28.) and his countenance changed in him at the foresight of the calamities to be brought upon the church by the little horn: but he kept the matter in his heart. Much more may good men be grieved at the sight of these calamities, and lament the prevalence of popery and wickedness in the world: but let them keep it in their heart, that a time of just retribution will certainly come. The proof may be drawn from the moral attributes of God, as well as from his promise: (ver. 26, 27.) The judgment shall sit, and they shall take away his dominion, to consume and destroy it unto the end. And the kingdom, and dominion, and the greatness of the kingdom under the whole heaven shall be given to the people of the saints of the most High, whose kingdom is an everlasting kingdom, and all dominion shall serve and obey him.
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THERE is not a stronger or more convincing proof of divine revelation, than the sure word of prophecy. But to the truth of prophecy it is objected, that the predictions were written after the events; and could it be proved as well as asserted, it would really be an insuperable objection. It was thought therefore that a greater service could not be done to the cause of Christianity, than by an induction of particulars to show, that the predictions were prior to the events, may that several prophecies have been fulfilled in these later ages, and are fulfilling even at this present time: And for the farther prosecution and the better encouragement of this work, I have been called to preach these lectures, by the favor and recommendation of the great prelate, who having himself written most excellently of the use and intent of prophecy, is also willing to reward and encourage any one who bestows his time and pains upon the same subject. The ready and gracious concurrence of the (1) other trustees was an additional honor and favor,

(1) The trustees appointed by Mr. Boyle himself were: Sir John Rotheram, Serjeant at law, Sir Henry Ashurst of London, Knt. and Bart., Thomas Tenison, D. D., afterwards Archbishop of Canterbury, and John Evelyn, Esq.; Archbishop Tenison, the survivor of these, nominated and appointed for trustees Richard Earl of Burlington; Dr. Edmund Gibson, then Archbishop of Sarrey, afterwards Lord Bishop of London; Dr. Charles Trumel, then Bishop of Norwich, afterwards Bishop of Winchester; Dr. White Ramet, then Dean, afterwards Bishop of Peterborough; and Dr. Samuel Broward, then Rector.
and is deserving the most grateful acknowledgements. Engaging in this service may indeed have retarded the publication of these discourses longer than was intended: but perhaps they may be the better for the delay, since there have been more frequent occasions to review and reconsider them; and time corrects and improves works as well as generous wines, at least affords opportunities of correcting and improving them.

This work hath already been deduced to the prophecies of Daniel; and as some time and pains have been employed in explaining some parts of his prophecies, and more will be taken in explaining other parts; it may be proper, before we proceed, to consider the principal objections which have been made to the genuineness of the book of Daniel. It was before asserted, that the first who called in question the truth and authenticity of Daniel's prophecies, was the famous Porphyry, who maintained that they were written about the time of Antiochus Epiphanes: but he was amply refuted by (2) Jerome, and hath been and will be more amply refuted still in the course of these dissertations. A modern infidel hath followed Porphyry's example, and in his Scheme of literal prophecy hath heaped together all that he could find or invent against the book of Daniel, and hath comprised the whole in eleven objections, in order to show that the book was written about the time of the Maccabees; but he likewise hath been refuted to the satisfaction of every intelligent and impartial reader; as indeed there were never any arguments urged in favor of infidelity, but better were always produced in support of truth. The substance of his (3) objections and of the

answers to him may with truth and candor be represented in the following manner.

1. It is objected that the famous Daniel mentioned by Ezekiel could not be the author of the book of Daniel; because Ezekiel who prophesied in the fifth year of Jehoiakim, king of Judah, implies Daniel at that time to be a person in years; whereas the book of Daniel speaks of Daniel at that time as a youth. But here the objector is either ignorant or wilfully guilty of gross misrepresentation. For Ezekiel did not prophesy in the fifth year of Jehoiakim, nor in the reign of Jehoiakim at all; but he began to prophesy in the fifth year of the captivity of Jehoiakim, (Ezek. i. 2.) that is eleven years after. When Daniel was first carried into captivity, he might be a youth (4) about eighteen; but when Ezekiel magnified his piety and wisdom, (Chap. xiv. and xxxviii.) he was between thirty and forty; and several years before that he had interpreted Nebuchadnezzar's dream, and was advanced (Dan. ii. 48.) to be ruler over the whole province of Babylon, and chief of the governors over all the wise men of Babylon; and was therefore very fit and worthy to be celebrated by his fellow-captive Ezekiel.

2. His second objection is, that Daniel is represented in the book of Daniel as living chiefly at the courts of the kings of Babylon and Persia; and yet the names of the several kings of his time are all mistaken in the book of Daniel. It is also more suited to a fabulous writer than to a contemporary historian, to talk of Nebuchadnezzar's dwelling with the beasts of the field, and eating grass like oxen, &c. and then returning again to the government of his kingdom. Here are two objections confounded in one. As to the mistake of the kings names, there are only four kings mentioned in the book of Daniel, Nebuchadnezzar, Belshazzar, Darius the Mede, and Cyrus. Of the first and the last there was never any doubt; and the other two may be rightly named, tho' they are named differently by the Greek historians, who


yet differ as much one from another as from Daniel. It is well known that the eastern monarchs had several names; and one might be made use of by one writer, another by another. It is plainly begging the question, to presume without further proof, that Daniel was not the oldest of these writers, and had not better opportunities of knowing the names than any of them. As to the case of Nebuchadnezzar, it is related indeed in the prophetic figurative style. It is the interpretation of a dream, and of its figures the plain meaning is, that Nebuchadnezzar should be punished with madness, should fancy himself a beast and live like a beast, should be made to eat grass as oxen, be obliged to live upon a vegetable diet, but after some time should recover his reason, and resume the government. And what is there fabulous or absurd in this? The dream was not of Daniel's inventing, but was told by Nebuchadnezzar himself. The dream is in a poetic strain, and so likewise is the interpretation, the better to show how the one corresponded with the other, and how the prophecy and event agreed together.

3. He objects that the book of Daniel could not be written by that Daniel who was carried captive in the Babylonish captivity, because it abounds with derivations from the Greek, which language was unknown to the Jews till long after the captivity. The assertion is false that the book of Daniel abounds with derivations from the Greek. There is an affinity only between some few words in the Greek and the Chaldee language; and why must they be derived from the other? or if derived, why should not the Greeks derive them from the Chaldee, rather than the Chaldees from the Greek? If the words in question could be shown to be of Greek extraction, yet there was some communication between the eastern kingdoms and the colonies of the Greeks settled in Asia Minor before Nebuchadnezzar's time; and so some particular terms might pass from the Greek into the oriental languages. But on the contrary the words in question are shown to be not of Greek but of eastern derivation; and consequently passed from the east to the Greeks, rather than from the Greeks to the east.

Most of the words are names of musical instruments; and the Greeks (5) acknowledge that they received their music from the eastern nations, from whence they themselves originally descended.

4. It doth not appear, says the objector, that the book of Daniel was translated into Greek, when the other books of the Old Testament were, which are attributed to the Seventy; the present Greek version, inserted in the Septuagint, being taken from Theodotion's translation of the Old Testament made in the second century after Christ. But it doth appear, that there was an ancient Greek version of Daniel, which is attributed to the Seventy, as well as the version of the other books of the Old Testament. It is cited by Clemens Romanus, Justin Martyr, and many of the ancient fathers. It was inserted in Origen, and filled a column of his Hexapla. It is quoted several times by Jerome; and he saith (6) expressly, that the version of the Seventy was repudiated by the doctors of the church, and that of Theodotion substituted in the room of it, because it came nearer to the Hebrew version. This version hath also been lately published from an ancient M.S. discovered in the Chigian library of Rome.


(6) Danielem propheticum juxta Septuagintam interprete Domini Salvatori ecclesiae non legunt, atque Theodotionis editio est:——quod multum a veritate discordat, et recto judicio repudiatur sit. [The churches of our Lord and Saviour do not read the prophecies of Daniel according to the Septuagint, but make use of the version of Theodotion; because the former is at great variance with the truth, and is rejected with great propriety.] Hieron. Prax. in Dan. Vol. 1. p. 182. Judicio magistrorum ecclesiae editio com. [LXX] repudiatur est, et Theodotionis vulgo legitur, quae et Hebrew, et e tertias translatiribus congruit, &c. [According to the judgment of the masters of the church, their version [that of the LXX] has been rejected, and that of Theodotion is commonly read: which agrees better with the Hebrew, and other translations.] Comment. in Dan. IV. Col. 1098. Vol. 3. Edit. Benedict. vol. 1.
5. It is objected that divers matters of fact are spoken of with the clearness of history, to the times of Antiochus Epiphanes, who is very particularly dwelt upon, and that with great and seeming fresh resentment for his barbarous usage of the Jews: And this clearness determined Porphry, and would determin any one to think, that the book was written about the times of Antiochus Epiphanes, the author appearing to be well acquainted with things down to the death of Antiochus but not farther. But what an argument is this against the book of Daniel? His prophecies are clear, and therefore are no prophecies: as if an all knowing God could not foretell things clearly; or as if there were not many predictions in other prophets, as clear as any in Daniel. If his prophecies extend not lower than the times of Antiochus Epiphanes, his commission might be limited there, and he would not go beyond his commission. But it hath been shown, and will be shown, that there are several prophecies in Daniel relating to times long after the death of Antiochus, and these prophecies are as clear as those before the death of Antiochus. Neither is Antiochus so very particularly dwelt upon as is commonly imagined; neither is he spoken of with greater resentment, than other prophets express towards the kings of Assyria and Babylon. All honest men, who love liberty and their country, must speak with indignation of tyrants and oppressors.

6. His sixth objection is, that Daniel is omitted among the prophets recited in Ecclesiasticus, where it seems proper to have mentioned him as a Jewish prophet-author, had the book under his name been received as canonical, when Ecclesiasticus was published. It might have been proper to have mentioned him, had the author been giving a complete catalogue of the Jewish canonical writers. But that is not the case. He mentions several who never pretended to be inspired writers, and omits others who really were so. No mention is made of Job and Ezra, and of the books under their names, as well as of Daniel; and who can account for the silence of authors in any particular at this distance of time? Daniel is proposed (1 Macc. ii. 60) as a pattern by the father of the Maccabees, and his wisdom is highly recommended by Ezekiel; and these are sufficient testimonies of his antiquity, without the confirmation of a later writer.

7. It is objected, that Jonathan, who made the Chaldee paraphrases on the prophets, has omitted Daniel; from whence it should seem, the book of Daniel was not of that account with the Jews, as the other books of the prophets were. But there are other books, which were always accounted canonical among the Jews, and yet have no Chaldee paraphrases extant, as the books of Ezra and Nehemiah. Jonathan might perhaps not make a Targum or Chaldee paraphrase on Daniel, because half of the book is written in Chaldee. Or he might have made a Targum on Daniel, and that Targum may have been lost, as other ancient Targums have been destroyed by the injury of time; and there are good proofs in the Misra and other writers cited by Bishop Chandler, that there was an ancient Targum on Daniel. But tho’ Jonathan made no Targum on Daniel, yet in his interpretation of other prophets, he frequently applies the prophecies of Daniel, as fuller and clearer in describing the same events; and consequently Daniel was in his esteemed a prophet, at least of equal authority with those before him. The ranking of Daniel among the Hagiographa, and not among the prophets, was done by the Jews since Christ’s time for very obvious reasons. He was always esteemed a prophet by the ancient Jewish church. Our Saviour calleth him Daniel the prophet; and Josephus (7) speaketh of him as one of the greatest of the prophets.

8. That part of Daniel, says the objector, which is written in Chaldee, is near the stile of the old Chaldee paraphrases; which being composed many hundred years after Daniel’s time, must have a very different stile from that used in his time, as any one may judge from

the nature of language, which is in a constant flux, and in every age deviating from what it was in the former. And therefore that part could not be written at a time very remote from the date of the eldest of those Chaldee paraphrases. But by the same argument Homer cannot be so ancient an author, as he is generally reputed, because the Greek language continued much the same many hundred years after his time. Nay, the stile of Daniel’s Chaldee differs more from that of the old Chaldee paraphrases, than Homer doth from the latest of the Greek classic writers: and when it was said by Prideaux and Kidder, whose authority the objector alleges, that the old Chaldee paraphrases came near to the Chaldee of Daniel, it was not said absolutely but comparatively, with respect to other paraphrases, which did not come near to Daniel’s purity.

9. It is objected that the Jews were great composers of books under the names of their renowned prophets, to do themselves honor, and particularly under the name of Daniel: and the book of Daniel seems composed to do honor to the Jews, in the person of Daniel, in making a Jew superior to all the wise men of Babylon. If there is any force in this objection, it is this. There have been books counterfeited under the names of men of renown, therefore there can be no genuine books of the same men. Some pieces in Greek have been forged under the name of Daniel, and therefore he wrote no books in Chaldee and Hebrew long before those forgeries. In like manner some poems have been ascribed to Homer and Virgil, which were not of their composing; and therefore the one did not compose the Iliad, nor the other the Aeneid. Some false writings have been attributed to St. Peter and St. Paul; and therefore there are no true writings of those apostles. Such arguments sufficiently expose and refute themselves. One would think the inference should rather lie on the other side. Some books have been counterfeited in the name of this or that writer; and therefore that there were some genuine books of his writing, is a much more probable presumption than the contrary.

10. The tenth objection is, that the author of the book of Daniel appears plainly to be a writer of things past, after a prophetical manner, by his uncommon punctuality, by not only foretelling things to come, like other prophets, but fixing the time when the things were to happen. But other prophets and other prophecies have prefixed the times for several events; as 120 years for the continuance of the antediluvian world; 400 years for the sojourning of Abraham’s seed in a strange land; 40 years for the peregrination of the children of Israel; 65 years for Ephraim’s continuing a people; 70 years for the desolation of Tyre; 70 years for Judah’s captivity; and the like: and therefore the fixing of the times cannot be a particular objection against the prophecies of Daniel. Daniel may have done it in more instances than any other prophet: but why might not God, if he was so pleased, foretell the dates and periods of any events, as well as the events themselves? Josephus, whom the objector hath quoted upon this occasion, differs totally from him. He (8) ascribes this punctuality to divine revelation, not like the objector, to the late composition of the book. He infers from it that Daniel was one of the greatest prophets, not like the objector, that he was no prophet at all.

Lastly it is objected, that the book of Daniel sets forth facts very imperfectly, and often contrary to other historical relations, and the whole is written in a dark and emblematical stile, with images and symbols unlike the books of other prophets, and taken from the schools of the Greeks. As to Daniel’s setting forth facts very imper-

(8) Τα γεγονότα, ὃ πασα σημεραίνει, καταλείποντα σπεύδασμα τοῦ θεοῦ ἔχει τι καὶ νῦν καὶ ἐπιτευχθέντα εἰς αὐτόν ἢ ἄκουσθαι ἐρρίζεται τῷ θεῷ. Μετά τα μετέπειτα μὲνον προφητείαιν διεξάγεται, καί ὡς ἄλλοι προφήται οὕτω καὶ παρεχθῇ περὶ τῶν τετυχεῖσθαι, μετὰ τὸν ἐκείνον αὐτὸν τούτου αἰτίαν ἐκεῖνος ἐκ νῦν ἐκεῖνος ἐρρίζεται. Ιδοὺ εἰς ἐκείνην ἀπευθεῖται, καὶ οὗτος ἡ προφητεία, εἰς τὸν τετυχθέντα ἐκεῖνον. Ποιησμὸν ἐν τοῖς κείμενοισιν, προφητικὸς τρόπος, μεταβολὴ τὰς ἐκ τοῦ θεοῦ ἀπεισοδομής. Εἰς μὲν μετὰ τὸ τέλεσθαι, προφητείαν ἐκείνον, προφητείαν. Πρὸς τοὺς ἀνθρώπους τῶν νεωτέρων λαοὺς, τὸν τέλεσθαι τῶν ἀνθρώπων, προβλέπουσαν ὑποτελείμενα.
fectly, he is perfect enough for his design, which was not to write a history but prophecies, and history only so far as it relates to his prophecies. As to his writing contrary to other historical relations, it is false. For most of the main facts related by him are confirmed even by heathen historians: but if he contradicted them, yet he would deserve more credit, as he was more ancient than any of them, and lived in the times whereof he wrote. As to his emblems being unlike the books of other prophets, and taken from the schools of the Greeks, this is also false. For the like emblems are often used by other prophets, and are agreeable to the stile and genius of all the eastern writers of his time. They were so far from being taken from the schools of the Greeks, that on the contrary, if they were ever used by the Greeks, the Greeks borrowed them from the oriental writers. But after all how doth this last objection consist and agree with the fifth and tenth? There divers matters of fact were spoken of with the clearness of history, and the author was convicted of forgery by his uncommon punctuality. Here all is dark and emblematical, imperfect and contrary to other histories. Such objections contradict and destroy one another. Both may be false, both cannot be true.

These objections being removed, what is there wanting of external or internal evidence to prove the genuineness and authenticity of the book of Daniel? There is all the external evidence that can well be had or desired in a case of this nature; not only the testimony of the whole Jewish church and nation, who have constantly received this book as canonical; but of Josephus particularly, who recommends him as the greatest of the prophets; of the Jewish Targums and Talmuds, which frequently cite and appeal to his authority; of St. Paul and St. John, who have copied many of his prophecies; of our Saviour himself, who citeth his words and stileth him Daniel the prophet; of ancient historians, who relate many of the same transactions; of the mother of the seven sons and of the father of the Maccabees, who both recommend the example of Daniel to their sons;

of old Eleazar in Egypt, who praying for the Jews, then suffering under the persecution of Ptolemy Philopater, (3 Macc. vi. 6, 7.) mentions the deliverance of Daniel out of the den of lions, together with the deliverance of the three men out of the fiery furnace; of the Jewish high-priest, who showed Daniel's prophecies to Alexander the Great, while he was at Jerusalem; and still higher, of Ezekiel, a contemporary writer, who greatly extolls his piety and wisdom. Nor is the internal less powerful and convincing than the external evidence: for the language, the stile, the manner of writing, and all other internal marks and characters are perfectly agreeable to that age; and he appears plainly and undeniably to have been a prophet by the exact accomplishment of his prophecies, as well those which have been already fulfilled, as those which are now fulfilling in the world.

The genuineness and authenticity of the book of Daniel being therefore established beyond all reasonable contradiction, we may now proceed in our main design; and the vision of the ram and he-goat, and the prophecy of the things noted in the scripture of truth, and the transactions of the kings of the north and the south, will find sufficient matter for our meditations this year. Another year will be fully employed on our Saviour's prophecies of the destruction of Jerusalem and the dispersion of the Jews, together with St. Paul's prophecies of the Man of Sin, and of the apostasy of the latter times. The last and most difficult task of all will be an analysis or explication of the Apocalypse or Revelation of St. John. It is a hazardous attempt, in our little bark, to venture on that dangerous ocean, where so many stouter vessels and able pilots have been shipwrecked and lost; but possibly we may be the better able to sail through it, coming prepared, carefree and sheathed as I may say for such a voyage, by the assistance of the former prophets, having particularly Daniel and St. Paul as our pole star and compass, and begging withall of God's holy Spirit to steer and direct our course. The conclusion will consist of reflexions and
and inferences from the whole. In this manner with the divine assistance, shall be employed the three years, which is the period usually allotted to these exercises; and it is hoped that the design of the honourable founder will in some measure be answered by proving the truth of revelation from the truth of prophecy. It was indeed a noble design after a life spent in the study of philosophy, and equally devoted to the service of religion, to benefit posterity not only by his own useful and numerous writings, theological as well as philosophical, but also by engaging the thoughts and pens of others in defense of natural and revealed religion; and some of the best tracts on these subjects in the English language, or indeed in any language, are owing to his institution. This is continuing to do good even after death; and what was said of Abel’s faith, may also be said of his, that by it he being dead, yet speaketh.

From the instance of this excellent person, and some others who might be mentioned, it appears that there is nothing inconsistent in science and religion, but a great philosopher may be a good Christian. True philosophy is indeed the handmaid of true religion: and the knowledge of the works of nature will lead one to the knowledge of the God of nature, the invisible things of him being clearly seen by the things which are made; even his eternal power and godhead. They are only minute philosophers, who are sceptics and unbelievers. Smatterers in science, they are but smatterers in religion. Whereas the most eminent philosophers, those who have done honor to the nation, done honour to human nature itself, have also been believers and defenders of revelation, have studied scripture as well as nature, have searched after God in his word as well as in his works, and have even made comments on several parts of holy writ. So just and true is the observation of the (9) Lord Bacon, one of the illustrious persons here intended: “A little philosophy inclineth man’s mind to atheism, but depth in philosophy bringeth men’s minds about to religion.”

(9) Lord Bacon’s Essays, XVII.

**Daniel’s Vision of the Ram and the Goat.**

**Hitherto** the prophecies of Daniel, that is from the fourth verse of the second chapter to the eighth chapter, are written in Chaldæe. As they greatly concerned the Chaldæans, so they were published in that language. But the remaining prophecies are written in Hebrew, because they treat altogether of affairs subsequent to the times of the Chaldæans, and no ways relate to them, but principally to the church and people of God. Which is a plain proof, that the scriptures were originally written in such a manner as they might be best understood by the people: and consequently it is defeating the very end and design of writing them to take away the key of knowledge, and to keep them locked up in an unknown tongue. We may observe too that in the former part of the book of Daniel he is too generally spoken of in the third person, but in the latter part he speaketh of himself in the first person, which is some kind of proof that this part was written by himself if the other was not, but probably this diversity might arise from the different dates, the one being written some time after the other.

Daniel’s former vision of the four great beasts, representing the four great empires of the world, was (vii. 1.) in the first year of Belshazzar king of Babylon. He had another vision in the third year of the reign of the same king Belshazzar, that is (1) about 553 years before Christ. (viii. 1.) In the third year of the reign of king Belshazzar, a vision appeared unto me, even unto me Daniel, after that which appeared unto me at the first. It was exhibited to him (ver. 2.) at the palace in Shushan, and by the side of the river Ulai, or Eulæus, as it is

(1) See Usher, Prideaux, and other chronologers.
called by the Greeks and Romans. And I saw in a vision, (and it came to pass when I saw, that I was at Shushan, in the palace, which is in the province of Elam) and I saw in a vision, and I was by the river Ulai. So likewise the prophet Ezekiel saw visions by the river Chebar; as if the holy Spirit had delighted to manifest himself in such retired scenes; and the gifts and graces of the Spirit are often in scripture language described by the metaphors of springs and streams of water, than which nothing was more agreeable and refreshing in hot and dry countries.

Such was the time and place of the vision. The vision itself was of a ram and he-goat. And we may observe with the learned (2) Bochart that others also have had like visions, portending future events. So Plutarch reports in the life of Sylla, that two great goats were seen fighting in Campania, and suddenly the vision vanished: not long afterwards in that very place Sylla having routed and slain seven thousand men, besieged the consul in Capua. In the Brutus of Accius, which is cited by Cicero in his first book of Divination, Tarquinius Superbus relates his dream, "that a shepherd drove his flock to him; two rams of the same breed were selected from thence, both choice and beautiful, and he killed the finer of them; the other rushed upon him with his


Visum est in somnis pastorum ad me appellere. Pecus lanigerum eximia pulchritudine, Duos consanguineos aristes inde eligi. Senioriorumque aliterum immolare me: Deinde ejus germanum cornibus committer In me aristeas, coque me ad casum datur: Exim prostratum terram graviter sanctum. Respinum in ocelo controvi maximum, &c.


horns, and cast him down, and wounded him." These rams of the same breed signified Lucius Junius Brutus and his brother; one of whom was slain by Tarquin, and the other rose against Tarquin, and despoiled him of his kingdom. So that the probabilities of the poets and historians bear some resemblance to the realities of holy writ. Or rather, in this instance of prophecy, as in the ceremonies of religion and the modes of government, God was pleased to condescend and conform to the customs and manners of the age, to make thereby a stronger impression on the minds of the people. Nor is such a condescension unworthy of the deity, nor unsuitable to the other methods of his providence, but is rather an argument of his infinite goodness.

In the former vision there appeared four beasts, because there were four empires represented: but here are only two, because here we have a representation of what was transacted chiefly within two empires. The first of the four empires, that is the Babylonian, is wholly omitted here, for its fate was sufficiently known, and it was now drawing very near to a conclusion. The second empire in the former vision, is the first in this; and what was there compared to a bear, is here prefigured by a ram. Then I lifted up mine eyes, saith Daniel, (ver. 3.) and saw, and behold, there stood before the river, a ram which had two horns, and the two horns were high; but one was higher than the other, and the higher came last. The ram, with two horns, according to the explanation of the angel Gabriel, was the empire of the Medes and Persians; (ver. 20.) The ram which thou sawest having two horns, are the kings or kingdoms of Media and Persia. The source of this figure of horns for kingdoms, as a (3)

(3) Quam melius itaque ex linguis orientibus potuisse hujus regni orni? quibus, ut id est jam continent, eadem voce coram, corona, potestas, splendor mundatur. Unde coram, regnum insigne apud Pharnaces, et Hebraeorum נַעַם seu coram Chaldearum interpretationibus aliquot coram seu regnum redditur, ut vidit illustris Gratianus: et coram pro regno et regnum passim in veteribus ferebant. Quam autem melius esse orni a horn, cor, power and splendor? Hinc enim inter Phrynicos, a horn was an ensign of royalty; and the Hebrew word נַעַם or horn, is sometimes by the Chaldees interpreters rendered נַעַם or kingdom, as
Hebrew word for Persia, both springing from the same root, and both implying something of strength, the one is not improperly made the type of the other. The propriety of it appears farther from hence, as is suggested likewise by another writer in the general preface to Mr. Mede's works, that it was usual for the king of Persia to wear a ram's head made of gold, and adorned with precious stones, instead of a diadem; for so (8) Annianus Marcellinus describes him. Bishop Chandler and others farther (9) observe, that "rams heads with horns, one "higher and the other lower, are still to be seen on the "pillars at Persepolis."

The great exploits of the ram are recapitulated in the next verse. (ver. 4.) I saw the ram pushing westward and northward and southward, so that no beasts might stand before him, neither was there any that could deliver out of his hand, but he did according to his will, and became great.

Under Cyrus himself, the Persians pushed their conquests westward (1) as far as the Egean sea and the bounds of Asia; northward they subdued (2) the Armenians, Cappadocians, and various other nations: southward they conquered Egypt, if not under Cyrus as (3) Xenophon affirms, yet most certainly under (4) Cambyses, the illustrious Grotius hath remarked: and everywhere in the Old Testament. horns are used to signify kingdoms and kings."

...
Dissertations on

Dissertations on

son and successor of Cyrus. Under Darius they sub-
ced (5) India, but in the prophecy no mention is made
their conquests in the east, because those countries lay
remote from the Jews and were of little concern or
sequence to them. The ram was strong and powerful,
that no beasts might stand before him, neither was
were any that could deliver out of his hand; that is none
the neighbouring kingdoms were able to contend with
Persians, but all fell under their dominion. He did
according to his will, and became great: and the Persian
empire was increased and enlarged to such a degree, that it
extended (Esther i. 1.) from India even unto Ethiopia;
or an hundred and seven and twenty provinces; so that
seven provinces were added to the hundred and twenty
an. vi. 1.) which it contained in the time of Cyrus.

After the ram the he-goat appears next upon the
scene. And as I was considering, saith Daniel, (ver. 5.)
hold, an he-goat came from the west on the face of the
broad earth, and touched not the ground: and the goat
was a notable horn between his eyes. Which is thus inter-
preted by the angel Gabriel, (ver. 21.) The rough goat is
king of Greece, and the great horn that is between his
eyes, is the first king, or kingdom. A goat is very pro-
bly made the type of the Grecian or Macedonian em-
ce, because the Macedonians at first, about two hundred
years before Daniel, were denominated Aegaeae or the
Ptolemy's people; and upon this occasion, as heathen authors
speak, Caranus, their first king, going with a great
multitude of Greeks to seek new habitations in Ma-
donia, was commanded by the oracle to take the
place of the first seat of their kingdom was, from their
founder, Caranus, about two hundred years before
the time of Daniel. The occasion of this name is related by Justin the
abridger of Trogus, Book VII., whose words I shall quote: "Caranus," he saith, "with a great multitude of Greeks, having been ordered by the
oracle, to take a place of residence in Macedonia, came into Aetolia, and
following a flock of goats that were running to shelter themselves from a
shower of rain, he entered into, and made himself master of Edessa, the
inhabitants of that town not perceiving his approach by reason of the great-
ness of the fog and rain. Then calling the oracle to remembrance, which
ordered him to go in quest of a kingdom, having goats for his guides, he
made that city the seat of his government. Afterwards whenever he took
his field, he was careful to make use of the figures of goats on his standards,
that he might have them to favour his enterprises, which had paved the
way for laying the foundation of his kingdom. To perpetuate the remem-
- bance of the favour done to him, he called the city of Edessa, by the name
of Aega, and his people Aegaei, &c."

The Prophecies.

bantur, typae caprumin, quse birci figura designatur. Ecce, imput, hircum
caprum (id est, caprum maruit) venit ob accidenti, &c. Imput autem
Alexanderum magnum, Aegaeum regem. Ili Macedones sunt. Haec enim
gens icta vocabatur quia prima regis sedes erat, a Carano conditore, decu-
Uebnaeus ante Daniela manus. Occasione nominis ex Trago refer-
capitator. Justinus Lib. 7, eius verba ascribere non gravavam. "Carnum,
imput, cum magna multitudine Graecorum, sedes in Macedonia reprom-
"oraclum junxerat quere, cum in Aetolia venisset, urbem Edessam non
sentiuntur oppidum propter inimicum et nubile magnitudinem, gregem
"caprum incohum, quotiens exspectabatur: revocatoque, omen
ob inobscurum, quum erat densus capris imperium querens, regni se
"de sedem, religiosumque postea observavit, quae in caprurne moverat,
ante signa eamdiu caprae habuerat, caprurnm ducem habitumque sustinebat
"inhabentur aures. Utrum Edessam ob memoriam munera Aegaei, pul-
po populum Aegaeum vocavit." "Nor does there seem to be wanting an
instance of an allusion of this kind, in Dan. viii., where the Macedonians, who
at that time were called Aegaeae, (that is goat's people,) are pointed out
under the type of goats, and their king marked out by the figure of a he-
goat. "Behold," saith he, "an he-goat come from the west," &c. He means
Alexander the Great, the king of the Aegaeae. These are the Macedonians.
For so that nation was called, from the place of the first seat of their
kingdom was, from their founder, Caranus, about two hundred years before
the time of Daniel. The occasion of this name is related by Justin the
abridger of Trogus, Book VII., whose words I shall quote: "Caranus," he saith, "with a great multitude of Greeks, having been ordered by the
oracle, to seek a place of residence in Macedonia, came into Aetolia, and
following a flock of goats that were running to shelter themselves from a
shower of rain, he entered into, and made himself master of Edessa, the
inhabitants of that town not perceiving his approach by reason of the great-
ness of the fog and rain. Then calling the oracle to remembrance, which
ordered him to go in quest of a kingdom, having goats for his guides, he
made that city the seat of his government. Afterwards whenever he took
in a manner to fly over the ground without touching it. For the same reason the same empire in the former vision was likened to a leopard, which is a swift nimble animal, and to denote the greater quickness and impetuosity, to a leopard with four wings. And the goat had a notable horn between his eyes; this horn, saith the angel, is the first king, or kingdom of the Greeks in Asia, which was erected by Alexander the Great, and continued for some years in his brother Philip Arridaeus, and his two younger sons Alexander Aegus and Hercules. Dean Prideaux, speaking of the swiftness of Alexander's marches, hath a (9) passage, which is very pertinent to our present purpose. "He flew with victory swifter than others can travel, often with his horse pursuing his enemies upon the spur whole days and nights, and sometimes making long marches for several days one after the other, as once he did in pursuit of Darius of near forty miles a day for eleven days together. So that by the speed of his marches he came upon his enemy before they were aware of him, and conquered them before they could be in a posture to resist him. Which exactly agreeeth with the description given of him in the prophecies of Daniel some ages before, he being in them set forth under the similitude of a panther or leopard with four wings: for he was impetuous and fierce in his warlike expeditions, as a panther after its prey, and came on upon his enemies with that speed, as if he flew with a double pair of wings. And to this purpose he is in another place of those prophecies compared to an he-goat coming from the west with that swiftness upon the king of Media and Persia, that he seemed as if his feet did not touch the ground. And his actions, as well in this comparison as in the former, fully verified the prophecy.

In the next two verses we have an account of the Grecians overthrowing the Persian empire (ver. 6, 7.) And he came to the ram that had two horns, which I had seen standing before the river, and ran unto him in the fury of his power. And I saw him come close unto the ram, and he was moved with choler against him, and smote the ram, and brake his two horns, and there was no power in the ram to stand before him, but he cast him down to the ground, and stamped upon him; and there was none that could deliver the ram out of his hand. The ram had before pushed westward, and the Persians in the (1) reigns of Darius Hyssaspis and Xerxes had poured down with great armies into Greece; but now the Grecians in return carried their arms into Asia, and the he-goat invaded the ram that had invaded him. And he came to the ram that had two horns, which I had seen standing before the river, and ran unto him in the fury of his power. One can hardly read these words without having some image of Darius's army standing and guarding the river (2) Granicus, and of Alexander on the other side with his forces plunging in, swimming across the stream, and rushing on the enemy with all the fire and fury that can be imagined. It was certainly a strange rash mad attempt with only about thirty-five thousand men to attack, at such disadvantage, an army of more than five times the number: but he was successful in it, and this success diffused a terror of his name, and opened his way to the conquest of Asia. And I saw him come close unto the ram: he had several close engagements or set battles with the king of Persia, and particularly at the river Granicus in Parygia, at the straits of Issus in Cilicia, and in the plains of Arbela in Assyria. And he was moved with choler against him, for (3) the cruelties which the Persians had exercised towards the Grecians: and for (4) Darius's attempting to corrupt sometimes

(1) Herod. Lib. 6 et 7.
(2) Arrian. de Expell. Alex. Lib. 1. Cap. 14, &c. Sic Granicum, tot millibus equitum politiunque in ulterioris stantibus ripa, superavit. ["Thus he passed the Granics, notwithstanding so many thousands of cavalry and infantry were ranged on the opposite bank."] Quint. Curt. Lib. 4, Cap. 9.
(4) Quint. Curt. Lib. 4, Cap. 11. Verum enim vero, quomodo militum mos literis ad priditionem, modo amicos ad neminem, quam persecutus est, non ut justus hostis, sed ut percessor veneficus. ["But truly, since sometimes by his letters, he im-
his soldiers to betray him, and sometimes his friends to destroy him; so that he would not listen to the most advantageous offers of peace, but determined to pursue the Persian king, not as a generous and noble enemy, but as a poisoner and a murderer, to the death that he deserved. And he smote the ram, and brake his two horns: he subdued Persia and Media with the other provinces and kingdoms of the Persian empire; and it is memorable, that in (5) Persia he barbarously sacked and burned the royal city of Persepolis, the capital of the empire: and in (6) Media, Darius was seised and made prisoner by some of his own traitor-subjects, who not long afterwards basely murdered him. And there was no power in the ram to stand before him, but he cast him down to the ground, and stamped upon him; he conquered wherever he came, routed all the forces, took all the cities and castles, and entirely subverted and ruined the Persian empire. And there was none that could deliver the ram out of his hand; not even his numerous armies could defend the king of Persia, though his forces (7) in the battle of Issus amounted to six hundred thousand men, and (8) in that of Arbela to ten or eleven hundred thousand, whereas the (9) whole number of Alexander's was not more than forty seven thousand in either engagement. So true is the observation of the Psalmist, (xxxiii. 16) there is no king saved by the multitude of an host: and especially when God hath decreed the fall of empires, then even the greatest must fall. The fortune of Alexander, of which so much hath been said; (1) Plutarch has written a whole treatise about it; the

fortune of Alexander, I say, was nothing but the providence of God.

When Alexander was at Jerusalem, these prophecies were shown to him by the high-priest, according to the (2) relation of Josephus. For while Alexander lay at the siege of Tyre, he sent to Jaddua the high-priest at Jerusalem to demand provisions for his army, and the tribute that was annually paid to Darius. But the high-priest refused to comply with these demands by reason of his oath of allegiance to the king of Persia. Alexander therefore in great rage vowed to revenge himself upon the Jews: and as soon as he had taken Tyre and Gaza, he marched against Jerusalem. The high-priest in this imminent danger had recourse to God by sacrifices and supplications: and as he was directed in a vision of the night, he went forth the next day in his pontifical robes, with all the priests in their proper habits, and the people in white apparel, to meet the conqueror, and to make their submissions to him. As soon as the king saw the high-priest coming to him in this solemn procession, he advanced eagerly to meet him, and bowing down himself before him, received him with religious awe and veneration. All present were astonished at this behaviour of the king, so contrary to their expectation; and Parmenio in particular demanded the reason of it, why he whom all others adored, should pay such adoration to the Jewish high-priest. Alexander replied, that he paid not this adoration to him, but to that God whose priest he was: for while he was at Dio in Macedonia, and was meditating upon his expedition against the king of Persia, there appeared unto him in a dream this very man, and in this very habit, inviting him to come over into Asia, and promising him success in the conquest of it: and now he was assured that he had set out upon this expedition under the conduct of God, to whom therefore he paid this adoration in the person of his high-priest. Hereupon he entered Jerusalem in

(2) Josephi Antiq. Lib. 11. C. 8.
peace, and went up and offered sacrifices to God in the temple, where the high-priest produced and laid before him the prophecies of Daniel, wherein it was written that a king of Grecia should overthrow the Persian empire, which he interpreted of himself. After this he granted peculiar privileges to the Jews, and proceeded in his expedition with full confidence and assurance of success.

Some persons have rejected this account as fabulous, particularly (5) Van Dale, Mr. Moyle, and Collins, who says that it is "an entire fiction unsupported, and "inconsistent with history and chronology, and roman- "tic in its circumstances." But (4) Bishop Lloyd, Dean Prideaux, Bishop Chandler and others have sufficiently indicated the truth of the story. Even Bayle himself, who was never thought to be over-credulous, admits the fact: and it must be said, though some things are extraordinary, yet there is nothing incredible in the whole relation. Alexander lay seven months at the siege of Tyre; in that time he might well want provisions for his army; and it is no wonder that he had to send some into Judean, when the Tyrians themselves used to be supplied from thence. (1 Kings v. 9, 11. Ezekiel xxvii. 17. Acts xii. 20.) The fidelity of the Jews to Darius, and their regard to their oath was nothing more than they practised upon other occasions; for the same reason (5) they would not submit to Ptolemy, having taken an oath to another governor; and Ptolemy afterwards rewarded them for it in Egypt, and (6) committed the most important garrisons and places of trust to their keeping, thinking that he might safely rely upon them, who had proved themselves so steady and faithful to their former princes and governors, and particularly

to Darius king of Persia. That Alexander was in Judea, I think we may collect from other authors. Arrian says, (7) that he subdued all that part of Syria which was called Palestine. Pliny affirms, (8) that the balsam-tree, which grew only in Judea, was cut and bled a certain quantity in a day, while Alexander was waging war in those parts. Justin informs us, (9) that he went into Syria, where many princes of the east met him with their mitres; upon which passage the note of Isaac Vossius is very just and pertinent, (1) "I think that Justin had respect to that memorable history, which Josephus relates of Judæa the high-priest of the Jews."

If Alexander therefore came into Judea, as he certainly did, it was prudent in the Jews, though they refused to succour him at a distance, yet to submit to him upon his nearer approach; it was in vain to withstand the conqueror, and the terror of his name was now become very great by his victories, and especially after the dreadful execution that he had made at Tyre and at Gaza. While Alexander was at Jerusalem, it was natural enough for the high-priest to show him the prophecies of a king of Grecia overcoming the king of Persia. Nothing could be devised more likely to engage his attention, to confirm his hopes, and to conciliate his favor to the whole nation. And for his sacrificing in the temple, it is no more than (2) other heathen princes have done, it is no more than he did in other places. He

(7) Arrian. de Expedit. Alex. Lib. 2. Cap. 26. p. 101. Edit. Gronov. Καί ὁ αὐτοῦ το μετὰ τινὰς τε Παλαιστίνης κατακτήμενης Συρίας οὐσίας εὐφημικοτάτης ἐπιστολή. Et cancellariu Syriam, quae Palestina vocatur, oppida in saepe potentatem adlexerat. [And he had already brought into subjection the rest of the towns in that part of Syria called Palestine.]

(8) Plin. Nat. Hist. Lib. 12. Cap. 29. Sect. 54. Edit. Harduin. Alexandros magno res ibi gerente, ito die die cetera quantum concham implici justum crat. [While Alexander the Great was carrying on war in these parts, the quantity which a centaur contained was about two Spanish.]


(1) Puto respeciere Justiumm ed memorabiliu illum historiam, quam Josephus de Judæo, summum Judaeorum saecul recruited, narrat. [Translated in the text.]

might perhaps consider God as a local deity, and offer sacrifices to him at Jerusalem, as he did to Hercules at Tyre, and to Jupiter Hammon in Egypt, and to Belus in Babylon.

What are then the great objections to the credibility of this story? it is pretended, that it is inconsistent with chronology; for Josephus places this event after the sieges of Tyre and of Gaza, whereas (3) all historians agree that Alexander went directly from Gaza to Egypt in seven days. But the best historians do not always relate facts in exact order of time, as they happened; they connect things of a sort together, and often mention later occurrences first, reserving what they think more important for the last place; and such possibly might be the intention of Josephus. Eusebius affirms, that (4) Alexander went after the siege of Tyre immediately to Jerusalem; and he might have good authority for affirming so, living as he did in Palestine; and with him agree Usher, Prideaux, and the best chronologers. And indeed it is most probable, that Alexander's progress was from Tyre to Jerusalem, and from Jerusalem to Gaza; because his resentment of the affront that he had received was then fresher in his mind, and Jerusalem lay not much out of the way from Tyre to Gaza, and it was not likely that he should leave a place of such strength and importance unattended behind him. But if Josephus was mistaken about two months in point of time, yet such a mistake is not sufficient to shake the credit of his whole relation. What historian is there almost who hath not fallen into a mistake of the like kind? and yet after all Josephus might not be mistaken, for Alexander might march against Jerusalem from Gaza, either during the siege, or after it. Arrian informs us, (5) that while the siege of Tyre was carrying on, and the machines and ships were building, Alexander with some troops of horse and other forces went into Arabia, and having reduced that part of the country to his obedience partly by force, and partly by treaty, he returned to the camp in eleven days: and why might he not make such an excursion from Gaza for a few days, during the two months that his army was besieging it? or after he had taken the city, why might he not with part of the army go to Jerusalem, and leave the other part to rest themselves at Gaza? Jerusalem lay at no very great distance from Gaza, and a person of Alexander's expedition might go and return within a very few days. The historians say indeed, that he came into Egypt in seven days after he departed from Gaza; but none of them say how long he stayed at Gaza, to refresh his army after the siege. We know from (6) Diodorus, that he stayed long enough to settle the affairs of the country about Gaza; and why might he not in that time make this visit to Jerusalem?

Another objection is taken from the silence of authors, who would hardly have passed over so memorable a transaction, if there had been any truth in it: but it is not so much as mentioned by any of the heathen historians; it is supported entirely by the testimony of Josephus. But if we reject all relations, which rest upon the credit of a single historian, ancient history will be shrunk into a very narrow compass. There were numerous writers of the life and actions of Alexander, who were his companions in the wars, or lived in or near his time, as Ptolemy, Aristobulus, and others: but none of their writings have been transmitted down to us; they have all been swallowed up in the gulph between that time and this; and who can be certain that some of them did not record this transaction? It must have been mentioned by some ancient historian; for we see that Justin in a short abridgment of history is thought to have alluded to it; and some other author might have related it at large in all its circumstances. The most copious writers now extant of Alexander's affairs, are Diodorus Siculus, Quintus Curtius, Arrian, and Plutarch; but the eldest of these lived some centuries after Alexander, so that they

---

must have transcripted from former historians: and they
have transcripted variously, as suited their particular
purpose; what one hath inserted, another hath omitted;
and not two of them hath related things exactly alike.
There are actions and sayings of Alexander, which are
omitted by them all, but yet are preserved by other au-
thors: and no wonder then, that with the common preju-
dice of Greeks and Romans, they should omit some par-
ticulars of so remote and so disagreeable a people as the
Jews. The affairs of each province are best related by
the writers of each province. A Jew was most likely to
record the particulars concerning the Jews. And Jo-
sephus thought he may have been thought credulous
in some respects, yet was never charged with forging
of history. His credit as an historian, will upon examina-
tion be found equal almost to the very best. Joseph
Scaliger, who was an exceeding good judge in matters
of this nature, (7) giveth him the character of a most
faithful, a most diligent, and a most learned writer; of
whom, saith he, we may boldly affirm, that not only in
Jewish, but likewise in foreign affairs, we may more
safely rely on his credit, than on all the Greek and Latin
historians together.

There remaineth then no difficulty that can really stick
with us, unless it be the particular interposition of God
in this affair, and the prophetic dreams of Alexander and
the high priest. These things, it must be confessed, are
wonderful; but if we recollect the miraculous interpo-
sitions of God in favor of his people; if we reflect what
a particular providence attended Alexander, and con-
ducted him to conquest and empire; if we consider the
clear and express prophecies concerning him; these
things tho' wonderful, may yet easily be reconciled to
our belief, and will appear perfectly consistent with the
other dispensations of divine providence. Admitting

the truth of the prophecies, we cannot think these ex-
trordinary circumstances at all incredible. These ex-
trordinary circumstances are alleged to confirm the pro-
phecies: and if the prophecies be found mutually to
confirm these extraordinary circumstances, this is so far
from weakening that it strengthens the argument. Indeed
without the supposition of the truth of these circum-
stances, it will be extremely difficult to account for
Alexander's granting so many privileges and favors to
the Jews. He (8) allowed them the free exercise of
their religion; he exempted their land from tribute
every seventh, or the sabbatical year; he settled many
of them at Alexandria with privileges and immunities
equal to those of the Macedonians themselves; and
when the Samaritans had revolted, and murdered the
governor whom he had set over them, he assigned their
country to the Jews, and exempted it in the same man-
er as Judea from tribute, as (9) Josephus hath proved
from Alexander's own letters, and from the testimony
of Hecataeus, a heathen historian. But what were the
merits and services of the Jews, that they should be so
favored and distinguished above other people? There
is no way of accounting for it so probable, as by admitting
the truth of this relation. With this all appears natural
and easy, and is utterly inexplicable without it.

But to return from this digression, if it may be called a
digression, to consider a point of history, that is so
nearly related to our subject. Nothing is fixed and
stable in human affairs; and the empire of the goat,
though exceeding great, was perhaps for that reason the
sooner broken into pieces. (ver. 8.) Therefore the he-goat
waxed very great, and when he was strong, the great
horn was broken; and for it came up four notable ones,
toward the four winds of heaven. Which the angel thus
interprets. (ver. 22.) Now that being broken, whereas four
stood up for it, four kingdoms shall stand up out of the
nation, but not in his power. The empire of the goat
was in its full strength, when Alexander died of a fever
at Babylon. He was succeeded in the throne by his

(7) Josephus, Olimmissimus, diligentissimus, et conditissimus scriptor.
[Translated in the Text.] See the Apostolia Graeca, p. 45. De
Josepho post hoc annuente diebus, non sola in rebus Judaicis, sed etiam
in exteriis nationibus, quod omnibus Graecis et Latinis. [Translated
in the text.] In Prolegomen. de Euentatione Temporum, p. 17.

natural brother Philip.Aridæus, and by his own two sons Alexander Ægus and Hercules: but in the space of (1) about fifteen years they were all murdered, and then the first horn or kingdom was entirely broken. The royal family being thus extinct, the (2) governors of provinces, who had usurped the power, assumed the title of kings: and by the defeat and death of Antigonus in the battle of Ipsus, they were reduced (3) to four, Cassander, Lysimachus, Ptolemy, and Seleucus, who parted Alexander's dominions between them, and divided and settled them into four kingdoms. These four kingdoms are the four notable horns, which came up in the room of the first great horn; and are the same as the four heads of the leopard in the former vision. Four kingdoms shall stand up out of the nation, but not in his power; they were to be kingdoms of Greeks, not of Alexander's own family, but only of his nation; and neither were they to be equal to him in power and dominion, as an empire united is certainly more powerful than the same empire divided, and the whole is greater than any of the parts. They were likewise to extend toward the four winds of heaven: and in the partition of the empire (4), Cassander had Macedonia, and Greece, and the western parts; Lysimachus had Thrace, Bithynia, and the northern regions; Ptolemy possessed Egypt, and the southern countries; and Seleucus obtained Syria and the eastern provinces. Thus they were divided toward the four winds of heaven.

As in the former vision a little horn sprang up among the ten horns of the Roman empire, so here a little

(1) See Usher, Prideaux, and the Chronologers.
(2) Diod. Sic. Lib. 20. Justin. Lib. 15. Cap. 2. "Hujus honorae ornamento tlandu omnes abstantur, quaedam filii regis ann supersesse potuerunt. Tanta in ilia veracitatem fuerit, ut cum oppressus regia habeatur, regum tunc nominibus sequantur carcerem, quum Alexander aequi habuerint." [They restrained from the ensigns of this dignity, as long as any of the sons of their king survived. Such was their moderation, that though they possessed the wealth and power of kings, yet they were contented to pass without the title, so long as there was a lawful heir of Alexander alive.]

horn is described as rising among the four horns of the Grecian empire. (ver. 9, 10, 11, 12.) And out of one of them came forth a little horn, which waxed exceeding great, toward the south, and toward the east, and toward the pleasant land. And it waxed great even to the host of heaven, and it cast down some of the host and of the stars to the ground, and stamped upon them. Yea, he magnified himself even to the host of the host, and by him the daily sacrifice was taken away, and the place of his sanctuary was cast down. And an host was given against the daily sacrifice by reason of transgression, and it cast down the truth to the ground, and it practised, and prospered. All which is thus explained by the angel: (ver. 23, 24, 25.) And in the latter time of their kingdom, when the transgressors are come to the full, a king of fierce countenance, and understanding dark sentences, shall stand up. And his power shall be mighty, but not by his own power: and he shall destroy wonderfully, and shall prosper, and practise, and shall destroy the mighty and the holy people. And through his policy also he shall cause craft to prosper in his hand, and he shall magnify himself in his heart, and by peace shall destroy many: he shall also stand up against the prince of princes, but he shall be broken without hand. This little horn is by the generality of interpreters, both Jewish and Christian, ancient and modern, supposed to mean Antiochus Epiphanes, king of Syria, who was a great enemy and cruel persecutor of the Jews. So (5) Josephus understands the prophecy, and says that 'our nation suffered these calamities under Antiochus Epiphanes, as Daniel saw, and many years before wrote what things should come to pass.' In like manner (6) St. Jerome ex-
Dissertations on Plains it of Antiochus Epiphanes, and says, 'that he fought against Ptolemy Philometor and the Egyptians, that is against the south; and again against the east, and those who attempted a change of government in Persia; and lastly he fought against the Jews, took Jerusalem, and in the temple of God set up the image of Jupiter Olympus.' With St. Jerome agree most of the ancient fathers, and modern divines and commentators; but then they all allow that Antiochus Epiphanes was a type of Antichrist. Antiochus Epiphanes at first sight doth indeed in some features very much resemble the little horn; but upon a nearer view and examination it will evidently appear, that in other parts there is no manner of similitude or correspondence between them. Sir Isaac Newton with that sagacity, which was peculiar to him, and with which he penetrated into scripture as well as into nature, (7) perceived plainly that the little horn could not be drawn for Antiochus Epiphanes, but must be designed for some other subject; and tho' we shall not entirely follow his plan, nor build altogether upon his foundation, yet we shall be obliged to make use of several of his materials. There are then two ways of expounding this prophecy of the little horn, either by understanding it of Antiochus Epiphanes, and considering Antiochus as a type of Antichrist; or by leaving him wholly out of the question, and seeking another application; and which method of the two is to be preferred, will better appear in the progress of this discourse.

A horn in the style of Daniel doth not signify any particular king, but is an emblem of a kingdom. In the former vision the ten horns were not ten kings, but so many kingdoms, into which the Roman empire was divided: and the little horn did not typify a single person, but a succession of men, claiming such prerogatives, and exerting such powers, as are there specified. In this vision likewise the two horns of the ram do not represent the two kings, Darius the Mede and Cyrus the Persian, but the two kingdoms of Media and Persia: and for this plain reason, because the ram hath all along two horns; even when he is attacked by the he-goat, he hath still two horns; but the two kingdoms of Media and Persia had been long united under one king. The horns of the he-goat too prefigure not kings, but kingdoms. The first great horn doth not design Alexander himself, but the kingdom of Alexander, as long as the title continued united in him, and his brother and two sons. The four horns, which arose after the first was broken, are expressly said (ver. 22.) to be four kingdoms: and consequently it should seem, that the little horn cannot signify Antiochus Epiphanes or any single king, but must denote some kingdom; by kingdom meaning, what (8) the ancients meant, any government, state, or polity in the world, whether monarchy, or republic, or of what form soever. Now what kingdom was there, that rose up during the subsistence of the four kingdoms of the Grecian empire, and was advanced to any greatness and eminence, but the Roman? The first great horn was the kingdom of Alexander and his family. The four horns were four kingdoms, not of his family, but only of the nation. Four kingdoms shall stand up out of the nation: and doth not this imply that the remaining kingdom, the kingdom of the little horn, should be not of the nation?

The general character therefore is better adapted to the Romans: and now let us consider the particular properties and actions of the little horn, whether they may be more justly ascribed to Antiochus Epiphanes, or to the Romans. And out of one of them came forth a little horn. Antiochus Epiphanes was indeed the son of Antiochus the great, king of Syria: and he is (9) said to

(8) See this point proved from heathen authors as well as from scripture in the beginning of Mr. Mede's tract intitled Regnum Romanum et regnum quattuor Danicarum. Mede's Works, B. 3. p. 711.
(9) Quid quum obessisset Romae, et senatus senatus cepisset imperium, sc. "Who having been a hostage at Rome, and, without the knowledge of the senate, having seized the empire, &c." Hieron. in Dan. 8, Col. 1185. Edit. Benedict. Antichthon Epiphanem significant, qua hab Romae obess. "It denotes Antiochus Epiphanes, because he was an
be the *little horn*, because he rose from small beginnings to the kingdom, having been many years an hostage at Rome. But then his kingdom was nothing more than a continuation of one of the four kingdoms; it cannot possibly be reckoned as a fifth kingdom springing up among the four; and the *little horn* is plainly some power different and distinct from the four former horns. Is not this therefore more applicable to the Romans, who were a new and different power, who rose from small beginnings to an exceeding great empire, who first subdued Macedon and Greece the capital kingdom of the goat, and from thence spread and enlarged their conquests over the rest? Nor let it seem strange, that the Romans who were prefigured by a great beast in the former vision, should in this be represented only by the *horn* of a beast; for nothing is more usual, than to describe the same person or thing under different images upon different occasions: and besides in this vision the Roman empire is not designed at large, but only the Roman empire as a horn of the goat. When the Romans first got footing in Greece, then they became a horn of the goat. Out of this horn they came, and were at first a little horn, but in process of time over-topped the other horns. From Greece they extended their arms, and overran the other parts of the goat’s dominions: and their actions within the dominions of the goat, and not their affairs in the western empire, are the principal subject of this prophecy. But their actions, which are most largely and particularly specified, are their great persecution and oppression of the people of God: which renders it probable, that the appellation of the *little horn* might be given them for the same reason, that the great persecutor and oppressor of the saints in the western empire is also called the *little horn*.

*Footnote to page 334*:

Vatadus in locum. Antiochus, qui obsecrit Rome, nec a patre designatus rex, sed invasit regnum, &c. [*Antiochus, who was an hostage at Rome, though not appointed king by his father, yet seized the kingdom.*] Clarus in locum. Antiochus modernum primum famae, privatus, et Rome obsecrit, ex post factis dictus Epiphanes. [*Antiochus at first a private person of a moderate fortune, and an hostage at Rome, afterwards was called Epiphanes.*] Grotrius in locum. So likewise Poole, &c.

---

is the same kind of power, and therefore might be signified by the same name.

It will appear too, that the time agrees better with the Romans. *And in the latter time of their kingdom, when the transgressors are come to the full, a king of fierce countenance, and understanding dark sentences, shall stand up.* Antiochus Epiphanes might be said indeed to stand up in the latter time of their kingdom; because Macedonia, the first of the four kingdoms, was conquered and reduced into a Roman province, during his reign. But when he stood up, the transgressors in the Jewish nation were not come to the full; for when he began to reign, (1) Onias was high-priest of the Jews, and the temporal as well as ecclesiastical government was at this time in the hands of the high-priest, and this Onias was a most worthy good magistrate, as well as a most venerable pious priest. As the author of the second book of Maccabees saith, (2 Mac. iii. 1.) the holy city was inhabited with all peace, and the laws were kept very well, because of the godliness of Onias the high-priest, and his hatred of wickedness. It was after this time, that the great corruptions were introduced into the Jewish church and nation; and they were introduced chiefly through the means of Antiochus, by his direction, or under his authority. The Romans might much better be said to stand up in the latter time of their kingdom, who saw the end not only of one kingdom, but of all the four; who first subdued the kingdom of Macedon and Greece, and then inherited the will of Attalus the kingdom of Pergamus, which was the remains of the kingdom of Lycaonius, and afterwards made a province of the kingdom of Syria, and lastly of the kingdom of Egypt. When the Romans stood up too, the transgressions were come to the full; for the high-priesthood was exposed to sale; good Onias was ejected for a sum of money to make room for wicked Jason, and Jason was again supplanted for a greater sum of money by a worse man (if possible) than himself, his brother Menae-

(1) For these and many particulars which follow, the two books of Maccabees, and Josephus’ antitheses of the Jews, not the context.
The prophecies.

by a message from the Romans. The story is worthy of memory. Antiochus Epiphanes was making war upon Egypt, and was to a fair way of becoming master of the whole kingdom. The Romans therefore fearing lest he should grow too powerful by annexing Egypt to the crown of Syria, sent an embassy to him, to require him to desist from his enterprise, or to declare war against him. He was drawing near to besiege Alexandria, when he was met by the three ambassadors from Rome. Popilius, the chief of them, had formerly been his friend and acquaintance, while he was an hostage at Rome; and the king at their first meeting graciously offered him his hand in remembrance of their former friendship. But Popilius declined the compliment, by saying, that private friendship must give place to the public welfare, and he must first know whether the king was a friend to the Roman state, before he could acknowledge him as a friend to himself: and so saying he presented to him the tables which contained the decree of the senate, and desired an immediate answer. Antiochus opened and perused them, and replied that he would consider the matter with his friends, and return his answer very speedily. But Popilius with a word that he carried in his hand drew a circle in the sand round the king, and insisted upon his answer, before he stirred out of that circle. The king astonished at this peremptory and imperious manner of proceeding, after some hesitation, said that he would obey the commands of the senate; and then at length Popilius reached forth his hand to him as a friend and confederate. This incident happened very soon after the conquest of Macedonia, which as it dismayed Antiochus, so it enkindled the Romans to act in this manner; and this being their first memorable action as soon as they became a horn or kingdom of the goat, it is very fitly said of them, more fitly than of Antiochus, a king of fierce countenance shall stand up.

The other actions likewise of the little horn accord better with the Romans. This horn, tho' little at first, yet waxed exceeding great toward the south, and toward the east, and toward the pleasant land. This horn therefore, as Sir Isaac Newton (5) justly observes, was to rise up in the north-west parts of those nations, which composed the body of the goat; and from thence was to extend his dominion towards Egypt, Syria, and Judea. Observe the particulars. He waxed exceeding great: and so did the Roman empire even within the territories of the goat, but not so did Antiochus Epiphanes: for he was so far from enlarging the kingdom of Syria, that it was less in his time than under most of his predecessors, and he (6) left it as he found it, tributary to the Romans.—Toward the south: Antiochus indeed did several times invade Egypt, and gained great advantages over Ptolemy Philometor king of Egypt: but he was never able to make himself absolute master of the country, and annex it to the kingdom of Syria; as the Romans made it a province of their empire, and kept possession of it for several centuries. His designs were frustrated as we have seen, by an embassy from the Romans; and he went out of Egypt baffled and disgraced, a word from them being as effectual as an army.—Toward the east: the Romans did grow very powerful toward the east; they conquered and made a province of Syria, which was the eastern kingdom of the goat: but Antiochus was seated in the east himself, and did not extend his dominions farther eastward. On the contrary the Parthians had withdrawn their obedience from the kings of Syria, and had erected a growing kingdom in the east. Antiochus did indeed (7) vanquish Artaxias, the tributary king of Armenia, who had revolted from him: but this was rather in the north than in the east. He had not the like success among the Persians, who were also dilatory in paying their tribute; for (8) having heard much of the riches of Elymais, and particularly of the temple there, he went thither with a design of seizing the treasures of the city and temple; but the inhabitants rose upon him, repelled and routed him and his army, so that he was forced to fly with disappointment and disgrace out of the country; and soon after he sickened and died.—And toward the pleasant land, that is Judea; for so it is called in the Psalms (cvi. 21.) the pleasant land; and in Jeremiah (iii. 19.) a pleasant land, a goodly heritage; and so twice again afterwards in Daniel. (xi. 16, 41.) Antiochus did indeed take Jerusalem, and miserably harrass and oppress the Jews, as it has been above related: but the Jews in a little time, under the conduct of the Maccabees, recovered their liberties, and established their religion and government in greater splendor and security than before. The Romans more effectually conquered and subdued them, first made a province of their country, and then destroyed their city and temple, and dispersed the people, so that after so fatal a fall they have never from that time to this been able to rise again.

Another remarkable property, that eminently distinguished the little horn from all others, was that his power should be mighty, but not by his own power; which commentators are much at a loss to explain. Some say (9) that he should be mighty not so much by his own industry, as by the gift of God: but so are all horns or kingdoms whatever. Others say, (1) that God should give him this power for the punishment of his people; and others again, (2) that he should obtain it by the factions and perfidy and baseness of the Jews, who should betray their country to him: but these limit

(5) Sir Isaac Newton's Observ. on Daniel, Chap. 9. p. 119, 120.
(6) 2 Macc. viii. 16.
restrain the meaning to a particular subject, to his power over the Jews, whereas it is said in the general, that his power should be mighty, but not by his own power. His power in general, not only over this or that particular people, should be mighty, but not by his own power. The best explanation that they can give of it, who understand the whole of Antiochus Epiphanes, is that he attained to the crown chiefly by the favor and assistance of Eumenes king of Pergamus and Attalus his brother, who having at that time some jealousy of the Romans, were desirous to make the king of Syria their friend: but we do not read that they assisted him in any of his wars afterwards, and neither was his kingdom strengthened by foreign armies or alliances. They who conceive Antiochus to be a type of Antichrist, offer a fairer interpretation, because Antichrist was to exercise an usurped authority, and not his own, and the kings of the earth, according to St. John, (Rev. xvii. 13.) were to give their power and strength unto the beast. But this part of the prophecy, as well as the rest, can no where be so justly and properly applied, as to the Romans. With them it quadrates exactly, and with none of the other horns or kingdoms of the goat. The strength of the other kingdoms consisted in themselves, and had its foundation in some part of the goat: but the Roman empire, as a horn or kingdom of the goat, was not mighty by its own power, was not strong by virtue of the goat, but drew its nourishment and strength from Rome and Italy. There grew the trunk and body of the tree, the branches extended over Greece, Asia, Syria, and Egypt.

The remainder of the prophecy relates mostly to the persecution and oppression of the people of God. And he waxed great even to the host of heaven, (or against the host of heaven) and he cast down some of the host, and the stars to the ground, and stamped upon them, that is, the Jewish state in general, the mighty and the holy people, (ver. 24.) or the Priests and Levites in particular; who are called stars; as they were eminent for their station and illustrious for their knowledge; and the host of heaven, as they watched and served in the temple, and their service is denominated a warfare. (Num. viii. 24, 25.) This passage was in some measure fulfilled by Antiochus Epiphanes as well as by the Romans: but our Saviour making use of the like expressions, (Mat. xxiv. 21.) the stars shall fall from heaven, and the powers of the heavens shall be shaken, in speaking of the destruction of Jerusalem by the Romans, this passage also may more properly be referred to that event.

Yea he magnified himself even to the prince of the host, (or against the prince of the host) and by him the daily sacrifice was taken away, and the place of his sanctuary was cast down. Antiochus did indeed take away the daily sacrifice, but he did not cast down the place of his sanctuary, he did not destroy the temple. He took away the daily sacrifice for a few years, but the Romans for many ages: and the Romans likewise utterly destroyed the temple, which he spoiled only and profaned.

And an host was given him against the daily sacrifice by reason of transgression. The word here translated an host is rendered in other places, (Job vii. 1.) and in the book of Daniel itself, (x. 1.) an appointed time: And an appointed time was given him against the daily sacrifice by reason of transgression: Or as we read in the margin, The host was given over for the transgression against the daily sacrifice, and he cast down the truth to the ground, and he practised, and prospered. Or as the same thing is expressed by the angel: He shall destroy wonderfully, and shall prosper, and practice, and shall destroy the mighty and the holy people; and through his policy also shall he cause craft to prosper in his hand, and he shall magnify himself in his heart, and by peace shall destroy many. But Antiochus did not so mightily destroy the Jews, nor prosper in his practices and designs against them. When he took Jerusalem, (5) he slew forty thousand, and sold forty thousand more; but when the city was besieged and taken by the Romans, (6) the num-

(4) See Lowth's Comment.
(5) 2 Mac. v. 11.
ber of the captives amounted to ninety-seven thousand, and of the slain to eleven hundred thousand. The Romans too carried their conquest and revenge so far, as to put an end to the government of the Jews, and entirely to take away their place and nation. Antiochus meant as much to root out the whole people; his malice was as great, but his success was not equal; for though his forces were victorious at first, yet they were defeated at last, and his (7) generals Apollonius, Seron, Nicanor, and Gorgias, Timothenes and Bacchides, and even Lysias himself, were all shamefully routed one after another: and the news of these defeats hastened his death.

It is farther added, that he shall also stand up against the prince of princes. If by the prince of princes the high-priest be meant, it is very true that Antiochus did put in and put out the high-priests at pleasure, but the Romans took away the whole administration. If by the prince of princes be meant, as most probably was meant, the Messiah, then Antiochus had no share in the completion: it was effected by the Romans. It was by the malice of the Jews, but by the authority of the Romans, that he was put to death; and he suffered the punishment of the Roman malefactors and slaves. And indeed it is very worthy of our most serious consideration, whether this part of the prophecy be not a sketch of the fate and sufferings of the Christian as well as of the Jewish church. Nothing is more usual with the prophets than to describe the religion and worship of later times by metaphors and figures borrowed from their own religion. The Christians may fall as well as the Jews be comprehended under the name of the holy people, or people of the holy ones. And the Romans not only crucified our Saviour, but also persecuted his disciples for above three centuries; and when at length they embraced the Christian religion they soon corrupted it; so that it may be questioned, whether their favor was not as hurtful to the church, as their enmity. As the power of the Roman emperors declined, that of the Roman pontiffs increased; and may it not with equal truth and justice be said of the

latter, as of the former, that they cast down the truth to the ground, and practised, and prospered? How applicable in this sense is every part of the angel’s interpretation! A king of fierce comeliness and understanding dark sentences shall stand up. And his power shall be mighty, but not by his own power; and he shall destroy wonderfully, and shall prosper, and prac ties, and shall destroy the mighty and the holy people. (or the people of the holy ones.) And through his policy also he shall cause craft to prosper in his hand, and he shall magnify himself in his heart, and by peace shall destroy many: he shall also stand up against the prince of princes, but he shall be broken without hand. And this farther opens and explains the reason of the appellation the little horn, The persecuting power of Rome, whether exercised towards the Jews, or towards the Christians, or by the emperors or by the popes, is still the little horn. The tyranny is the same; but as exerted in Greece and the east, it is the little horn of the he-goat or the third empire; as exerted in Italy and the west, it is the little horn of the fourth beast or the fourth empire.

But the little horn, like other tyrannical powers, was to come to a remarkable end; he shall be broken without hand. As the stone in Nebuchadnezzar’s dream was cut out of the mountain without hands, that is not by human, but by supernatural means; so the little horn shall be broken without hand, not die the common death, not fall by the hand of men, but perish by a stroke from heaven. And this agrees perfectly with the former predictions of the fatal catastrophe of the Romans. The stone, that is the power of Christ, (ii. 34.) smote the feet of iron and clay, and brake them to pieces. Again (vii. 11.) I beheld then, because of the voice of the great words which the horn spake; I beheld even till the beast was slain, and his body destroyed, and given to the burning flame. And again (ver. 26.) the judgment shall sit, and they shall take away his dominion, to consume, and to destroy it unto the end. All which implies that the dominion of the Romans shall finally be destroyed with some extraordinary manifestation of the divine power. It is indeed very true, that Antiochus Epiphanes

died in an extraordinary manner. He was returning from his unsuccessful expedition into Persia, when he heard the news of the defeat of his armies in another by the forces of the Maccabees. He set forward therefore in great rage and fury, breathing nothing but death and destruction to the whole generation of the Jews. But in the way he was seised with violent pains in his bowels; and having a fall from his chariot, he was sorely bruised, and his inward pains grew more violent, so that he was not able to proceed in his journey, but was forced to stop at a little town on the road. There he lay in great torment, and filthy ulcers broke out in his body, from whence issued worms, and such a stench, that he became intolerable to others, and even to himself. Nor were the torments and agonies of his mind less than those of his body. He was vexed even to distraction, thought he saw dreadful specters and apparitions, and suffered all the pains and horrors of a guilty conscience; and in this miserable condition he lay pining and rotting till he died. This is the account that is given of his death, and (58) confirmed by Heathen as well as by Jewish historians: but with this difference, that the former ascribes it to the vengeance of the Gods for the sacrilege that he designed to commit at Eleusis; the latter represent it as the just judgment of Heaven for the sacrilege that he really committed at Jerusalem, and for the barbarous slaughter that he made of so many thousands of the Jews: and they say, that he himself upon his death-bed confessed as much: and which of these accounts is the more probable and credible, every intelligent reader will easily determine.

By thus tracing the particulars it appears, that those of them may agree very well with Antiochus Epiphanes, yet others can by no means accord or be reconciled to him: but they all agree and correspond exactly with the Romans, and with no one else: so that the application of the character to them must be the right application. It is therefore surprising, that a man of Dr. Halifax's learning, after so many proofs to the contrary, can however exclaim, that the character "must of necessity be restrained to Antiochus Epiphanes, and to him only." and for such reasons, and for none others than have been obstinately and refuted. The fitness and propriety of the application to the Romans will still farther appear by considering the time that is allotted for the duration and continuance of the vision. I will make thee know, saith the angel to Daniel, (ver. 19.) what shall be in the last end, or to the last end of the indignation: that is, as Mr. Lowth paraphraseth it, "I will explain to thee the whole series of God's judgments upon this people to the end and conclusion of them:" but that end and conclusion is not yet come. There are intimations in the prophets, that God's indignation against his people will be accomplished, and the final destruction of the Roman dominion will fall out about the same period. But the time is more particularly noted. One angel asked another angel, (ver. 13.) How long shall the vision concerning the daily sacrifice and the transgression of desolation, to give both the sanctuary, and the host to be trodden under foot? In the original there is no such word as concerning; and Mr. Lowth rightly observes, that the words may be rendered more agreeably to the Hebrew sense. For how long a time shall the vision last, the daily sacrifice be taken away, and the transgression of desolation continue, &c.? After the same manner the question is translated by the (9) Seventy, and in the Arabic version, and in the Vulgate Latin. The answer is (ver. 14.) Unto two thousand, and three hundred days: then shall the sanctuary be cleansed. In the original it is, Unto two thousand and three hundred evenings and mornings, an evening and morning being in Hebrew the notation of time for a day; and in allusion to this expression it is said afterwards (ver. 25.) The vision of the evening and the morn-
Now these two thousand and three hundred days can by no computation be accommodated to the times of Antiochus Epiphanes, even tho' the days be taken for natural days. Two thousand and three hundred days are six years and somewhat more than a quarter; but the profanation of the altar under Antiochus but lasted three years complete, according to the author of the first book of the Maccabees; (1 Macc. i. 59, compared with iv. 52) and the desolation of the temple, and the taking away of the daily sacrifice by Apollonius continued but three years and a half, according (1) to Josephus. Mr. Mede proposeth a method to reconcile the difference, (2) and saith that the time is "not to be reckoned from the height of the calamity, "when the daily sacrifice should be taken away, (from "thence it is but three years) but from the beginning "of the transgression, which occasioned this desola-"tion, and is described 1 Macc. i. 11, &c." But Antiochus began to reign, according to the author of the first book of the Maccabees, (i. 10.) in the 13th year of the kingdom of the Greeks or era of the Seleucidae; and in those days was the beginning of the transgression, which is described 1 Macc. i. 11, &c. that is ten or eleven years before the cleansing of the sanctuary, which was performed in the 14th year according to the same author; (iv. 52.) Or if we compute the time from Antiochus's first going up against Jerusalem, and spoiling the city and temple, these things were done according to the same author (i. 20.) in the 14th year; so that this reckoning would fall short of the time assigned, as the other exceeds it. The difficulty or impossibility rather of making these two thousand and three hundred days accord with the times of Antiochus, I suppose, obliged the ancients to consider Antiochus as a type of Anti-Christ: and therefore (3) Jerome saith in his com-

an army, and made war upon Philip king of Macedonia, just 300 years before Christ. But if we still retain the common reading, (which probably is the truest and best) "unto two thousand and three hundred days or years," then I conceive they are to be computed from the vision of the he-goat, or Alexander's invading Asia. Alexander invaded Asia (7) in the year of the world 3670, and in the year before Christ 334. Two thousand and three hundred years from that time will draw towards the conclusion of the sixth millennium of the world, and about that period, according to (8) an old tradition, which was current before our Saviour's time, and was probably founded upon the prophecies, great changes and revolutions are expected: and particularly as (9) Rabbi Abraham Sebah saith, Rome is to be overthrown, and the Jewry to be restored. The angel farther affirms the truth and certainty of the vision, and of the time allotted for it. (ver. 26.) The vision of the evening and the morning, which was told, is true: therefore shut thou up the vision, for it shall be for many days. The shutting up of the vision implies, that it should not be understood of some time; and we cannot say that it was sufficiently understood, so long as Antiochus Epiphanes was taken for the little horn. The vision being for many days, must necessarily infer a longer term, than the calamity under Antiochus of three years or three years and an half, or even then the whole time from the first beginning of the vision in Cyrus to the cleansing of the sanctuary under Antiochus, which was not (1) above 371 years. Such a vision could not well be called long to Daniel, who had seen so much longer before; and especially as the time assigned for it is two thousand and three hundred days, which since they cannot by any account be natural days, must needs be prophetic days, or two thousand and three hundred years. Such a vision may properly enough be said to be for many days.

Daniel was much affected with the misfortunes and afflictions, which were to befall the church and people of God, (ver. 27.) And I Daniel fainted, and was sick certain days; afterward I rose up, and did the king's business, and was astonished at the vision, but none understood it. Munster, and Chalmer's who generally transcribes Munster, (2) are of opinion that Daniel was visited by this sickness, lest he should be lifted up by the sublimity of the visions. I presume they thought his case somewhat like St. Paul's, (2 Cor. xi. 7.) who had a thorn in the flesh, or a bodily infirmity, lest he should be exalted above measure thro' the abundance of the revelations. But it is much more probable, that Daniel's sickness proceeded from his grief for his religion and country: as in the former vision he was grieved in his spirit, his cogitations much troubled him, and his countenance changed in him, at the success of the little horn there described. And this is another most conclusive argument, that the calamities under Antiochus Epiphanes could not possibly be the main end and ultimate scope of this prophecy. For the calamities under Antiochus were of small extent and of short duration, in comparison with what the nation had suffered, and was then suffering under Nebuchadnezzar and his successors. Antiochus took the city, but Nebuchadnezzar burnt it to the ground. Antiochus

(2) See Usher, Prideaux, &c.
(9) E. Abraham Sebah in Gen. i. alt, currente sexto annorum mundi calendario Romano etvendum, et Andreae redendorum, [Rabbi Abraham Sebah in Gen. i. saith, that in the course of the sixth millennium of the world, Rome will be destroyed, and the Jews restored.] Ibid. p. 303.
(1) et quod subjicit de aggravatione Daniæ, ostenditur illum prophætæ immissam, ne exaudiarur sublimitate visionum, quasi solus intelligebat. [By what is subsuped concerning Daniel's sickness, it is shown that it came upon the prophet, lest he should be exalted above measure, by the sublimity of the vision which he alone understood.] Munster. Et quod de aggravatione Daniæ ostenditur, illum prophætæ immissam, ne exaudiarur sublimitate visionum, quasi solus intelligebat. [By what he tells us concerning his sickness, it is shown, that it was sent to the prophet, lest he should be too much lifted up by the sublimity of the visions, which he alone understood.] Chalmer's.
profaned the temple, but Nebuchadnezzar utterly destroyed it. Antiochus made captives forty thousand of the Jews, but Nebuchadnezzar carried the whole nation into captivity. Antiochus took away the daily sacrifice for three years and a half, but Nebuchadnezzar abolished all the temple service for seventy years. Why then should Daniel, who had seen and felt these greater calamities, be so much grieved at those lesser disasters of the nation? Present and sensible evils usually affect us most: and therefore that Daniel was so much more affected with the future than with the present, was astonished and fainted, and was sick certain days, can be ascribed to nothing but to his foreseeing, that the future distress and misery of the nation would greatly exceed all that they sustained at present. But the calamities under Antiochus were much less, and much shorter. Those only which they suffered from the Romans, were greater and worse than the evils brought on them by Nebuchadnezzar. And the transgression of desolation hath now continued these 1700 years. They expect, and we expect, that at length the sanctuary will be cleansed, and that in God's determined time his promise will be fully accomplished: (Amos ix. 11, 12. Acts xv. 16, 17.) I will return, and will build again the tabernacle of David, which is fallen down; and I will build again the ruins thereof, and I will set it up; That the residue of men might seek after the Lord, and all the Gentiles upon whom my name is called, saith the Lord, who doth all these things.

This concern of Daniel, and affection for his religion and country, show him in a very amiable light, and give an additional lustre and glory to his character. But not only in this instance, but in every other, he manifests the same public spirit, and appears no less eminently a patriot than a prophet. Those he was born early from his country and enjoyed all the advantages that he could enjoy in foreign service, yet nothing could make him forget his native home: And in the next chapter we see him pouring out his soul in prayer, and suppling most earnestly and devoutly for the pardon and restoration of his captive nation. It is a gross mistake therefore to think,

that religion will ever extinguish or abate our love for our country. The scriptures will rather incite and inflame it, exhibit several illustrious examples of it, and recommend and enforce this, as well as all other moral and social virtues; and especially when the interests of true religion and of our country are so blended and interwoven, that they cannot well be separated the one from the other. This is a double incentive to the love of our country; and with the same zeal that every pious Jew might say formerly, every honest Briton may say now, with the good Psalmist. (Psal. cxxii. 6, &c.) O pray for the peace of Jerusalem; they shall prosper that love thee. Peace be within thy walls, and plenteousness within thy palaces. For my brethren and companions sake I will wish thee prosperity: Yea because of the house of the Lord our God I will seek to do thee good.
which were revealed to Daniel in the vision of the ram and he goat, are here again more clearly and explicitly revealed in his last vision by an angel: so that this latter prophecy may not improperly be said to be a comment and explanation of the former. This revelation was made (x. 1.) in the third year of Cyrus king of Persia, when Daniel was very far advanced in years. For the third year of Cyrus was the seventy-third of Daniel’s captivity; and being a youth when he was carried captive, he cannot be supposed now to have been less than ninety; and not long after this, it is reasonable to believe that he died. Old as he was, he set his heart to understand the former revelations which had been made to him, and particularly the vision of the ram and he-goat, as I think we may collect from the sequel; and for this purpose he prayed, and fasted three weeks. His fasting and prayers had the desired effect; for an angel was sent, and said unto him, (ver. 12.) Fear not, Daniel; for from the first day that thou didst set thine heart to understand, and to chasten thyself before thy God, thy words are heard, and I am come for thy words. And whoever would attain the same ends, and excel in divine knowledge, must pursue the same means, and habituate himself to study, temperance, and devotion. The angel declares the design of his coming, (ver. 14.) Now I am come to make thee understand what shall befall thy people in the latter days; for yet the vision is for many days. This prophecy therefore contains the fate and fortune of the people of God for many years. As it was said before, (ver. 1.) the thing was true, but the time appointed was long; and consequently this prophecy must extend farther than from the third year of Cyrus to the death of Antiochus Epiphanes, which was not (1) above 370 years. In reality it comprehends many signal events after that time to the end of the world: but the types and figures of the things are not exhibited in this as in most of the other visions, and then expounded by the angel; but the

angel relates the whole, and not by way of vision, but only by narration, informs Daniel of that which is noted in the scripture of truth. (ver. 21.) I will show thee that which is noted in the scripture of truth, as if future events were noted in a book before God; and this prophecy, being taken from the scripture of truth, is therefore deserving of our strictest attention; and we may depend upon the certainty of all the particulars contained therein, if we can but rightly understand and expound them.

The angel first prophesies of the Persian empire, which was then subsisting; Behold, there shall stand up yet three kings in Persia; and the fourth shall be far richer than they all; and by his strength through his riches he shall stir up all against the realm of Grecia. (xi. 2.) There shall stand up yet, that is after Cyrus, the founder of the empire, who was then reigning, Three kings in Persia; these were Cambyses, the son of Cyrus; Smersis the Magian, who pretended to be another son of Cyrus, but was really an impostor; and Darius, the son of Hystaspes, who married the daughter of Cyrus. And the fourth shall be far richer than they all. The fourth after Cyrus was Xerxes, the son and successor of Darius; of whom Justin (2) truly remarks, ‘If you consider this king, you may praise his riches, not the general; of which there was so great abundance in his kingdom, that when rivers were dried up by his army, yet his wealth remained unexhausted.’ Pythius the Lydian (3) was at that time the richest subject in the world. He generously entertained Xerxes and all his army, and proffered him two thousand talents of silver, and three millions nine hundred ninety-three thousand pieces of gold with the stamp of Darius, towards defraying the charges of the war. But Xerxes was so far from wanting any supplies, that he rewarded Pythius for his liberality, and presented him with seven thousand darics, to make up his number a

---

(1) The third year of Cyrus was A.M. 3439, before Christ 534. Antiochus Epiphanes died A.M. 3840, before Christ 161. See Usher, Prideaux, &c.
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---

(2) Si regum specie, divitiae, non ducam fluit, ut in regno ejus fluit, ut, cum fluminis multitudinum consummatione, opes tanec regis superessunt. [Translated in the text.] Justin. 1. 2. Cap. 16.

complete round sum of four millions. Each of these
darics (4) was worth better than a guinea of our money.
and by his strength through his riches he shall stir up
all, both subjects and allies, against the realm of Greece.
Xerxes's expedition into Greece is one of the most memo-
rable adventures in ancient history. Herodotus affirms,
that (5) Xerxes in raising his army searched every
place of the continent, and it was the greatest army that
ever was brought into the field; for what nation was
there, says he, that Xerxes led not out of Asia into
Greece? Herodotus lived in that age; and he (6) re-
counts with great exactness the various nations of which
Xerxes's army was composed, and computes that the
whole number of horse and foot, by land and sea, out
of Asia and out of Europe, soldiers and followers of the
camp, amounted to five millions, two hundred eighty
three thousand, two hundred and twenty men. Nor was
Xerxes content with stirring up the east, but was for stir-
ing up (7) the west likewise, and engaged the Carthagi-
nians in his alliance, that while he with his army over-
whelming Greece, they might fall upon the Greek colo-
nies in Sicily and Italy: and the Carthaginians for this
purpose not only raised all the forces they could in
Africa, but also hired a great number of mercenaries in
Spain, and Gaul, and Italy; so that their army consisted
of three hundred thousand men, and their fleet of two
hundred ships. Thus did Xerxes stir up all against the
realm of Greece; and after he him mention is made of
any other king of Persia. 'It is to be noted, (8) saith
Jerome, that the prophet having enumerated four

(4) Bernard de ponderibus et mensuris antiquis, p. 171. Priscoe Con-
(5) Hic Echecis tua castra ut effugere volueris, quam sibi arma rurum
et turris. Xerxes antem in eis egressus est, et componit continentem
innumeros castris. Hic enim quod ubi eum inferius de rebus est, non
eros. Sed hincque de rebus existimium rem audivit. De hunc roman
castra, quae sunt in Asiae. Echecis, quam eumin ex Asiae. Ite
postulibus eum in Glarenum non additis Xerxes? [Translated in the text.]
(6) Herod. ibid. Sect. 60. &c. 484. &c.
(7) Pind. S. 1. 11. in initio.
(8) The dean of the Persian regius Persarium enumeratis,
notum partem et transitum ad Alexandrem. Non enim eum frui spiritus
prophetarum hebræorum et Graecorum: sed praebere quaere perquirere.

... kings of the Persians after Cyrus, slipped over nine:
and passeth to Alexander; for the prophetic spirit did
not care to follow the order of history, but only to
touch upon the most famous events.' Xerxes was the
principal author of the long wars and inveterate hatred
between the Grecians and Persians; and as he was the
last king of Persia who invaded Greece, he is mentioned
last. The Grecians then in their turn invaded Asia: and
Xerxes's expedition being the most memorable on one
side, as Alexander's was on the other, the reign of these
two are not improperly connected together.

Alexander is thus characterized, (ver. 3.) And a mighty
king shall stand up, that shall rule with great dominion,
and do according to his will. That Alexander was a mighty
king and conqueror; that he ruled with great dominion,
not only over Greece and the whole Persian empire, but
likewise added India to his conquests; and that he did
according to his will, none daring, not even his friends,
to contradict and oppose him, or if they did, like Clitus
and Callisthenes, paying for it with their lives; are facts
too well known to require any particular proof or illus-
tration.

But his kingdom was soon to be broken and divided;
(9) He was very great, and when he
was strong, the great horn was broken; and for it
came up four notable ones toward the four winds of
heaven. Now that being broken, whereas four stood up
for it, four kingdoms shall stand up out of the nation, but
not in his power. Alexander died in Babylon, (9) hav-

(9) Epp. de Leo. I. 18. 5. Mariae apud num. om. Ex Alex.
und. Regnum quod est, non. The regnum quod est, non. Vixit annos
XXXII, merces VIII. Tit. abest Aristobulus. Vixit annos VIII.
[He lived two and thirty years, and eight months of the thirty third
year, as Aristobulus says. And he reigned twelve years, and these
ing lived only thirty-two years and eight months, of which he reigned twelve years and eight months. In so short a time did this son of glory rise and set: and in the space of about fifteen years afterwards his family and posterity became extinct, and chiefly by the means of Cassander. It was soon after Alexander's death, that his wife Statira, the daughter of Darius, (1) was murdered out of jealousy by his other wife Roxana; and her body was thrown into a well, and cast away upon it. His natural brother Ariæus, who succeeded him in the throne by the name of Philip, (2) was together with his wife Eurydice killed by the command of Olympias the mother of Alexander, after he had borne the title of king six years and some months: and not long after (3) Olympias herself was slain in revenge by the soldiers of Cassander. Alexander Ægus, the son of Alexander by Roxana, as soon as he was born, was joined in the title of king with Philip Ariæus: and when he had attained to the fourteenth year of his age, (4) he and his mother were privately murdered in the castle of Amphipolis by order of Cassander. In the second year after this, (5) Hercules, the other son of Alexander, by Barsine the widow of Memnon, was also with his mother privately murdered by Polysperchon, induced thereto by the great offers made to him by Cassander. Such was the miserable end of Alexander's family: and then the governors made themselves kings each in his province, from which title they had abstained, (6) as long as any just heir of Alexander was surviving. Thus was Alexander's kingdom broken and divided not to his posterty, but was put up even for others.

beside those: and it was divided towards the four winds of heaven; for four of his captains, as it hath been shown in former dissertations, prevailed over the rest, and Cassander reigned in Greece and the west, Lysimachus in Thrace and the north, Ptolemy in Egypt and the south, and Seleucus in Syria and the east.

But though the kingdom of Alexander was divided into four principal parts, yet only two of them have a place allotted in this prophecy Egypt and Syria. These two were by far the greatest and most considerable: and these two at one time were in a manner the only remaining kingdoms of the four; the (7) kingdom of Macedonia having been conquered by Lysimachus and annexed to Thrace; and (8) Lysimachus again having been conquered by Seleucus, and the kingdoms of Macedonia and Thrace annexed to Syria. These two likewise continued distinct kingdoms, after the others were swallowed up by the power of the Romans. But there is a more proper and peculiar reason for enlarging upon these two particularly; (9) because Judea lying between them was sometimes in the possession of the kings of Egypt and sometimes of the kings of Syria; and it is the purpose of holy scripture, to interweave only so much of foreign affairs, as hath some relation to the Jews: and it is in respect of their situation to Judea, that the kings of Egypt and Syria are called the kings of the south and the north. And the king of the south shall be strong, (ver. 5.) and one of his princes, that is of Alex-

(6) —quod Alexandro justus heres fuist. [Translated in the text.] Justin. ibid.
ander's princes, and he shall be strong above him. There is manifestly either some redundancy, or some defect (1) in the Hebrew copy; which should be rendered as it is (2) by the Seventy. And the king of the south shall be strong, and one of his princes shall be strong above him: or perhaps may better be rendered thus; And the king of the south shall be strong, and one of his princes; and the king of the north shall be strong above him, and have dominion: his dominion shall be a great dominion. The king of the south was indeed very strong; for (3) Ptolemy had annexed Cyprus, Phoenicia, Caria, and many islands, and cities, and regions in Egypt, as Jerome here commemorates out of the ancients. He had likewise enlarged the bounds of his empire, as (4) Justin testifies, by the acquisition of Cyrene, and was now become so great, that he was in a condition not so much to fear, as to be feared by his enemies. But still the king of the north or Seleucus Nicator, was stronger above him; for having annexed, as we have seen, the kingdoms of Macedon and Thrace to the crown of Syria, he was become master of three parts out of four of Alexander's dominions. All historians agree in representing him not only as the longest liver of Alexander's successors, but likewise as (5) the conqueror of the conquerors. Appian in particular (6) enumerates the nations which he subdued and the cities which he built, and affirms that after Alex-

(1) Either the מ is redundant, or the words ים נפש are wanting.
(2) ויהי he to the north are above me, ים נפש his. [And one of his princes, shall be strong above him.] Sept.
(4) — terminus quoque imperii acquisita Cyprae ad ampliaverat, faciebat jam tamut excet, ut non tam tunc quam timidum ipsique hostibus esset. [Translated in the text.] Justin. Lib. 13. Cap. 6.
(6) Appian, de Bello, Syr. p. 193. Edit. Steph. p. 197. Edit. Toli. "And the prince shall be strong above him, and he shall be very strong, and one of his princes shall be stronger than he; and his dominion shall be a great dominion." (1) [Translated in the text.] Vite etiam, p. 201. Edit. Toli.

under he possessed the largest part of Asia; for all was subject to him from Phrygia up to the river Indus, and beyond it: and (7) afterwards he denominates him expressly, 'the greatest king after Alexander.'

Seleucus Nicator, (8) having reigned seven months after the death of Lysimachus, over the kingdoms of Macedon, Thrace, and Syria, was basely murdered; and to him succeeded in the throne of Syria his son Antiochus Soter, and to Antiochus Soter succeeded his son Antiochus Theus. At the same time Ptolemy Philadephlus reigned in Egypt after his father, the first Ptolemy, the son of Lagus. There were frequent wars between the kings of Egypt and Syria. There were so particularly between Ptolemy Philadephlus the second king of Egypt, and Antiochus the third king of Syria. And in the end of years they shall join themselves together; for the king's daughter of the south shall come to the king of the north to make an agreement; but she shall not retain the power of the arm, neither shall he stand, nor his arm; but she shall be given up, and they that brought her, and he that begat her, and he that strengthened her in these times. (ver. 6.) And in the end of years, that is after several years; for these wars lasted long, as (9) Jerome reports out of the ancients, and Antiochus Theus fought against Ptolemy Philadephlus with all the forces of Babylon and the east. They shall join themselves together or shall associate themselves: At length they agreed to make peace upon condition, that (1) Antiochus Theus should

(9) Iste adversus Ptolemæum Philadephum, qui secundus imperabat Ægyptiis, gessit bella quam plurima: et totis Babylonibus atque orientibus viribus dimicavit. [He carried on war during many years, against Ptolemy Philadephus, the second king of the Egyptians, and employed the whole strength of Babylon and the east.] Hieron. Comment. in locum, Col. 1123. Vol. 3. Edit. Benefic.
(1) Volens itaque Ptolemæus Philadephus post multis annos multitudinem suis adiuvare, filiam suam nomine Berenice, Antiochii uxorem dedit; qui de primo uxor et nomine Laodicæi, habebat duas filias, &c. [Ptolemy Philadephus therefore being desirous to put an end to a contest which had been for many years troublesome to him, gave his daughter named Berenice to Antiochus in marriage, who had two sons by his former wife Laodicæa, &c.] Hieron. ibid.
put away his former wife Laodice and her two sons, and should marry Berenice the daughter of Ptolemy Philadelphus. For the king's daughter of the south shall come to the king of the north to make rights or an agreement: and accordingly (2) Ptolemy Philadelphus brought his daughter to Antiochus Theus, and with her an immense treasure, so that he received the appellation of the dowry-giver. But she shall not retain the power of the arm, that is her interest and power with Antiochus; for (3) after some time, in a fit of love, he brought back his former wife Laodice with her children to court again. Neither shall he stand, nor his arm, or his seed; for (4) Laodice fearing the sickle temper of her husband, lest he should recall Berenice, caused him to be poisoned; and neither did his seed by Berenice succeed him in the kingdom, but Laodice contrived and managed matters so, as to fix her elder son Seleucus Callinicus on the throne of his ancestors. But she shall be given up; for Laodice not content with poisoning her husband, (5) caused also Berenice to be murdered. And they that brought her; for her (6) Egyptian women and attendants, endeavoring to defend her, were many of them slain with her. And he that begat her, or rather as it is in the margin, *he whom she brought forth*; for (7) the son was murdered as well as the mother, by order of Laodice. And he that strengthened these in times: her husband Antiochus, as (8) Jerome conceives; or those who took her part and defended her; or rather her father who died a little before, and was so very fond of her, (9) that he took care continually to send her fresh supplies of the water of the Nile, thinking it better for her to drink of that than of any other river, as Polybius relates.

But such wickedness should not pass unpunished and unrevenged. But out of a branch of her root shall one stand up in his estate, or rather as it is translated (1) in the Vulgar Latin, out of a branch of her root shall stand up a plant; and he shall come with an army, and shall enter into the fortress or the enclosed cities of the king of the north, and shall deal, shall act against them and shall prevail: And shall also carry captives into Egypt their gods with their princes, or rather, (2) their gods with their molten images, and with their precious vessels of silver and of gold, and he shall continue more years than the king of the north. So the king of the south shall come into his kingdom, and shall return into his own land, (ver. 7, 8, 9.) This branch, which sprung out of the same root with Berenice, was Ptolemy Euergetes his brother, who no sooner succeeded his father Ptolemy Philadelphus in the kingdom, than (3) he came with a great army,

(8) Rex quoque Antiochus qui confortat eam, hoc est, per quem potentiae praevaleat, quum mori moris occisus est. [*King Antiochus also, who strengthened her, that is, by whom she was able to retain power, was slain by his wife's poison.*] Hieron. ibid.

(9) Και τε της Αιγύπτου δια δεσμον διήρη, τι φιλάδελφον ἐπικυρία, ἐπεφευρεν τον Περσικόν Αιγύπτου ἰδυνάμειαν παρά της διπλής ἑστίας τον Νίλον ἑλευνα. [*Ptolemy the second, King of Egypt, summoned the philosophers, when he had given his daughter Berenice in marriage to Antiochus the king of Syria, was very careful to send the water of the Nile to her, that his child might drink of that only, as Polybius relates.*] Athenaeus Lab. 2. p. 45. Edit. Casaubon.

(1) Et stabut de germinibus radicibus ejus plantatio. [*And from a branch of her roots, shall a plant stand up.*] Vulg.

(2) Deos eorum et subtilitas. [*Their gods and their Image.*] Vulg. 

(3) — de plantatione et de germinibus radicibus ejus, quod esset germanus et essem eum exnovato quoque, et aggressus est provinciam regnum apud eum, id est Seleuciae cognomento Callinicus, qui cum mater Laodice regnant in Syria.
entered into the provinces of the king of the north, that is of Scelucus Callinicus, who with his mother Laodice reigned in Syria: and he acted against them, and prevailed so far, that he took Syria and Cilicia, and the upper parts beyond Euphrates, and almost all Asia. And when he had heard that a sedition was raised in Egypt, he plundered the kingdom of Scelucus, and took forty thousand talents of silver and precious vessels, and images of the gods, two thousand and five hundred: among which were also those, which Cambyses, after he had taken Egypt, had carried into Persia. And for this restoring their gods after many years, the Egyptians who were a nation much addicted to idolatry, complimented him with the title of Euergetes or the benefactor. This is Jerome's account, extracted from ancient historians: but there are authors still extant, who confirm several of the same particulars. Apian informs us, that (4) Laodice having killed Antiochus, and after him both Berenice and her child, Ptolemy the son of Philadelphia to revenge these murders invaded Syria, slew Laodice, and proceeded as far as to Babylon. From Polybius we learn, that (5) Ptolemy surnamed Euergetes et alius est et obidimit, in tantum ut Syriam caperet, et Ciliciam, superiorisque provincia sua Ephesum, et propemodum universum Asiam. Quamquam audisset in Aegypto seditionem moveri, diviri regnum Sceluci, quadraginta milia talibus argenti antiquitatis, et vacua profosa sanctificationem decernit, duum millia quingeniens: in quibus erat, et illa que Cambyses capta Aegypti, in Persas positurum. Dumque quos Aegyptii donis invidius dedisset, quia post mortuos annos duorum cum retulisset Euergetes eum appellavit. [Translated in the text] Hieron, ibid.


tes being greatly incensed at the cruel treatment of his sister Berenice, marched with an army into Syria, and took the city of Selucia, which was kept for some years afterwards by the garrisons of the kings of Egypt. Thus he entered into the fortress of the king of the north. Ptolemy affirms, that (6) Ptolemy made himself master of all the country from mount Taurus as far as to India without war or battle: but he ascribes it by mistake to the father instead of the son. Justin asserts, that (7) if Ptolemy had not been recalled by a domestic sedition into Egypt, he would have possessed the whole kingdom of Selucia. So the king of the south came into the kingdom of the north and then returned into his own land. He likewise continued more years than the king of the north: for Selucia Callinicus (8) died in exile of a fall from his horse, and Ptolemy Euergetes (9) survived him about four or five years.

But his sons, that is the sons of the king of the north, should endeavour to vindicate and avenge the cause of their father and their country. But his sons should be stirred up, and shall assemble a multitude of great forces; and one shall certainly come, and overspread, and pass through; then shall he return, and be stirred up even to his fortress. (ver. 10.) The sons of Selucia Callinicus were (1) Selucia and Antiochus; the elder of whom, Selucia, succeeded him in the throne, and to distinguish him from others of the same name, was denominated Ceranus or the Thunderer. Where by the way one cannot help observing the ridiculous vanity of princes in assuming or receiving such pompous apppellations without de-


(8) Justin, Lib. 27. Cap. 3.

(9) See Usher, Prideaux, Blair, and other chronologers.


(10) See Usher, Prideaux, Blair, and other chronologers.
serving them. Seleucus the father was surnamed Callinecus or the famous conqueror, though he was so far from gaining any considerable victory, that he was shamefully beaten by the Egyptians in the west, and was made a prisoner by the Parthians in the east. In like manner Seleucus the son was called Ceranaus or the thunderer, though he was so far from performing any thing worthy of the name, that he was a poor and weak prince in all respects in mind and body and estate. Great and splendid titles when improperly applied, are rather a satir and insult upon the persons, than any honor or commendation. Seleucus Ceranaus was indeed stirred up, and assembled a multitude of great forces, in order to recover his father's dominions; but (2) being destitute of money, and unable to keep his army in obedience, he was poisoned by two of his generals, after an inglorious reign of two or three years. Upon his decease his brother Antiochus Magnus was proclaimed king, who was more deserving the title of great, than Seleucus was of that of the thunderer. The prophet's expression is very (3) remarkable, that his sons should be stirred up, and assemble a multitude of great forces; but then the number is changed, and only one should certainly come, and over flow, and pass through. Accordingly (4) Antiochus came with a great army, retook Seleucia, and by the means of Theodotus the Aétolian recovered Syria, making him-

self master of some places by treaty, and of others by force of arms. Then (5) after a truce, wherein both sides treated of peace, but prepared for war, Antiochus returned, and overcame in battle Nicaeus the Egyptian general, and had thoughts of invading Egypt itself.

The king of Egypt at that time was Ptolemy Philopator, who was (6) advanced to the crown upon the death of his father Euergetes, not long after Antiochus Magnus succeeded his brother in the throne of Syria. This Ptolemy was (7) a most luxurious and vicious prince, but was roused at length by the near approach of danger. And the king of the south shall be moved with choler, and shall come forth with fight with him, even with the king of the north; and he shall set forth a great multitude, but the multitude shall be given into his hand, (ver. 11.) Ptolemy Philopator was, no doubt moved with choler for the losses which he had sustained, and for the revolt of Theodotus and others. And he came forth; he (8) marched out of Egypt with a numerous army to oppose the enemy, and encamped not far from Raphia, which is the nearest town to Egypt from Rhinocorura. And there he fought with him, even with the king of the north; for thither likewise (9) came Antiochus with his army, and a memorable battle was fought by the two

(5) Polyb. ib. p. 411, Sce. Quamque pugnasset adversus ducem ejus, inopissime Thedotui obtinuisset Syrianum, que per accessionem jam a re gibus Aegypti tenebatur, in tantum venit audacia contenta luxuria Philopatoris—at utro Aegyptius bellum convarter inferre. [And when he had fought against his generals, may, by the treachery of Theodotus, had gotten possession of Syria, which now for a long time had been in the hands of the kings of Egypt, he let it so hold, from the contempt wherein he held th: luxury of Philopator,—that he thought of carrying the war into Egypt.] Hieron. ibid. Antiochus rex Syriæ, vestris inter se regnum ad in stirpemante, repentina bella multis urbes ejus [Ptolemaei] oppressit, ipsisque Aegyptiaggregit. [Antiochus king of Syria, urged by the old hatred subsisting between the two kingdoms, suddenly fell upon many of his [Ptolemy's] cities, and attacks Egypt itself.] Justin. Lib. 30. Chap. 1.


kings. And he, the king of the north, set forth a great multitude; Polybius hath (1) recited the various nations of which Antiochus's army was composed, and all together it amounted to sixty-two thousand foot, six thousand horse, and 102 elephants. But yet the multitude was given into his hand, that is into the hand of the king of the south: for (2) Ptolemy obtained a complete victory; and of Antiochus's army there were slain not much fewer than ten thousand foot, more than three hundred horse, and above four thousand men were taken prisoners; whereas of Ptolemy's there were killed only fifteen hundred foot, and seven hundred horse. Upon this defeat (3) Raphia and the neighbouring towns contended who should be most forward to submit to the conqueror; and Antiochus was forced to retreat with his shattered army to Antioch, and from thence sent embassadors to solicit a peace.

Ptolemy Philopator was more fortunate in gaining a victory, than prudent in knowing how to make a proper advantage of it. And when he hath taken away the multitude, his heart shall be lifted up, and he shall cast down many ten thousands; but he shall not be strengthened by it. (ver. 12.) If Ptolemy had pursued the blow that he had given, it is (4) reasonably presumed that he might have deprived Antiochus of his kingdom: but his heart was lifted up by his success; being delivered from his fears, he now more freely indulged his lusts; and after a few menaces and complaints he granted peace to Antiochus, that he might be no more interrupted in the gratification of his appetites and passions. He had before (5) murdered his father, and his mother, and his brother; and now (6) he killed his wife, who was also his sister; and (7) gave himself up entirely to the management of Agathoclea his harlot, and her brother Agathocles who was his catamite, and their mother Oenanthia who was his bawd. And (8) so forgetful of all the greatness of his name and majesty, he consumed his days in feasting, and his nights in lewdness; and became not only the spectator, but the master and leader of all wickedness.

And what availed it to have conquered his enemies, when he was thus overcome by his vices? he was so far from being strengthened by it, that even (9) his own subjects, offended at his inglorious peace, and more inglorious life, revolted against him. But the prophet in this passage alluded more particularly to the case of his own countrymen. After the retreat of Antiochus, Ptolemy (1) visited the cities of Coele Syria and Palestine, which had submitted to him: and among others in his progress he came to Jerusalem. He there offered sacrifices, and was desirous of cutting into the holy of holies, contrary to the custom and religion of the place, being (as the (2) writer of the third book of Maccabees

(1) Polyb. ibid. p. 421, 422. Και της μετέρρην τα πταλοντα, επετετει και διέχων, τοιοντα και πολλα προσχω μετανοιαν, δια της προστρατευματας των Της Ανθρωπος, δια της θηκης αυτου εις της Αναπαρασις της Ελληνικης, εις τη Μακεδονιαν και της Τωμουρικης, δια της Ελευθερως της Ελληνικης, επετετει και δια της αναπαρασις. Desideravit autem eum suum Antiochum nonnulla pacienses deum munus munus posset, et eum ut pacificaret et quingenti equites recusat, et eum ut pacificaret et quingenti equites recusaret. [Translated in the text.] Polyb. ibid. p. 427. In the same extantum est usque equitatus Raphia, quod in turbam Aegypti est, omnis Antiochus exspectavit exercitum. [In a battle which was fought near the town of Raphia, which is in the borders of Egypt, Antiochus lost his whole army, &c.] Hieron. ibid.

(2) Polyb. ibid. p. 427, 428. Quoniam cessisset Syria, ad extremum fordece, et quingenti conditionibus pagus finita est. [And after Syria had submitted, the war at length was put an end to by a treaty, and on certain conditions.] Hieron. ibid.

(3) Justin, Lib. 30. Cap. 1. 1. -—spoliassone rematum Antiochum, si fortuna vulte jurisset. [He would have deprived Antiochus of his kingdom, if his courage had co-operated with his good fortune.] Polyb. Lib. 5. p. 428. Edit. Casaubon.


(9) Polyb. Lib. 5. p. 414.

(10) For these particulars the 3d book of Maccabees must be consulted.

He had acquired great riches, and collected many forces in the eastern expedition; so that he was enabled to set forth a greater multitude than the former, and he doubted not to have an easy victory over an infant king. Polybius expressly informs us, that (8) from the king of Bactria and from the king of India he received so many elephants as made up his number 130, besides provisions and riches. Jerome out of ancient authors affirms, that (9) he gathered together an incredible army out of the countries beyond Babylon; and contrary to the league he marched with this army, Ptolemy Philopator being dead, against his son, who was then four years old, and was called Ptolemy Epiphanes, or the illustrious. Justin also says, that (1) Ptolemy Philopator king of Egypt being dead, in contempt of the childhood of his son, who being left heir to the kingdom was a prey even to his domestic, Antiochus king of Syria was resolved to take possession of Egypt; as if the thing were as easily executed, as resolved.

But Antiochus was not the only one who rose up against young Ptolemy. Others also confederated with him. And in those times there shall many stand up against the king of the south: also the robbers of thy people shall exalt themselves to establish the vision, but they shall fall. (ver. 14.) Agathocles (2) was in possession of the young king's person; and he was so dissolute and proud in the exercise of his power, that the provinces

(3) \begin{flushleft}
\textit{\textbf{Euseb.}}
\end{flushleft}

\begin{quote}
\textit{\textbf{Appian. de Bell. Syr. in principio.}}
\end{quote}
which before were subject to Egypt rebelled, and Egypt itself was disturbed by seditions; and the people of Alexandria rose up in a body against Agathocles, and caused him, and his sister, and mother, and their associates, to be put to death. Philip too (3) the king of Macedon entered into a league with Antiochus, to divide Ptolemy’s dominions between them, and each to take the parts which lay nearest and most convenient to him. And this is the meaning, as (4) Jerome concludes, of the prophet’s saying, that many shall rise up together against the king of the south. Also the robbers of thy people. It is literally (5) the sons of the breakers, the sons of the revolters, the factious and refractory ones of thy people; for the Jews were at that time broken into factions, part adhering to the king of Egypt, and part to the king of Syria; but the majority were for breaking away from their allegiance to Ptolemy. In the Vulgate it is (6) translated, *the sons also of the prevaricators of thy people;* in the Septuagint, *the sons of the pestilent ones of thy people.* What shall they do? shall exact themselves to establish the vision; shall revolt from Ptolemy, and thereby contribute greatly, without their knowing it, towards the accomplishment of this prophecy concerning the calamities, which should be brought upon the Jewish nation by the succeeding kings of Syria. That the Jews revolted from Ptolemy is evident from what Jerome affirms, that (7) the provinces which before

---

(3) Philippus quoque rex Macedonum, et magnus Antiochus pace facta, adversum Agathoclem et Ptolémæum Epiphanem dimiserat, sub hac condicione, ut proximās civitates regno suo singuli de regno Ptolemæi jingerverint. (4) *Philippus* also the king of Macedon, and Antiochus the great entered into a confederacy to fight against Agathocles and Ptolemy Epiphanes under this condition; that each of them should annex such parts of Ptolemy’s kingdom as lay nearest to their own dominions.*

(5) *Et hoc est quod nunc dicit multis consurgere adversus regem Austria, Ptolémæum seculit Epiphanem, qui est aetate purcelli.* (6) *This is what the prophet means by saying now, that many rise up together against the king of the south, namely against Ptolemy Epiphanes, who was then in a state of childhood.*

(7) *— at subsidia prioris Egypto provinciae rebellarent.* (Translated in text.) Hieron. ibid.

---

were subject to Egypt rebelled: and (8) heathen authors intimate, that Antiochus took possession of the cities of Cœle-Syria and Palestine without any opposition, at least they do not mention any. *But they shall fall;* for (9) Scopas came with a powerful army from Ptolemy, and Antiochus being engaged in other parts, soon reduced the cities of Cœle-Syria and Palestine to their former obedience. He subdued the Jews in the winter season, placed a garrison in the castle of Jerusalem, and returned with great spoils to Alexandria; for he was (1) noted above all men for his avarice and rapacity. The expression of Josephus is remarkable, that (2) the Jews submitted to Scopas by force, but to Antiochus they submitted willingly.

It was in the absence of Antiochus, that these advantages were obtained by the army of Egypt, but his presence soon turned the scale, and changed the whole face of affairs. *So the king of the north shall come, and cast up a mount, and take the most fenced cities, or the city of munitions, and the arm of the south shall not withstand, neither his chosen people, neither shall there be any strength to withstand.* But he that cometh against him shall do according to his own will, and none shall stand before him: and he shall stand in the glorious land, which by his hand shall be consumed. (ver. 15 and 16.) Antiochus (3) being willing to recover Judea, and the cities

---

(2) *ἐσπερακτοὶ ἄνδρες καὶ σπερακτίς, οὐπροφήτη παῦσιν, εἰς μικρὰς παραλίας κεντρικά.* [For they intruded to him, being subdued in war.]
(3) Antiochus enim volens Judæam recuperare, et Syriam urbem plurimum, Scopam ducerat ad Ptolemaeum in fontes Jordanis, ubi nunc Paneas condita est, into certamine ingredi, et cum decem milibus armatorum obediit clausum in Sidonem. Ob quem liberandum misti Ptolemaeudores inclytos Ephopa et Menochem, et Damochem. Sed obtensionem solvere non potuit: donece famæ superans Scopas manus edidit, et multos cum sociis dimissus est. [For Antiochus, desiring of recovering Judea, and very many cities in Syria, in a battle near the River Jordan, where the town of Paneas now stands, put to flight Scopas, Ptolemy’s general; and shut him up in Sidon with ten thousand of his men, and besieged him there. To deliver
of Cœle-Syria and Palestine, which Scopas had taken, came again into those parts. Scopas was sent again to oppose him, and Antiochus fought with him near the sources of the river Jordan, destroyed a great part of his army, and pursued him to Sidon, where he shut him up with ten thousand men, and closely besieged him. Three famous generals were sent from Egypt to raise the siege; but they could not succeed, and at length Scopas was forced by famine, to surrender upon the hard conditions of having life only granted to him and his men; they were obliged to lay down their arms, and were sent away tripped and naked. This event, I conceive, was principally intended by his casting up a mount, and taking the city of munitions; for Sidon was an exceeding strong city in its situation and fortifications. But if we take the phrase more generally, as our translators understand it, Antiochus, after the success of this battle and of this siege, reduced other countries and took other fenced cities, which are mentioned by (4) Polybius, and recited by Eusebius out of the Greek and Roman historians. The ruins of the south could not withstand him, neither his chosen people; neither Scopas, nor the other great generals, nor the choicest troops who were sent against him: but he did according to his own will, and none was able to stand before him; for he soon (5) rendered himself master of all Cœle-Syria and Palestine. Among others (6) the Jews also readily submitted to him, went forth in solemn procession to meet him, received him splendidly into their city, supplied him with plenty of provisions for all his army and elephants, and assisted him in besieging thearrison, which Scopas had left in the citadel. Thus he stood in the glorious land, and his power was established

(6) Joseph. ibid.

in Judea. Which by his hand shall be consumed: So this passage is generally understood and translated, and commentators hereupon observe that (7) Josephus relates, that, 'Antiochus the Great reigning in Asia, the Jews, their country being wasted, suffered many things, as well as the inhabitants of Cœle-Syria. For Antiochus warring against Ptolemy Philopator, and against his son Ptolemy Epiphanes, it was their fate to suffer, whether he was conqueror, or was beaten, so that they were like a ship lost in a tempest, and lying between both were sure to suffer, which ever side prevailed.' But then they could not be said to be consumed by the hand of Antiochus particularly; they were consumed as much or more by Scopas: and the word is capable of another interpretation, which agrees as well with the truth of the Hebrew, and better with the truth of history. It may be translated, Which shall be perfected, or prosper, or flourish, in his hand. The original will well admit of this sense, and the event confirms it. For Antiochus, to reward and encourage the Jews in their fidelity and obedience to him, (8) gave order that their city should be repaired, and the dispersed Jews should

(6) Joseph. ibid.

Vide Epist. Antiochus apud Joseph. ibid,
return and inhabit it; that they should be supplied with cattle and other provisions for sacrifices; that they should be furnished with timber and other materials for finishing and adorning the temple; that they should live all according to the laws of their country; that the priests and elders, the scribes and levites should be exempted from the captives and other taxes: that those who then inhabited the city, or should return to it within a limited time, should be free from all tribute for three years, and the third part of their tribute should be remitted to them for ever after; and also that as many as had been taken and forced into servitude, should be released, and their substance and goods be restored to them. Where Grotius remarks, (9) that what is said about finishing and completing the temple, answers exactly to the word perfected or consummated in the Hebrew. Thus also (1) the Seventy translate it, and thus (2) Theodoret explains it: 'And it shall be perfected by his hand, that it shall be prospered; for so likewise Josephus hath taught us in his history, that the Jews of their own accord having received Antiochus, were greatly honored by him.'

Antiochus the Great, like other ambitious princes, the more he attained, aspired the more to conquest and dominion. He shall also set his face to enter with the strength of his whole kingdom, and upright ones with him: thus shall he do, and he shall give him the daughter of women corrupting her, or to corrupt her: but she shall stand on his side, neither for him. (ver. 17.) He shall also set his face to enter with the strength of his whole kingdom, or rather He shall also set his face to enter by force the whole kingdom; and Antiochus not contented with having rent the principal provinces from Egypt, was forming schemes (3) to seize upon the whole kingdom. And upright ones with him; thus shall he do: If this translation be right, the upright ones here intended are the Jews, who marched under the banners of Antiochus, and are so denominated to distinguish them from the other idolatrous soldiers. But the (4) Seventy and the Vulgar Latin exhibit a much more probable translation, that he shall set things right, or make agreement with him, as the phrase is used before. (ver. 6.) Antiochus would have seised upon the kingdom of Egypt by force: but as he was (5) meditating a war with the Romans, he judged it better to proceed by stratagem, and to carry on his designs by treaty rather than by arms. For this purpose he shall give him the daughter of women, his daughter so called as being one of the most eminent and beautiful of women: and accordingly (6) Antiochus proposed a treaty of marriage by which the emperor of Egypt was to sign a treaty of marriage with him, and married her to him in the thirteenth year of his reign. He conducted himself to Raphia, where they were married; and gave in dowry with her the provinces of Cæle-Syria and Palestine, upon condition of the revenues being equally divided between the two kings. All this he transacted with a fraudulent intention, to corrupt her, and induce her to betray her husband's interests to her
But a prince, or rather a leader, a general, meaning the Roman generals, repelled the injury, and caused his reproach to cease. Acilius the consul (2) fought with Antiochus at the straits of Thermopylae, routed him, and expelled him out of Greece; Livius and Aemilius beat his fleets at sea; and Scipio finally obtained a decisive victory over him in Asia near the city Magnesia at the foot of mount Sipylos. Antiochus lost fifty thousand foot, and four thousand horse in that day’s engagement; fourteen hundred were taken prisoners, and he himself escaped with difficulty. Upon this defeat he (3) was necessitated to sue for peace, and was obliged to submit to very dishonourable conditions, not to set foot in Europe, and to quit all Asia on this side of mount Taurus, to defray the whole charges of the war, &c. and to give twenty hostages for the performance of these articles, one of whom was his youngest son Antiochus, afterwards called Epiphanes. By these means (4) he and his successors became tributary to the Romans; so truly and effectually did they not only cause the reproach offered by him to cease, but greatly to their honor caused it to turn upon him.

Antiochus did not long survive this disgrace; and the latter part of his life and reign was as mean, as the former part had been glorious. Then shall he turn his face towards the fort of his own land; but he shall stumble and fall and not be found. (ver. 19.) Antiochus after the battle (5) fled away that night to Sardes, and from thence to Amanita, and the next day he came into Syria, to Antioch the fort of his own land. It was from thence that he sent embassadors to sue for peace; and (6) within a few days after peace was granted, he sent part of the money demanded, and the hostages to the Roman con-

(4) I Macc. Lib. 2.
sul at Ephesus. He is (7) reported indeed to have borne his losses with great equanimity of temper, and said that he was much obliged to the Romans for easing him from a great deal of care and trouble, and for confining him within the bounds of a moderate empire. But whatever he might pretend, he lived in distress and poverty for a great king, being under the greatest difficulties how to raise the money which he had stipulated to pay to the Romans; and his necessity or his avarice prompted him at last to commit sacrilege. He (8) marched into the eastern provinces, to collect there the arrears of tribute, and amass what treasure he could: and attempting to plunder the rich temple of Jupiter Belus in Elymais, he was assaulted by the inhabitants of the country, was defeated, and himself and all his attendants were slain. So Diodorus Siculus, Strabo, Justin, and Jerome relate the manner and circumstances of his death. Aurelius Victor reports it otherwise, and affirms (9) that he was slain by some of his companions, whom in his liquor he had beaten at a banquet; but his account deserves not so much credit as the concurrent

testimony of earlier historians. However it was, his death was inglorious, he stumbled and fell, and was no more found.

His successor was far from retrieving the splendor and glory of the nation. Then shall stand up in his estate a raiser of taxes in the glory of the kingdom; or rather according to the original, and as we read in the margin, one that causeth an exactor to pass over the glory of the kingdom: but within few days he shall be destroyed, neither in anger, nor in battle. (ver. 20.) Seleucus Philopator succeeded his father Antiochus the great in the throne of Syria; but as (1) Jerome affirms, he performed nothing worthy of the empire of Syria and of his father, and perished ingloriously without fighting any battles. As Appian also testifies, he reigned (2) both idly and weakly, by reason of his father's calamity. He had an inclination to break the peace, and shake off the Roman yoke; but had not the courage to do it. He (3) raised an army with intent to march over mount Taurus to the assistance of Pharnaces king of Pontus; but his dread of the Romans confined him at home, within the bounds prescribed to him; and almost as soon as he had raised, he disbanded his army. The tribute of a thousand talents, which he was obliged to pay annually to the Romans, was indeed a grievous burden to him and his kingdom; and he was little more than a raiser of taxes all his days. He was tempted even to commit sacrilege; for (4) being informed of the money that was deposited in the temple of Jerusalem, he sent his treasurer Heliodorus to seise it. This was literally causing an

(7) Cicero pro Deiot. Cap. 13. Valerius Maximus, Lib. 4. Cap. 1. (8) Diod. Sic. in Excerpt. Vales. p. 229 et 230. Strabo, Lib. 16. p. 744. Edit. Paris. 1620. p. 1080. Edit. Amstel. 1707. Iusticia in Syria rex Antiochus, cum gravi tributo parvi, a Romane victus, oneratus esset, suum imponere compulsi est, suum avaretic sollicitat, quod sperabat se, sub specie tributum necessarii, exsultatus sacrilegis commissurum, adhibere exercitum, nexit tamquam Elymaeus Justus aggressor. Qua re profissa, concursus insularum, cum omni militia interfector. [In the mean time king Antiochus in Syria, who, having been vanquished by the Romans, had been loaded with the payment of an heavy tribute, as the condition of peace, compelled either by want of money, or hurried on by his own covetousness; and hoping that in the pretext of the necessity under which he lay of paying the tribute, he might find a ready excuse for the commission of sacrilege; marches his army, and attacks in the night-time the temple of Jupiter Elymais. But his design having been discovered, he and his whole army were destroyed in a conflict with the inhabitants.] Justin. Lib. 32. Cap. 2. Viciros eras Antiochus, intra Taurum regnavit jusus est: inde fugit ad Apaniam, aequos, sed ultimam regnus sui penetravit urbes. Quamque adversum Elymaeos pugnaverat, cum omnibus victrix erat, Antiochus having been vanquished, was ordered to confine his authority within mount Taurus; from thence he fled to Apaniae, and Susa, and advanced as far as the most distant cities of his kingdom. But when he was fighting against the Elymaeans, he was destroyed with his whole army.] Hieron. iun. (9) A sodalibus, opes temulentas in convitio palus exerat, occisus est. [Translated in the text:] Aurel. Victor de Vitis Illustri. Cap. 54.
exactor to pass over the glory of the kingdom, when he
sent his treasurer to plunder that temple, which (5) even
kings did honor, and magnify with their best gifts, and
where Seleucus himself, of his own revenues, bare all the
costs belonging to the service of the sacrifices. But within
few days, or rather years, according to the prophetic
sile, he was to be destroyed; and his reign was of short
duration in comparison with his father’s; for he (6) reigned
only twelve years, and his father thirty seven. Or per-
haps the passage may be better thus expounded, that neith-
ner in few days or years, after his attempting to plunder
the temple of Jerusalem, he should be destroyed: and not
long after that, as all chroniclers agree, he was destroy-
ed, neither in anger nor in battle, neither in rebellion at
home, nor in war abroad, but (7) by the treachery of his
own treasurer Heliodorus. The same wicked hand, that
was the instrument of his sacilege, was also the instru-
ment of his death. Seleucus having (8) sent his only son
Demetrius to be an hostage at Rome instead of his brother
Antiochus, and Antiochus being not yet returned to the
Syrian court, Heliodorus thought this a fit opportunity
to dispatch his master, and in the absence of the next
heirs to the crown, to usurp it to himself. But he was dis-
appointed in his ambitious projects, and only made way
for another’s usurped greatness, instead of his own.

Few circumstances are mentioned relating to Seleucus
Philopator; many more particulars are predicted of his
brother and successor Antiochus Epiphanes, as he was in-
deed a more extraordinary person, and likewise a greater
enemy and oppressor of the Jews. And in his estate shall
stand up a vile person, to whom they shall not give the
honor of the kingdom: but he shall come in peaceably,
and obtain the kingdom by flatteries. (ver.21.) Antiochus
returning from Rome, (9) was at Athens in his way to

Syria, when his father Seleucus died by the treachery of
Heliodorus: and the honor of the kingdom was not
given to him; for Heliodorus attempted to get possession
of it himself; another (1) party declared in favor of
Ptolemy Philometor king of Egypt, whose mother Cleo-
patra was the daughter of Antiochus the great, and
sister of the late king Seleucus: and neither was An-
tiochus Epiphanes the right heir to the crown, but his
nephew Demetrius the son of Seleucus, who was then
an hostage at Rome. However he obtained the kingdom
by flatteries. He flattered (2) Antinna and Attalus his brother, and by fair promises en-
gaged their assistance, and they the more readily assisted
him, as they were at that juncture jealous of the Romans,
and were willing therefore to secure a friend in the king of
Syria. He flattered too (3) the Syrians, and with
great show of clemency obtained their concurrence. He
flattered also (4) the Romans, and sent ambassadors to
court their favor, to pay them the arrears of tribute, to
present them besides with golden vessels of five hundred
pond weight, and to desire that the friendship and alli-
ance, which they had had with his father, might be re-
newed with him, and that they would lay their com-
mands upon him as upon a good and faithful confederate
king; he would never be wanting in any duty. Thus
he came in peaceably; and as he flattered the Syrians, the
Syrians flattered him again, (5) and bestowed upon him
the title of Epiphanes or the illustrious: but the epithet
of vile or rather despicable, given him by the prophet,
agrees better with his true character. For, as (6) Poly-

(1) Hieron. in locum. Col. 1197.
(2) Appian. ibid.
(3) Similiones elementis obtinuit regnum Syriae. [With a shew of
clemency he got possession of the Kingdom of Syria.] Hieron. ibid.
(4) Liv. Lib. 43. Cap. 6. Petere regem, ut quaem un patre suo societas
adique amici tuae securum; mare aestimante: utque ultra regum
cassumur officia. [The King requested, that they would renew with
him the alliance and friendship, which had subsisted between them and his
father; and that the Roman people might command him any thing that
was consistent with a faithful ally and king, for that he never would be de-
cisive in any duty.]
of Antiochus, whose presence disconcerted all their measures. The prince also of the covenant was broken, that is the high-priest of the Jews; and so (1) Theodoret understands and explains it. The prince of the covenant:

'He speaketh of the pious high-priest, the brother of Jason, and foretelleth, that even he should be turned out of his office.' As soon as Antiochus was seated in the throne, (2) he removed Onias from the high priesthood, and preferred Jason the brother of Onias to that dignity, not for any crime committed against him by the former, but for the great sums of money which were offered to him by the latter. For Jason offered to give him no less than three hundred and sixty talents of silver for this high priesthood, besides eighty more upon another account; and good Onias was not only displaced to make way for a wicked usurper, but after a few years, living at Antioch, he was with as great treachery as cruelly murdered by the king's deputy. But though Antiochus had made a league with Jason the new high-priest, yet he did not faithfully adhere to it, but acted deceitfully. For Menelaus the brother of Jason (3) being sent to the Syrian court, with a commission from his brother, to pay the tribute, and to transact some business with the king; he by his address and flattery so far insinuated himself into the royal favor, that he attempted to supplant his elder brother Jason, as Jason had supplied his elder brother Onias: and proffered to give three hundred talents more for the high priesthood than Jason had given for it. The king readily accepted the proposal, and issued his mandate for the deposing of Jason, and advancing of Menelaus in his room: but he could not effect the change without an armed force, which put Menelaus in possession of the place, and com-

(1) Kai γα α’ γενομαι διακοοντο γεγαγ μεγα δοξα το σαρματα το ευτυλον τον επανισχυκαν απολλοναν και δεσποιναν, η τα πραγματευτκαν προδρομον, Ιουδαιοναν, Principem saeculum intellegi vult putum Jason fratrem, praemunitum fore ut illum adhibit pontifici maximo. [Translated in the text.] Theod. in locum, p. 668. Tom. 2. Edit. Sirmontii.


What follows is not assigning a reason for any thing that preceded, and therefore ought not to have been translated: For he shall come up, but And he shall come up, and shall become strong with a small people. He shall enter peaceably upon the fatter places of the province, or as it is in the margin, He shall enter into the peaceable and fat places of the province, and he shall do that which his fathers have not done, nor his fathers fathers, he shall scatter among them the prey, and spoil, and riches: yea, and he shall forecast his devices against the strong holds, even for a time. (ver. 23, 24.) Antiochus Epiphanes had been many years an hostage at Rome; and coming from thence with only a few attendants, he appeared in Syria little at first, but soon received a great increase, and became strong with a small people. By the (4) friendship of Eumenes and Attalus he entered peaceably upon the upper provinces; and appointed Timarchus and Heracleides, the one to be governor of Babylon, and the other to be his treasurer, two brothers, with both of whom he had unnatural commerce. He likewise entered peaceably upon the provinces of Coele-Syria and Palestine. And wherever he came, he outdid his fathers, and his fathers fathers in liberality and profusion. He scattered among them the prey, and spoil, and riches. The (5) prey of his enemies, the spoil of temples, and the riches of his friends as well as his own revenues, were expended in public shows, and bestowed in largesses among the people. The writer of the first book of Maccabees affirms, that (6) in the liberal giving of gifts he abounded above the kings that were before him. Josephus testifies, that (7) he was magnanimous and munificent. Polybius recounts (8) various instances of his extravagance, and

relates particularly, that (9) sometimes meeting accidentally with people whom he had never seen before, he would enrich them with unexpected presents; and (1) sometimes standing in the public streets, he would throw away his money and cry aloud, Let him take it to whom fortune shall give it. His generosity was the more requisite to fix the provinces of Coele-Syria and Palestine in his interest, because they were claimed as of right belonging to the king of Egypt. Ptolemy Epiphanes was now dead; his queen Cleopatra was dead too; (2) and Euhæus an eunuch, and Leneæus, who were administrators of the kingdom for the young king Ptolemy Philometor, demanded the restitution of these provinces, alleging with very good reason, that they were assigned to the first Ptolemy in the last partition of the empire among Alexander’s captains; that they had remained ever since in the possession of the kings of Egypt, till Antiochus the great took them away unjustly in the minority of Ptolemy Epiphanes the present king’s father; and after he had taken them away, he agreed to surrender them again in dowry with his daughter Cleopatra. Antiochus denied these pleas and pretences with the direct contrary assertions; and foreseeing, as well he might foresee, that these demands would prove the ground and occasion of a new war between the two crowns, (3) he came to Joppa to take a view of the frontiers, and to put them in a proper posture of

(6) 1 Macc. iv. 30.
μεγαλεργεῖ οιχάλκους μιν, magnanimi, et largior. [Translated in the text.]
(9) 1 Macc. iv. 21, 22.
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defence. In his progress he came to Jerusalem, where he was honourably received by Jason the high-priest, and by all the people; and as it was evening, he was ushered into the city with torch-light and with great rejoicings; and from thence he went into Phœnicia, to fortify his own strong holds, and to forecast his devices against those of the enemy. The (4) Seventy and the Arabic translator with a little variation in the reading render it, to forecast his devices against Egypt. Thus he did even for a time, and employed some years in his hostile preparations.

At length Antiochus, in the fifth year of his reign, (5) despising the youth of Ptolemy, and the inactivity of his tutors, and believing the Romans to be too much employed in the Macedonian war to give him any interruption, resolved to carry hostilities into the enemy's country, instead of waiting for them in his own, and marched with a powerful army against Egypt. And he shall stir up his power and his courage against the king of the south with a great army, and the king of the south shall be stirred up to battle with a very great and mighty army; but he shall not stand: for they shall forecast devices against him. Yea, they that feed of the portion of his meat, shall destroy him, and his army shall overflow, or (6) rather shall be overthrown: and many shall fall down slain. (ver. 25, 26.) These things (7) Porphyry rightly interprets of Antiochus, who marched against Ptolemy his sister's son with a great army. The king of the south too, that is the generals of Ptolemy were stirred up to war with very many and exceeding strong forces; and yet could not resist the fraudulent counsel of Antiochus.

(4) Καὶ ἐκ Αἰγύπτου άφετεν άρπαγμον. Et cogitationem adversus Egyptum cogitationes. [Translated in the text.] Arab. Instead of ἄρσην they read ὀφθην.


(6) Exercitum ejus mundatur. ["His army shall be overthrown."] Pagn. Exercitus ejus oppressitur. ["And his army shall be dispersed."] Vulg. Exercitum ejus dissipatur. ["And his army shall be dispersed."] Syr.

(7) Hec Porphyrius interpretatur de Antiocho, qui adversus Ptolemæum sororis sui filium proelium est cum exercitu magno. Sed et rex autem, id est, duces Ptolemai provocati sunt ad bellum multa auxilia, et fortibus nimis; et non potuerunt resistere Antiochi consiliis fraudulentis. [Translated in the text.] Hieron. in locum. Col. 1128.

The two armies engaged (8) between Pelusium and mount Casius, and Antiochus obtained the victory. The (9) next campaign he had greater success, routed the Egyptians, took Pelusium, ascended as far as Memphis, and made himself master of all Egypt except Alexandria. These transactions are thus related by the (1) writer of the first book of Maccabees: "Now when the kingdom was established before Antiochus, he thought to reign over Egypt, that he might have the dominion of two realms. Wherefore he entered into Egypt with a great multitude, with chariots, and elephants, and horsemen, and a great navy; and made war against Ptolemy king of Egypt: but Ptolemy was afraid of him, and fled; and many were wounded to death. Thus they got the strong cities in the land of Egypt, and he took the spoils thereof." He shall stir up his power against the king of the south with a great army, says the prophet; he entered into Egypt with a great multitude, says the historian. The king of the south shall not stand, says the prophet; Ptolemy was afraid and fled, says the historian. Many shall fall down slain, says the prophet; and many were wounded to death, says the historian. The misfortunes of Ptolemy Philometor are by the prophet ascribed principally to the treachery and baseness of his own ministers and subjects: for they shall forecast devices against him; Yea, they that feed of the portion of his meat shall destroy him. And it is certain that Eulæus (2) was a very wicked minister, and bred up the young king in luxury and effeminacy contrary to his natural inclination. Ptolemy Macropus too (3) who was governor of Cyprus, revolted from him, and delivered up that important island to Antiochus; and for the reward of his

(8) Quamque inter Pelusiun et montem Casium commississet, victis sunt ducibus Ptolemai. ["When they engaged between Pelusium and mount Casius, Ptolemy's generals were overcome."] Hieron. Col. 1127, 1128.

(1) 1 Macc. i. 16, 17, 18, 19.


(3) Valesii Excerpta ex Polybio. p. 126. 2 Macc. x. 13. 1 Macc. iii. 38. 2Macc. viii. 8.
treason was admitted into the number of the king's principal friends, and was made governor of Cæle-
Syria and Palestine. Nay even (4) the Alexandrians, seeing the distress of Philometor, renounced their allegi-
gance; and taking his younger brother Euergetes or
Physeon, proclaimed him king instead of the elder
brother.

History hath not informed us by what means Pto-
lemy Philometor came into the hands of Antiochus, whether he was taken prisoner, or surrendered himself
of his own accord; but that he was in the hands of An-
tiochus, it is evident beyond all contradiction. And both
these kings' hearts shall be to do mischief; and they shall
speak lies at one table; but it shall not prosper: for
yet the end shall be at the time appointed. (ver. 27).
After Antiochus was come to Memphis, and the greater
part of Egypt had submitted to him, (5) he and Philo-
metor did frequently eat and converse together at one
table; but notwithstanding this appearance of peace and
friendship, their hearts were really bent to do mischief;
and they spoke lies the one to the other. For (6) Antio-
chus pretended to take care of his nephew Philometor's
interest, and promised to restore him to the crown, at
the same time that he was plotting his ruin, and was contriv-
ing means to weaken the two brothers in a war against
each other, that the conqueror wearied and exhausted
might fall an easier prey to him. On the other side (7)
Philometor laid the blame of the war on his governor
Enlaeus, professed great obligations to his uncle, and
seemed to hold the crown by his favor, at the same time
that he was resolved to take the first opportunity of
breaking the league with him, and of being reconciled
to his brother: and accordingly, as soon as ever Antio-

(5) Nulli dubium est quam Antiochus pascem cum Ptolemaeo fecerit, et ini-
certi simul cum convivas, et dolos machinisus sit, &c. (9) No one doubted
Antiochus concluded a peace with Ptolemy, feasted with him, and medi-
tated mischief, &c.] Hieron, ibid. Col. 1126.
Lib. 13. Cap. 11.

esus was withdrawn, he made proposals of accommodation,
and by the mediation of their sister Cleopatra a peace was made between the two brothers, who agreed
to reign jointly in Egypt and Alexandria. But still this
artifice and dissimulation did not prosper on either side.
For (8) neither did Antiochus obtain the kingdom, neither
did Philometor utterly exclude him, and prevent his return
with an army, as each intended and expected by the
measures which he had taken: for these wars were not
to have an end till the time appointed, which was not
yet come.

Antiochus hoping to become absolute master of Egypt,
more easily by the civil war between the two brothers
than by the exertion of his own forces, left the kingdom
for a while, and returned into Syria. Then shall he return
into his land with great riches, and his heart shall be
against the holy covenant; and he shall do exploits,
and return to his own land. (ver. 28.) He did indeed
return with great riches; for the spoils which he took
in Egypt were of immense value. The (9) writer of the
first book of Maccabees says, "Thus they got the strong
cities in the land of Egypt, and he took the spoils
thereof. And after that Antiochus had smitten Egypt
"he returned." Polybius (1) describing his opulence
and the great show that he made of gold, silver, jewels,
and the like, affirms that he took them partly out of
Egypt, having broken the league with the young king
Philometor. Returning too from Egypt, he set his heart
against the holy covenant. For it happened while he was
in Egypt, that (2) a false report was spread of his death.

Jerome observes, 'both the Greek and Roman history relates, that after Antiochus returned from Egypt, he came into Judea, that is, against the holy covenant, and spoiled the temple, and took away a great quantity of gold; and having placed a garrison of Macedonians in the citadel, he returned into his own land.'

After (7) two years Antiochus marched into Egypt again. At the time appointed (and hinted at before, ver. 27.) he shall return and come toward the south, but it shall not be as the former, or as the latter; or as it is translated in (8) the Vulgar Latin, the latter shall not be like the former. For the ships of Chittim shall come against him: therefore he shall be grieved, and return, and have indignation against the holy covenant: so shall he do, he shall even return, and have intelligence with them that forsake the holy covenant. (ver 29, 30.) Antiochus perceiving that his fine woven policy was all unraveled, and that the two brothers, instead of wasting and ruining each other in war, had provided for their mutual safety and interest by making peace (9) was so offended, that he prepared war much more eagerly and maliciously against both, he had before against one of them. Early therefore in the spring he set forwards with his army, and passing through Coele-Syria came into Egypt, and the inhabitants of Memphis and the other Egyptians, partly out of love, partly out of fear, submitting to him, he came by easy marches down to Alexandria. But this expedition was not as successful as his former ones; the reason of which is assigned in the next words, the ships of

occlus expulsus ab Aegyptius venisse cum in Judaeam, hoc est adversus Testamentum sanctum, et spolias templam, et aurum tulisse quam plurimum: postique in arce præsidio Machometum, inversum in terram suam. 


(7) Et post lauream in urbe contra Ptolomeum exercitum congregasse, et venisse ad austeram. ('And after two years he assembled an army against Ptolemy, and came into the south.') Hieron, ibid.

(8) Non est priori simile novissimum. [Translated in the text.] Vulg.

(9) — adeo est offseason, ut multum arsque infestissimum adversos duos, quam ante adversas annum, parat bellum: ipse primo eum exercitum Aegyptum peneus, in Cœle-Syria praebens: — crepus ab his qui ad Memphis incedebant, et ab exieris Aegyptius, partum voluntate, partum metu, ad Alexandriae modicum iunieribus descendit. [Translated in the text.] Lév. 45. Cap. 11, 12.
Chittim coming against him. In the fifth dissertation it was proved, that the coast of Chittim and the land of Chittim is a general name for Greece, Italy, and the countries and islands in the Mediterranean. The ships of Chittim therefore are those which brought the Roman ambassadors, who came from Italy, touched at Greece, and arrived in Egypt, being sent by the senate, at the supplication of the Ptolemites, to command a peace between the contending kings. The story was related out of the Greek and Roman historians in the last dissertation; it is needless therefore to repeat it here; it will be sufficient to add what St. Jerome says upon the occasion. When the two brothers Ptolemy, the sons of Cleopatra, were besieged by their uncle in Alexandria, the Roman ambassadors came: one of whom Marcus Popillius Lenas, when he had found him standing on the shore, and had delivered to him the decree of the senate, by which he was commanded to depart from the friends of the Roman people, and to be content with his own empire; and he would have deferred the matter to consult with his friends; Popillius is said to have made a circle in the sand with the stick that he held in his hand, and to have circumscribed the king; and to have said, The senate and people of Rome order, that in that place you answer what is your intention. With these words being frighted he said, If this pleases the senate and people of Rome, we must depart; and so presently drew off his army. The reason of the Romans acting in this imperious manner, and of Antiochus so readily obeying, was, as (Polybius)

(1) Quoniam duo fratres Ptolemaei Cleopatrae filii, quorum aequanum erat, obducterunt Alexandriam, legates venisse Romanos: quorum unus Marcus Popillius Lenas, quem cum statuam inisset in littore, et senatum consilium dedisset, quo subducuntur ab amico populi Romani eredere, et suo imperio esse contentus, et ille ad amicum suum responsionem consilium dissipavit; ob rem ducturam in rea in aras iacentem quem tenebat in manu, et circumscriptam eum atque diem: Senatus et populus Romani praesidium, ut in isto loco respondes, quid consilii geras. Quibus dictis ille perturbatus est; St. hoc plerumque senato et populo Romano, recedendum est; atque ita statim movit exercitum. [Translated in the text.]

(2) Με γας γνωσαν των και στηριζηθηκεν αυτοι με διω, ευδεκαντι των επιθαυμασις Αντιοχου. Nam hoc non accusisset, neque de ea constitutum

suggests, the total conquest that Αemilius, the Roman consul, had just made of the kingdom of Macedonia. It was without doubt a great mortification to Antiochus, to be so humbled, and so disappointed of his expected prey. Therefore he grieved, and returned. He led back his forces into Syria, as. (3) Polybius says, grieved and groaning, but thinking it expedient to yield to the times for the present. And had indignation against the holy covenant. For (4) he vented all his anger upon the Jews; he detached Apollonius with an army of twenty-two thousand men, who coming to Jerusalem slew great multitudes plundered the city, set fire to it in several places, and pulled down the houses and walls round about it. Then they built, as an eminence in the city of David, a strong fortress, which might command the temple; and issuing from thence, they fell on those who came to worship, and shed innocent blood on every side of the sanctuary, and defiled it: so that the temple was deserted, and the whole service omitted; the city was forsaken of its natives, and became an habitation of strangers. So he did. and after his return to Antioch (5) he published a decree, which obliged all persons upon pain of death to conform to the religion of the Greeks: and so the Jewish law was abrogated. The Heathen worship was set up in its stead, and the temple itself was consecrated to Jupiter Olympus. In the transacting and ordering of these matters he had intelligence with them that forsok the holy covenant, Maccabeus and the other apostate Jews of his party, who were the king's chief instigators against their religion and their country. For (6) as the writer

(3) Ιστορικαι το τελεσθαι και τον ευζεναι και τον τειρεθοντος ιστοριαν. [Polybius, p. 917.]

(4) Αρχαιολογικος και τελεσθαι και τον ευζεναι και τον τειρεθοντος ιστοριαν. [Polybius, p. 917.]

(5) Αρχαιολογικος και τελεσθαι και τον ευζεναι και τον τειρεθοντος ιστοριαν. [Polybius, p. 917.]
of the first book of Maccabees says, "In those days " went there out of Israel wicked men, who persuaded " many, saying: Let us go, and make a covenant with the " Heathen, that are round about us: Then certain " of the people were so forward herein, that they went " to the king, who gave them licence to do after the or- " dinance of the Heathen: And they made themselves " uncircumcised, and forsook the holy covenant, and " joined themselves to the Heathen, and were sold to do " mischief." Josephus has plainly (7) ascribed the distress of his country to the factions among his country- men, and to those persons particularly who fled to Antiochus, and besought him that under their conduct he would invade Judea.

It may be proper to stop here, and reflect a little how particular and circumstantial this prophecy is concerning the kingdoms of Egypt and Syria, from the death of Alexander to the time of Antiochus Epiphanes. There is not so complete and regular a series of their kings, there is not so concise and comprehensive an account of their affairs, as to be found in any author of those times. The prophecy is more perfect than any history. No one historian hath related so many circumstances, and in such exact order of time, as the prophet hath foretold them: so that it was necessary to have recourse to several authors, Greek and Roman, Jewish and Chris- tian; and to collect here something from one, and to collect there something from another, for the better ex- planing and illustrating the great variety of particulars contained in this prophecy. We have been particularly obliged to Porphyry and Jerome, who made use of the same authors for different purposes, and enjoyed the advantages of having those histories entire, which have since either in whole or in part been destroyed. For (8)

(8) Ad intelligendos autem extres partes Daniehis, multiplex Graecorum historiae necessaria est; Suctoriis videlicet, Callinici, Diodori, Hieronymi, Poly-
THE SAME SUBJECT CONTINUED.

PART II.

Thus far the meaning and the completion of the prophecy is sufficiently clear and evident; there is more obscurity and difficulty in the part that remains to be considered. Thus far commentators are in the main agreed; and few or none have diverged much out of the common road: but hereafter they pursue so many different paths, that it is not always easy to know whom it is best and safest to follow. Some (1) as Porphyry among the ancients, and Gratian among the moderns, contend that the whole was literally accomplished in Antiochus Epiphanes. Others, (2) as Jerome and most of the Christian fathers, consider Antiochus as a type of Antichrist; as in the seventy-second Psalm Solomon is exhibited as a type of Christ, and many things are said of the one, which are only applicable to the other. Some again understand what remains, partly of the tyranny of Antiochus, and partly of the great apostasy of the latter.

(1) Cetera qua sequitur usque ad finem voluminis, ille [*Porphyrius*] interpretatur super persona Antichri qui cognominatus est Epiphanes, &c. [*The rest to the end of the book, he (Porphyry) understands with reference to Antiochus, who is called Epiphanes, &c.*] Hieron. Col. 1127.

(2) Nostri autem hanc omnem de Antichristo prophetari aedilitur—Quamque multa quae postea lectura et expositione somnus, super Antiochi persona non convenient, typum cum volunt Antichristi haberu—justa illud quod de Domino Salvatore in septuagesimo primo [*secondo apud Hebr. et Sept.*] psalmos dictur, qui pronuntiat Salomonis; et omnia quae de eo dictunt, Salomon non valent convenire, &c. [*All our writers are of opinion, that all these things are prophetically descriptive of Antichrist.—And since many of these things which we are about to read and expound, do not agree with the person of Antiochus, they think him in these to be typical of Antichrist:—just as what is said of our Lord and Saviour in the Ixxi, Psalm, (or the Ixxii, as it is reckoned in the Hebrew and Septuagint,) which is marked a Psalm for Solomon. For there all that is said of him cannot apply to Solomon, &c.*] Hieron. ibid.

days, or the days of the Roman empire. Others again apply it wholly to the invasion and tyranny of the Romans, the subsequent corruptions in the church, and alterations in the empire. There is no writer or commentator, whom we would choose to follow implicitly in all things; but in this we may agree with one, in that with another, and in some instances perhaps differ from all.

The prophet proceeds thus, (ver. 31.) *And arms shall stand on his part, and they shall pollute the sanctuary of strength, (the temple so called by reason of its fortifications) and shall take away the daily sacrifice, and they shall place the abomination that maketh desolate.* Porphyry and his adherents (3) would have those to be signified, who were sent by Antiochus two years after he had spoiled the temple, that they might exact tribute from the Jews, and take away the worship of God, and place in the temple of Jerusalem the image of Jupiter Olympius, and the statues of Antiochus, which are here called the abomination of desolation. And it is very true, (4) as the writer of the first book of Maccabees saith, that Apollonius and others commissioned by Antiochus did "pollute the sanctuary, and forbid burnt-offerings, and sacrifice, and drink-offerings in the temple, and set up the abomination of desolation upon the altar, and built idol-altars throughout the cities of Judah on every side." Josephus likewise (5) affirmis, that Antiochus forbade the Jews to offer the daily sacrifices,


(4) 1 Macc. i. 46, 46, 54.

which they offered to God according to the law: He compelled them also to leave off the service of their God, and to worship those whom he esteemed Gods; and to build temples and erect altars to them in every city and village, and to sacrifice swine upon them every day. This interpretation therefore might very well be admitted, if the other parts were equally applicable to Antiochus; but the difficulty, or rather impossibility of applying them to Antiochus, or any of the Syrian kings his successors, obliges us to look out for another interpretation. Jerome and the Christians of his time (6) contend, that all these things were a type of Antichrist, who is about to sit in the temple of God, and to make himself as God: but the fathers had very confused and imperfect notions of Antichrist, the prophecies relating to him having not then received their completion. All things duly considered, no interpretation of this passage appears so rational and convincing, as that proposed by Sir (7) Isaac Newton. "In the same year that Antiochus by the command of the Romans retired out of Egypt, and set up the worship of the Greeks in Judea; the Romans conquered the kingdom of Macedon, the fundamental kingdom of the empire of the Greeks, and reduced it into a Roman province; and thereby began to put an end to the reign of Daniel's third beast. This is thus expressed by Daniel. And after him arms, that is the Romans, shall stand up. As signifies (8) after the king, Dan. xi. 3: so may signify after him. Arms are every where in this prophecy of Daniel put for the military power of a kingdom: and they stand up when they conquer and grow powerful. Illther Daniel described the actions of the kings of the north and south; but upon the conquest of Macedon by the Romans, he left off describing the actions of the Greeks, and began to describe those of the Romans in Greece. They conquered Macedon, Illyricum, and Epirus, in the year of Nabo-nassar 550; 33 years after, by the last will and testament of Attalus the last king of Pergamus, they inherited that rich and flourishing kingdom, that is, all Asia westward of mount Taurus; 69 years after they conquered the kingdom of Syria, and reduced it into a province, and 34 years after they did the like to Egypt. By all these steps the Roman arms stood up over the Greeks; and after 95 years more, by making war upon the Jews, they pollute the sanctuary of strength, and took away the daily sacrifice, and then placed the abomination of desolation. For this abomination was placed after the days of Christ, Matt. xxiv. 15, in the 16th year of the emperor Adrian, A.C. 132, they placed this abomination by building a temple to Jupiter Capitolinus where the temple of God in Jerusalem had stood. Therefore the Jews under the conduct of Barchochab rose up in arms against the Romans, and in the war had fifty cities demolished, nine hundred and eighty-five of their best towns destroyed, and five hundred and eighty thousand men slain by the sword; and in the end of the war, A.C. 136, were banished Judea upon pain of death, and thenceforward the land remained desolate of its old inhabitants." In support of this interpretation it may be farther added, that the Jews themselves, as (9) Jerome informs us, understood this passage neither of Antiochus Epiphanes, nor of Antichrist, but of the Romans, of whom it was said above that the ships of Chittim shall come, and he shall be grieved. After some

(6) Quae universa in typo Antichristi, nostri precocissae contendunt: qui surrennus est in templum Dei, et se facturus ut Deum. "All which things our writers contend, went before as typical of Antichrist, who is to sit in the temple of God, and to make himself as God." T. Hieron, ibid.


(8) So נִשְׁנָה 日 יִשְׁנָה Nehem xii. 21. is after that time or from that time forth. So likewise in this very chap. ver. 23. מִנָּה יִשְׁנָה is translated after the league with him. See the particle יֶה in Noldius and Taylor's Concordance.

(9) Judei autem hoc nec de Antiocha Epiphanes, nec de Antichrasto, sed de Romanis intelligi volunt, de quibus supra dictum est. Et suae trucis, sive ille aegypti Romani, et huiusmodi. Post multa, inquit, tempora de ipsa Romanis, qui Plutarchum venere auxili, et Antiochum communiunt. sunt, consanguet rex Vespasianus, surgunt huc his ejus semen, et ibi illius cum exercitu, et omnipotent sanctuarium, auctore et jure sacri, et templum tradunt aeterna solitudinem. [Translated in the text.] Hieron, ibid.
time, says the prophet, out of the Romans themselves who came to assist Ptolemey, and menaced Antiochus; there shall arise the emperor Vespasian, there shall arise his arms and seed, his son Titus with an army; and they shall pollute the sanctuary, and take away the daily sacrifice, and deliver the temple to eternal desolation.’ Mr. Mede too assigns (1) the same reason for the prophet’s passing from Antiochus Epiphanes to the Romans. We must know, says he, that after the death of Antiochus Epiphanes the third kingdom comes no more in the holy reckoning, none of the Greek kings after him being at all prophesied of; yea Daniel himself calling the time of Antiochus his reign the latter end of the Greek kingdom. (viii. 23.) The reason of this is, because during the reign of Antiochus, Macedonia (whence that kingdom sprung) with all the rest of Greece, came under the Roman obedience. From thence therefore the Holy Ghost begins the rise of the fourth kingdom, yea the Roman historians themselves mark out that time for the rise of their empire.” And for this purpose he alleges two quotations from Lucius Florus and Velleius Paterculus. Our Saviour himself making use of this same phrase the abomination of desolation in his prediction of the destruction of Jerusalem, may convince us that this part of the prophecy refers to that event.

What follows can be but in part applied to the times of Antiochus Epiphanes. And such as do wickedly against the covenant, shall he corrupt by flatteries: but the people that do know their God, shall be strong, and do exploits. And they that understand among the people, shall instruct many; yet they shall fall by the sword, and by flame, by captivity, and by spoil many days. (ver. 32, 33.) If it may be said of Antiochus, that he corrupted many by flatteries, by rewards and promises, to forsake the holy covenant, and to conform to the religion of the Greeks; but the people who knew their God, the Maccabees and their associates, were strong and did exploits: Yet it cannot so properly be said of the Maccabees, or of any of the devout Jews of their time, that they did instruct many, and make many proselytes to their religion; neither did the persecution, which Antiochus raised against the Jews, continue many days, or years according to the prophetic stile, for it lasted only a few years. All these things are much more truly applicable to the Christian Jews: for now the daily sacrifice was taken away, the temple was given to desolation, and the Christian church had succeeded in the place of the Jewish, the new covenant in the room of the old. And such as do wickedly against the covenant, shall he corrupt by flatteries: He, that is the power before described, who took away the daily sacrifice, and placed the abomination of desolation: nor is such a change of number unfrequent in the Hebrew language. There are some, saith an (2) old commentator, who think that the prophet here had respect to the Christians, whom the wicked idolaters endeavored from the beginning of the rising church to seduce by flatteries; but the persecution of tyrants raged chiefly against the apostles and holy teachers. The Roman magistrates and officers, it is very well known, made use

---

(1) Mede’s Works, B. 4. Epist. 41. p. 797. See too B. 3. p. 667, 672. Lucius Florus, Lib. 2. Cap. 7. Cedente Hannibal, maximum victoriae Africom, et secutus Africae terrarum orbis. Post Carthaginem vincit nemicem puduit; secuta sunt statum Africana gentes, Macedonia, Graeciae, Syria, ceteraque, omnia, quondam quasi asu et terrae fortunam: sed primi omnium Macedonum, affectator quandam imperii populus. [Hannibal being worsted, Africa became the reward of the victory, and after Africa the whole world also. None thought it a shame to be overcome, after Carthage was. Macedonia, Greece, Syria, and all other nations, as if carried with a certain current and torrent of fortune, did soon follow Africa: But the first who followed were the Macedonians, a people that sometime affected the empire of the world.] In Velleius Paterculus, Lib. 1. Cap. 6. is an annotation out of one Ambibius Sarra in these words: Assyrice primis omnium gentium rerum potissi sunt, deinque Medi, pestes Persae, deinque Macedonie; exinde duobus regionibus, Philippo et Antiocho, qui Macedonibus oriundam cruentam, tandus post Carthaginem subiectam, devictam, summa imperii ad populum Romanum perventum. [The Assyrians had the sovereignty dominion the first of all nations, then the Medes and Persians; after them the Macedonians: afterwards those two kings, Philip and Antiochus, being overcome, and that a little after that Carthage was subdued, the imperial power came to the Romans.]

(2) Sunt qui putant hic prophetam aspicere ad Christianos, quos impius idolatorum omnes formant ab initio necesse est sedere illo tempore. Potissimum autem sevnt tyrannorum persecutionem in apostolos et sanctos doctores. [Translated in the text.] Clara in locum.
of the most alluring promises, as well as of the most terrible threatenings, to prevail upon the primitive Christians to renounce their religion, and offer incense to the statues of the emperors and images of the gods. Many were induced to comply with the temptation, and apostatized from the faith, as we learn particularly from the famous (3) epistle of Pliny to Trajan: but the true Christians, the people who knew their God were strong, remained firm to their religion, and gave the most illustrious proofs of the most heroic patience and fortitude. It may too with the strictest truth and propriety be said of the primitive Christians, that being dispersed everywhere, and preaching the gospel in all the parts of the Roman empire, they instructed many, and gained a great number of proselytes to their religion; yet they fell by the sword, and by flame, by captivity, and by spoil many days; for they were exposed to the malice and fury of ten general persecutions, and suffered all manner of injuries, afflictions, and tortures with little intermission for the space of three hundred years.

After these violent persecutions the church obtained some rest and relaxation. Now when they shall fall, they shall be holpen with a little help; but many shall cleave to them with flatteries. And some of them of understanding shall fall, to try them, and to purge, and to make them white, even to the time of the end; because it is yet for a time appointed. (4) (Ver. 34, 35.) Here Porphyry hath many followers, besides Grotius: and he (4) supposed that by the little help was meant Mattathias of Modin, who rebelled against the generals of Antiochus, and endeavored to preserve the worship of the true God. It is called a little help, saith he, because Mattathias was slain in battle; and afterwards his son Judas, who was called Maccabenus, fell in fight; and his other brethren were deceived by the fraud of their adversaries. But this is not an exact or just representation of the case. Mattathias (5) was not slain in battle, but died of old age. His son Judas Maccabaeus several times vanquished the generals of Antiochus, recovered the holy city, cleansed the sanctuary, restored the public worship of God, and not only survived Antiochus some years, but also received the good news of the dethroning and murdering of his son. His brother Jonathan was made high-priest, and his brother Simon sover prince of the Jews; and both these dignities, the high-priesthood and the soverainty, descended to Simon's son, and continued united in the family for several generations. That therefore could not be deemed a little help, which prevailed and triumphed over all the power and malice of the enemy, and established the Jewish religion and government upon a firmer basis than before; so far were they from falling again into a state of persecution, as the next verse intimates that they should. It may be concluded then, that Porphyry was mistaken in the sense of this passage. The Jewish doctors seem to have come nearer the mark: for some of them, as (6) Jerome affirms, understood these things of the emperor Severus and Antoninus, who much loved the Jews; and others, of the emperor Julian, who pretended to love the Jews; and promised to sacrifice in their temple. But the most natural way of interpretation is to follow the course and series of events. The church had now labored under long and severe persecutions from the civil power. They had fallen by the sword, and by flame, by captivity, and by spoil many days. The tenth and last general persecution was begun (7) by

(4) Pa vultum auxilium, Mattathiam significari arbitratur Porphyrius de vivi Modin; qui adversum duces Antiochi rebellavit, et cultum veri Dei servare e statu esse. Parvum autem, inquit, auxilium vocat, quia occisus est in praedam Mattathiam; et postea Judas filius ejus, qui vocabatur Maccabenus, pugnans credidit; et eadem fessitas ejus adversariorum fraude decipiunt. [Translated in the text.] Hieron. Col. 1190.
(5) For these particulars the two books of Maccabees, Josephus, Usher, Prideaux, &c. must be consulted.
(7) Eusebii Eccles. Hist. Lib. 8, Cap. 21 et 15, &c. Laetant. de Mort. Persecut. Cap. 12 et 48. Sic ab eversa ecclesia usque ad restitutionem furent anni decem, &c. [Thus from the overthrow of the church to its restoration were ten years, &c.]
Diocletian: it raged, though not at all times equally, ten years; and was suppressed entirely by Constantine, the first Roman emperor, as it is universally known, who made open profession of Christianity; and then the church was no longer persecuted, but was protected and favored by the civil power. But still this is called only a little help; because though it added much to the temporal prosperity, yet it contributed little to the spiritual graces and virtues of Christians. It enlarged their revenues, and increased their endowments; but proved the fatal means of corrupting the doctrine, and relaxing the discipline of the church. It was attended with this peculiar disadvantage, that many clave to them with flatteries. Many became Christians for the sake of the loaves and the fishes, and pretended to be of the religion, only because it was the religion of the emperor. Eusebius, who was a contemporary writer (8), reckons that one of the reigning vices of the time was the dissimulation and hypocrisy of men fraudulently entering into the church, and borrowing the name of Christians without the reality. Julian himself, as a (9) heathen historian relates, that he might allure the Christians to favor him, publicly professed the faith, from which he had long ago privately revoluted; and even went to church; and joined with them in the most solemn offices of religion. He did more; his dissimulation carried him so far as to (1) become an ecclesiastical in lower orders, or

(8) Euseb. de vita Const. lib. v. cap. 34.


(1) Theodoret Eccles. Hist. lib. 3. cap. 1. — and to the church's worship, and to the church's worship, and to the church's worship, and to the church's worship, and to the church's worship, and to the church's worship, and to the church's worship, and to the church's worship.

a reader in the church. Moreover, this is also called a little help, because the temporal peace and prosperity of the church lasted but a little while. The spirit of persecution presently revived; and no sooner were the Christians delivered from the fury of their heathen adversaries, than they began to quarrel among themselves, and to persecute one another. The (2) Eusebian, and in the time of Constantine, led the way by excommunicating and banishing the Arians. The latter, under the favor of Constantius and Valens, more than retaliated the injury, and were guilty of many horrible outrages and cruelties towards the former. Such more or less have been the fate and condition of the church ever since: and generally speaking, those of understanding have fallen a sacrifice to others, some of the best and wisest men to some of the worst and most ignorant. At least, if the persecuted have not been always in the right, yet the persecutors have been always in the wrong. These calamities were to befall the Christians, to try them; and to purge; and to make them white, not only at that time, but even to the time of the end, because it is yet for a time appointed; and we see even at this day, not to allege other instances, how the poor protestants are persecuted, plundered and murdered, in the southern parts of France.

The principal source of these persecutions is traced out in the following verses. And the king (who shall cause these persecutions) shall do according to his will, and he shall exalt himself, and magnify himself above every god, and shall speak marvellous things against the God of gods, and shall prosper till the indignation be accomplished; for that is determined, shall be done. (ver. 36.) From this place, as (3) Jerome asserts, the Jews as well as the Christians of his time understood all to be


(3) Ab hoc loco Judaei dicit de Antichristo putabant—Quod quidem et nos de Antichristo intelligimus. Ephesios autem et ceteri qui sequuntur cum, de Antichristo Epigraphie dicitur arbor, quod erat sit contra cultum Dei, et in fato a superstatis veniret, ut in templo Jerusalem simulacrum corn ponit, Jesum. (Translated in the text.) Hieron. col. 1131.
spoken of Antichrist. But Porphyry and others who follow him, suppose it to be spoken of Antiochus Epiphanes, that he should be exalted against the worship of God, and grow to that height of pride, that he should command his statue to be placed in the temple of Jerusalem. But if it might be said of Antiochus, that he spoke miraculous things against the God of Israel; yet it could not be so well affirmed of him, that he magnified and exalted himself above every God; when (4) in his public sacrifices and worship of the gods he was more sumptuous and magnificent than all who reigned before him; and when in his solemn shows and processions were carried the images of all who among men were called or reputed gods, or demons, and even heroes; as Athenaeus reports out of Polybius. He was certainly very superstitious, tho’ sometime his extravagancies and necessities might induce him to commit sacrilege. It is a strong argument in favour of the Jewish and Christian interpretation, that St. Paul appears to have understood this passage much in the same manner, because he applies the same expressions (2 Thess. ii. 3, 4.) to the man of sin, the son of perdition, who opposeth and exalteth himself above all that is called God, or that is worshipped; so that he as God sitteth in the temple of God, showing himself that he is God. The third of the prophecy will also conduct us to the same conclusion. For the prophet was speaking of the persecutions, which should be permitted for the trial and probation of the church, after the empire was become Christian: and now he proceeds to describe the principal author of these persecutions. A king or kingdom, as we have shown before, and it appears in several instances, signifies any government, state or potentate: and the meaning of this verse we conceive to be, that after the empire was become Christian, there should spring up the church an antichristian power, that should act in the most absolute and arbitrary manner, exalt itself above all laws divine and human, dispense with the most solemn and sacred obligations, and in many respects injure what God had forbidden, and forbid what God had commanded. This power began in the Roman emperors, who summoned councils, and directed and influenced their determinations almost as they pleased. After the division of the empire, this power still increased, and was exerted principally by the Greek emperors in the east, and by the bishops of Rome in the west; as we shall see in the several particulars hereafter specified by the prophet. This power too was to continue in the church, and prosper till the indignation be accomplished: for that is determined, shall be done. This must denote some particular period; or otherwise it is no more than saying, that God’s indignation shall not be accomplished till it be accomplished. This is the same as what before was called, (viii. 19.) the last end of the indignation, and (ix. 27.) the consummation: and it meaneth the last end and consummation of God’s indignation against his people the Jews. This seemeth to be expressed plainer in the following chapter, (ver. 7.) And when he shall have accomplished to scatter the power of the holy people, all these things shall be finished. So long this antichristian power should continue. We see it still subsisting in the church of Rome: and it was an ancient tradition among the Jewish doctors, that the destruction of Rome and the restoration of the Jews should fall out about the same period. It is a saying of the famous rabbi David Kimchi in his comment upon Obadiah, (5) when Rome shall be laid waste, there shall be redemption for Israel. The curious reader may see

more authorities cited by Mr. Mede in a small Latin tractate upon this subject.

In this prophecy the anti-Christian power is described as exerted principally in the eastern empire, it was before described as exerted in the western empire, under the figure of the little horn of the fourth beast. Neither shall he regard the God of his fathers, nor the desire of women, nor regard any God; for he shall magnify himself above all. (ver. 37.) That he should not regard the God of his fathers, could not be truly affirmed of Antiochus, (6) who compelled all, and especially the Jews and Samaritans, to conform their worship to the religion of the Greeks: and what were the idols that he set up among them, but the Grecian deities, as Jupiter Olympius in the temple of Jerusalem, and Jupiter Xenius, or the defender of strangers, in the temple of the Samaritans? This, therefore, as Jerome rightly observes, agrees better with Antichrist than with Antiochus. By not regarding the God of his fathers, Mr. Mede (7) understands the Roman state's cashiering and casting off the pagan deities and heathen gods which were worshipped in their empire. But the conversion of the Roman state was hinted before, (ver. 34.) and other events have been pointed out since; so that it would be breaking in upon the series and order of the prophecy, to resume that subject again. The character, too, here given, doth not seem in any part of it, to be designed by way of commendation. It is not mentioned to the honor, but to the reproach of the power here described, that he should forsake the religion of his ancestors, and in a manner set up a new religion. It was not the prophet's intention to praise him for renouncing the idolatry of his heathen fathers, but to blame him for apostatizing in:


some measure from the religion of his Christian fathers; as he did actually both in the Greek and Latin church, by worshipping Mahuzzim instead of the true God blessed for ever. Another property of the power here described is, that he should not regard the desire of women: And neither could this with any truth be declared of Antiochus, who besides having a wife, (8) was lewd and vicious to such a degree, that he had no regard to common decency, but would prostitute his royal dignity, and gratify and indulge his lusts publicly in the presence of the people. He had a favourite concubine, whom he called after his own name Antiochis. To her he assigned two cities in Cilicia, Tarsus and Mallus for her maintenance; and the inhabitants, rather than would submit to such an indignity rebelled against him. As Jerome says, (9) the interpretation is easier of Antichrist, that he should therefore counterfeit chastity, that he might deceive many. In the Vulgar Latin it is indeed (1) And he shall regard the desire of women: but this reading is plainly contradictory to the original, and to most other versions; unless with Groitus we understand the copulative and, when it follows a negative, as becoming a negative, too, and signifying neither. Groitus explains it of Antiochus, (2) that he should not spare even women: but the words in the original will not by any fair construction admit of this interpretation. The

(8) — luxuriosissimam haec iucunditatem sed etsi delectev melius perstrepere et corruptelas versus rege dignitatem et minas quoque et coniitutione publice puncta, et ibidem seam populo suam occidentem complever. [This is said to have been very lewd, and to have brought the royal dignity into such disgrace by his whoredoms and wickedness, that he would gratify his lusts in the presence of the people, by connecting himself with actresses and prostitutes.] Hieron. ibid. Vide eadem Thuc. i. in horam, p. 689. 2 Mac. iv. 30.

(9) de Antiөchristo facilius interpretationi est; quod adeo simul et castitatem et plurimos decipiatur. [Translated in the text.] Hieron. ibid.

(1) Et erit in concupiscentiam feminarum. [Translated in the text.] Vulg.

(2) Apud Hebræos — negatvam sequens negat, et volat nec, ut verum sit, sequa desiderium mulierum, et est, negatNequaquam sequatur, cumbit quisquam, nullus sequatur in furturis miscervicio. [In Hebrew v, coming after a negative has a negative signification, and signifies not, so that the meaning is, 'nor the desire of women,' that is, he will have no regard for women however lovely, he will not be touched with any pity for them.] Groth, in horum.
word in the original for women (3) signifies properly wives, as desire both conjugal affection. The meaning therefore of not regarding the desire of woman is neglecting and discouraging marriage; as both the Greeks and Latins did to the great detriment of human society, and to the great discredit of the Christian religion. The Julian and Papian laws, which were enacted in the most flourishing times of the Romans for the favor and encouragement of those who were married and had children, (4) Constantine himself repealed, and allowed equal or greater privileges and immunities to those who were unmarried and had no children. Nay he (5) held in the highest veneration those men who had devoted themselves to the divine philosophy, that is to a monastic life; and almost adored the most holy company of perpetual virgins, being convinced that the God, to whom they had consecrated themselves, did dwell in their minds. His example was followed by his successors: and the married clergy were disconsecrated and depressed; the monks were honored and advanced; and in the fourth century like a torrent overran the eastern church, and soon after the western too. This was evidently not regarding the desire of wives, or conjugal affec-

(3) See Mede's Works, B. 3, p. 668. And it might have been translated in this place desire of wives, as well as desire of women; for there is no other word used in the original for wives above once or twice in the whole scripture, but this בָּנוֹת which is here termed women. With the like use of the word desire, the spouse in the Canticles vii. 10. c bespeaks her well beloved to be her husband: I am my beloved's and my desire is towards me: that is, he is my husband; for so twice before she expressed herself, p. 16. My beloved is mine, and I am his; and vi. 3. I am my beloved's, and my beloved is mine. So Ezek. xxiv. 16, the Lord threatening to take away Ezekiel's wife, Behold, I take away from thee the desire of thine eyes יָדָמָךְ and afterwards ver. 18, it followeth. And at even my wife died. Yea, the Roman language itself is not unacquainted with this speech. Cicero ad Att. xiv. 3.


(5) שְׁמַעְתָּהוּ שָׂאָלְנָה הָאֱלֹהִים תָּהְרֶנֶת תַּפֹּלָנָה תָּהְרֶנֶת תַּפֹּלָנוּ תָּהְרֶנֶת תַּפֹּלָנוּ תָּהְרֶנֶת תַּפֹּלָנוּ תָּהְרֶנֶת. Præ civibus virtus est maximus honor, qui se sic divina philosophia addivincit. Ipsum quidem sanctissimum perpetuam Dei virginum caetum tantum non veneratur, cum ipsum cui se conservaverit Deum, in carum munitione habitare, pro certo habetur. [Translated in the text.] Eneseb. ibid. Cap. 28.

The Prophecies. At first only second marriages were prohibited, but in time the clergy were absolutely restrained from marrying at all. So much did the power here described magnify himself above all, even God himself, by contradicting the primary law of God and nature; and making that dishonorable, which the scripture (Heb. xiii. 4.) hath pronounced honorable in all.

More proofs are alleged in the next verse, of his apostatizing from the true religion of his ancestors. But in his estate shall be honor the God of forces, or Mahuzzim; and a God whom his fathers knew not, shall he honor with gold and silver, and with precious stones and pleasant things. (ver. 38.) How can this with any propriety or, with any degree of sense, be applied to Antiochus? The God Mahuzzim, as (6) Jerome remarks, Porphyry hath ridiculously interpreted the God of Modin, the town from whence came Mattathias and his sons, and where the generals of Antiochus compelled the Jews to sacrifice to Jupiter, that is, to the God of Modin. The God whom his father knew not, Grotius also (7) says, was Baal Semen, who was the same as Jupiter Olympus; but if so, how was he unknown to the Macedonians? It is necessary therefore to conclude with Jerome that (8) this likewise agrees better with Antichrist than with Antiochus. The word Mahuzzim is taken personally, and is retained (9) in several translations. It is derived from (1) a radical verb signifying he was strong; and the proper meaning of it is munitions, bulwarks, fortifications. (6) Deo Muanzi ridiculc Porphyrius interpreters est, ut discreet in verbo Modin, unde fuit Mattathias et filii ejus, Antiochus dux Justus posuisse Statman, et compassu Judæos, at vi victimas immolare, id est, Deo Modin. [Translated in the text.] Hieron. ibid.

(7) Is Deus est summus Theorium Deus Baal Semen quem Greci appellant Jovem Olympiam, quasi translato nomine. [This God is Baal-Semen, the supreme god of the Theorians, whom the Greeks call Olympian Jove, and is a translation of the name into their language.] God, in locum. Hieron. ibid.

(8) Hieron. ibid.

(9) And he shall glorify the god Mauzin in his place.] Sept. Deo autem Mauzim in loco suo venerabitur. [And he shall worship Mauzin in his place.] Vulg. Lat.

(1) Šūn Mauzin. [My fortress, also, a fortress, a munition; from šūn he strengthened, he strengthened himself, he was strong not.] Buxtorf.
precious stones and desirable things. And what renders the completion of the prophecy still more remarkable is, that they were celebrated and adored under the title of Mahozim, of bulwarks and fortresses, of protectors and guardians of mankind. Mr. Meede and Sir Isaac Newton (5) have proved this point by a great variety of authorities cited from the fathers and other ancient writers. It may be proper to recite some of the principal. Basil a monk, who was made bishop of Cesarea in the year 369, and died in the year 378, concludes his oration upon the martyr Mamas with praying, that (6) God 
would preserve the church of Cesarea unshaken, being 
guarded with the great towers of the martyrs. In his 
oration upon the forty martyrs, whose relics were dispersed 
in all places thereabouts; 'These are they,' (7) saith he, 
who having taken possession of our country, as certain 
conquered towers, secure it from the incursions of our 
enemies:' and he further invokes them, 'O ye common 
keepers of mankind, good companions of our cares, 
eoadjuvators of our prayers, most powerful embassadors 
to God, &c.' Chrysostome in his thirty-second homily 
upon the epistle to the Romans, speaking of the relics of 
Peter and Paul, 'This corps, (8) saith he, meaning 
of Paul, fortifies that city of Rome more strongly 
than any tower, or than ten thousand vampires,

(6) εσοφη τοις θανατημα σταθησ, ουρανος των μεγαλων θεον των 
μαρτυρων διατηρητης εκκλησιας hanc quo anguis martyrum turris homini 
(5) οντινομιτ ο τοις καθ αυτας 
χερας ελατοστε, ενων 
σπασε των συμφωνη 
εν δια των παθημα 
καταβασεισ στοιχειων. Ειπατι 
κατα τα ερωμε 
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as also doth the corps of Peter.' Are not these strong Malchuzim? In his homily likewise upon the Egyptian martyrs he speaketh (9) after this manner, 'The bodies of these saints fortify our city more strongly than any impregnable wall of adamant; and as certain high rocks prominent on every side, not only repel the assaults of these enemies who fall under the senses and are seen by the eyes, but also subvert and dissipate the snares of invisible demons, and all the stratagems of the devil.' Hilary also will tell us, that neither the guards of saints, nor [angclorum munitiones] the bulwarks of angels are wanting to those who are willing to stand. Here angels are Malchuzim, as saints were before. The Greeks at this day, in their Preces Horatiae, thus invoke the blessed virgin, 'O thou virgin mother of God, thou impregnable wall, thou fortress of salvation [γνωρίζω τετράσιμον] Psal. 28.' we call upon thee, that thou would frustrate the purposes of our enemies, and be a fence to this city; thus they go on, calling her The Hope, Safeguard, and Sanctuary of Christians. Gregory Nyssen in his third oration upon the forty martyrs calleth them [σφαεραί και ὑπερασπιστέοι] guards and protectors; Eucherius his St. Gervasius the perpetual [propugnator] protector of the faithful. Theodoret (1) calleth the holy martyrs Guardians of cities. Lieutenants of places, Captains of men, Princes, Champions and Guardians, by whom disasters are turned from us, and those which come from devils debarred and driven away. By these and other authorities it appears, not only that Malchuzim were worshipped, but they were worshipped likewise as Malchuzim. This superstition began to prevail in the fourth century; and in the eighth century, in the year 787, the worship of images and the like was fully established by the seventh general council, and the second which was held at Nice: such different fortune attended that city, that there the first general council established orthodoxy, and there also the seventh established idolatry by law.

Other instances of his regard to Malchuzim are produced in the next verse. Thus shall he do in the most strong holds with a strange god, whom shall acknowledge, and increase with glory: and he shall cause them to rule over many, and shall divide the land for gain. (ver. 39.) Porphyry (2) explains this of Antiochus fortifying the city of Jerusalem, and placing garrisons in the other cities, and persuading the people to worship Jupiter; and then giving much honor and glory to those whom he had so persuaded, and causing them to rule over the other Jews, and dividing possessions and distributing rewards to them for their prevarication. But if all the rest could be accommodated to Antiochus, how could Jupiter, whom he had always worshipped, be called a strange God whom he should acknowledge? The

(9) το της των ἁγίων σαβαλα τῶν τινὶς πιστω-ἀδαμαντίως καὶ ἀβύσσις ἀειμνήνωσι τῷ ἀγαθῷ τῇ σου, καὶ ὁ σωτήρ σου τῇ κυρίᾳ της ἁγίας ἀποκαθίσσεται σαβαλός, οὐτῶς τίς τῶν ἁγίων τῶν σαβαλάων, καὶ οὐ διαφανείς ἕξωκεν ἄρτα τοῖς συνεδρίοις μισθίων, μόνος καὶ ταῖς τῶν ἁγίων σωτηρίας ὁμολόγως, καὶ κατὰ τῶν ἁγίων σωτηρίας ἀνακαλέται, καὶ διακόνεις. Sanctorurn comm. lorum corpora quovis adannation et inexcupabili muro totius nubis umbra moumunt; et tamquam inluri quidam sequeuli unde prominentes, non horum, qui sub secesis cadunt, et odiali remanunt, hostium impetus propulsans tantum, sed etiam invisibilium damnonum invisibilis omnie quoti diabolos frustrant, ac dissipant. [Translated in the text.] Hist. Vol. 2. p. 689.

(1) καὶ τῶν ἔφοβων τιμωσει τινὶς ἐρμακτεινυ, διανεκενετικος τανκαρια χρυσαφετς. [These are truly the captains, the defenders and helpers of men, the victors of evils, who are far away these injuries that are brought upon them from demons.] Thad. Op. Vol. 4. de Graecorum affectuam Gratian. Serm. 8. p. 503, 504, 600. Ed. Paris. 1642.
worship of Mahuzzim was indeed the worship of a strange god, both to those who imposed it, and to those who received it in the Christian church. But for the better understanding of this part of the prophecy, it may be proper to propose a more literal translation of it. Thus shall he do: to the defenders of Mahuzzim together with the strange god whom he shall acknowledge, he shall multiply honor; and he shall cause them to rule over many, and the earth he shall divide for a reward. Mr. Mede’s (3) translation is somewhat different: but I conceive it is neither so literal, nor so just to the original. And he shall make the holds of the Mahuzzim withal (or jointly) to the foreign god, whom acknowledging he shall increase with honor; and shall cause them to rule over many, and shall distribute the earth for a reward. Let us examine and compare the translations together. In our Bible-translation it is, Thus shall he do in the most strong holds, or as we read in the margin; in the fortresses of munitions, with a strange god: but here Mahuzzim is not taken personally, as it was in the foregoing verse. Mr. Mede translates it thus, And he shall make the holds of the Mahuzzim withal (or jointly) to the foreign god: but then he doth not express the force of the particle prefixed to holds in the Hebrew, which ought not to be neglected. Whom he shall acknowledge and increase with glory, says our Bible-translation: but there is no conjunction like and before increase, and no preposition like with before glory in the original. Mr. Mede hath avoided the former objection by changing the verb into a participle. Whom acknowledging he shall increase with honor; but the latter objection remains still in its full strength. The latter part is much the same in all translations: but in our Bible-translation there is nothing to which them can be referred, And he shall cause them to rule over many; for it cannot well be said, that he shall cause the strong holds to rule over many. Let us now consider, how these inconveniences may be wholly avoided by a new translation Thus (4) shall we do: So the same words are translated ver. 30, but then here a stop is to be made. To (5) the defenders of Mahuzzim, or to the priests of Mahuzzim: Here the force of the participle is expressed: here again the abstract is used for the concrete as in the foregoing verse, holds or fortresses for defenders and supporters, or priests as it may be translated; and this notion of the word I find (6) approved and confirmed by Father Houhigant. It is manifest, that persons must be meant, because they are said afterwards to rule over many. Together (7) with the strange god whom he shall acknowledge: This is the most usual signification of the preposition; and if Mahuzzim be not considered as the strange god, it is difficult to say who the strange god is. He (8) shall multiply honor: Here is no conjunction, nor preposition inserted, without authority from the original. He shall multiply honor: the noun is the same as the verb in the verse preceding; he shall honor. He shall multiply honor to the defenders and champions of Mahuzzim, as well as to Mahuzzim themselves. Deifying Mahuzzim, he shall also glorify their priests and ministers: (9) and he shall cause them to rule over many, and the earth he shall divide for a reward. The prophecy thus expounded, the completion becomes obvious and evident to the meanest capacity,

that in the eleventh year of his reign he warred again against his sister's son Ptolemy Philometor, who hearing of his coming, gathered together many thousands of the people; but Antiochus like a whirlwind with chariots, and with horsemen, and with a great fleet entered into many countries, and in passing over laid all waste; and came to the famous land, that is Judea, and fortified the citadel out of the ruins of the walls of the city, and so marched forwards into Egypt. But here Porphyry may he convicted of falsifying history; for after Antiochus was dismissed out of Egypt by the Romans, he never ventured to go thither again. The (3) eleventh was the last year of his reign: and all (4) historians agree, that the latter part of his reign was employed in his eastern expedition, in reducing Artaxias king of Armenia to his obedience, and in collecting the tribute among the Persians; and before he returned, he died. Others therefore (5) have said, that the prophet here resumes his former subject of the wars between Antiochus Epiphanes king of Syria, and Ptolemy Philometor king of Egypt. But it is not likely, after giving an account of the conclusion of those wars by the interposition of the Romans, that he should return to them again. Having hitherto deduced things in a regular series, it is more probable that he should continue that series, and proceed to other subsequent events, than that of a sudden he should stop short, and revert to Antiochus, after the intermixture of so many other affairs. But the question is not so much what it was probable for him to do, as what he actually hath done; and we shall find that the remaining parts of the prophecy are applicable to other


(5) Menander, Sanctus, Maundanus, &c. apud Poli Synops. Calmat, Houbigant, &c. in locum.
subsequent events than to the transactions of Antiochus. The kings of the south and the north are to be taken and explained according to the times, of which the prophet is speaking. As long as the kingdoms of Egypt and Syria were subsisting, so long the Egyptian and Syrian kings were the kings of the south and the north: but when these kingdoms were swallowed up in the Roman empire, then other powers became the kings of the south and the north. And at the time of the end, that is, (as Mr. Mede (6) rightly explains it) in the latter days of the Roman empire; shall the king of the south push at him: that is the Saracens, who were of the Arabians, and came from the south: and under the conduct of their false prophet Mohammed and his successors, made war upon the emperor Heraclius, and with amazing rapidity deprived him of Egypt, Syria, and many of his finest provinces. They were only to push at, and sorely wound the Greek empire, but they were not to subvert and destroy it. And the king of the north shall come against him like a whirlwind with chariots, and with horsemen; and with many ships, and he shall enter into the countries, and shall overflow and pass over: that is, the Turks, who were originally of the Scythians, and came from the north; and after the Saracens seised on Syria, and assaulted with great violence the remains of the Greek empire, and in time rendered themselves absolute masters of the whole. The Saracens dismembered and weakened the Greek empire, but the Turks totally ruined and destroyed it: and for this reason, we may presume, so much more it is said of the Turks than of the Saracens. Their chariots and their horsemen are particularly mentioned; because their armies consisted chiefly of horse, especially before the institution of the Janizaries, and their standards still are horse-tails. Their ships too are said to be many; and indeed without many ships they could never have gotten possession of so many lands and maritime countries, nor have so frequently vanquished the Venetians, who were


at that time the greatest naval power in Europe. What fleets, what armies were employed in the besieging and taking of Constantinople, of Negropont or Eubæa, of Rhodes, of Cyprus, and lastly of Candia or Crete? The words shall enter into the countries, and overflow, and pass over, give us an exact idea of their overflowing the western parts of Asia, and then passing over into Europe, and fixing the seat of their empire at Constantinople, as they did under their seventh emperor Mohammed the second.

Among his other conquests this king of the north was to take possession of the holy land, and to subdue the neighbouring countries; but the mixed people of Arabia were to escape out of his hands. He shall enter also into the glorious land, and many countries shall be overthrown; but these shall escape out of his hand even Edom, and Moab, and the chief of the children of Ammon. (ver. 41.) Porphyry and those of his opinion (7) affirm, that Antiochus marching hastily against Ptolemy the king of the south, did not meddle with the Idumeans, and Moabites, and Ammonites, who were situated on the side of Judea; lest his being engaged in another war should render Ptolemy the stronger. Grotius saith, (8) that Antiochus spared these nations because they obeyed all his commands; and therefore the Maccabees made war upon them, as the friends of Antiochus. An ancient commentator, and venerable father, Theodoret, on the contrary, (9) asserts, that neither do these things any more than the rest fit Antiochus; for having overthrown those nations, he con-

(7) Antiochus, situm, festinans contra Ptolemaeum regem austri, Idumaeos, et Moabites et Ammonites qui ex latere Judææ erant, non tetigit; ne occuparet allo praefilio, Ptolemaeum redderet fortisorn. [Translated in the text.] Hieron. lint.

(8) Hic pepercit Antiochus, quod, omnia imperata facerent. Vide 1 Mac. v. Ideo Maccabali his pepulis, ut Antiochi amicius, bellum intulere. [Translated in the text.] Grot. in locum.

(9) Οὐδὲ ταῦτα δὲ αἴρεται τῷ Αντώνῳ καὶ γὰς τῶν κατατρικαῖος, ἐγκαθίσταται κατά τινα διὰ τοῦ Ἀρμανοὺς πλῆθος. Necque haec Antiocho conuenit; atque cum hus subsiduerit, dux quoque princeps, ex quibus unus erat Timotheus dux Ammianorum. [Translated in the text.] Theodoret in locum, p. 630. Edit. Sirmondii.
was the conqueror of the neighbouring countries, and annexed them to the Othman empire; but he could not make a complete conquest of the Arabians. By large gifts (3) he brought over some of their chieftains, and so bribed them to a submission: and ever since his time, (4) the Othman emperors have paid them an annual pension of forty thousand crowns of gold for the safe passage of the caravans and pilgrims going to Mecca: and for their farther security the Sultan commonly orders the Bashas of Damascus to attend them with soldiers and water-bearers, and to take care that their number never fall short of fourteen thousand. This pension was not paid for so many years on account of the war in Hungary: and what was the consequence? One of the Arabian princes in the year 1694, with several thousand of his country-men, attacked and plundered the caravan going in pilgrimage to Mecca, and made them all prisoners. The neighbouring Bashas were sent against him; but the prince defeated them all by a stratagem, and put them to flight. Among the prisoners who had been taken was the most illustrious Chan of Tartary, whom the Arabians dismissed upon his parole, that he would carry their complaints upon the Sultan, and procure the continuance of the pension. He stood to his engagement, and never ceased importuning the Othman court, till the arrears of the pension were duly paid. But notwithstanding this pension, the Arabians, as often as they find a lucky opportunity, rob and plunder the Turks as well as other travelers. An instance of the same kind happened lately, and is related in the London Gazette of Feb. 11, 1758. "Constantinople, Decem-
her 23. The Mecca caravan, which has been lately

(3) Savage ibid., p. 241. Hosque Selimus per abditos homines plurium
comum dicas data ad se Memphi n ex vacavit, et summa liberalitate praecipue
noster contumelius in verbis e quo continuaneimur. [Therefore Selim by his
persons, invited many of their commanders to Memphis, granting them a
safe conduct, and treated them with the greatest munificence. Others e-
couraged by their example, came daily thither, and receiving large prents
they swore fidelity to him.] Pauli Jovi Hist. Lib. 18, p. 1064. Edit.

(4) Prince Cantemir's Hist. in Ahmed II, Sect. 49, p. 393, with the note,
and also in Bajazet II, Sect. 1, p. 116, with note 2.
Dissertations on

"plundered by the Arabs, was attacked by a numerous body of that people, some say, from 30 to 40,000.
The action lasted 16 hours. They first cut off the Bash of Sidon, who marched out as usual to supply the pilgrims with provisions; he was killed in the engagement; then they turned and attacked the caravan. The Emir Hadège, or commanding Bash, offered them 1000 purses of money to desist; but they refused any terms, being determined by a mere principle of revenge, for their tribes having been laid aside as conductors or guards to the caravan, and others substituted in their place; and it is thought the removal of their favourite, Ezade-Bash, from that post to Aleppo, had also some share in it. At the return to Damascus of the fugitive soldiery, who convoyed the caravan, those in the town rose up in arms against them, as traitors to their faith; a great slaughter ensued, and continued some time; but there are advices since, that all is quieted there. The Bash of the caravan fled to Gaza, with about 15 or 16 of his people, and it is thought he will lose his head. The riches lost to many cities of this empire, which are either taken by the Arabs, or dispersed in the deserts, are computed to amount to an immense sum, as they are supplied from India with all sorts of valuable mer- chandise, spices, &c. by that canal. A like accident happened in the year 1694, under Ahmed the 2d. Other instances of the same kind have happened since; and are also recorded in the London Gazette; but I cannot recollect the dates, and at present have not the collection of Gazettes to apply to upon this occasion. So constantly have the Arabs maintained the same spirit in all ages; and there is no power that can effectually controil them. Armies have been sent against parties of the Arabians, but without success. These free-booters have commonly been too cunning for their enemies; and when it was thought that they were well surrounded and taken, they have still escaped out of their hands. So well doth this particular prediction relating to some of the tribes of the Arabians agree with that general one concerning the main body of the nation, (Gen. xvi, 12.) He will be a wild man; his hand will be against every man, and every man's hand against him: and he shall dwell in the presence of all his brethren.

But though the Arabians should escape out of his hands, yet Egypt should not escape, but fall under his dominion together with the adjoining countries. He shall stretch forth his hand also upon the countries, and the land of Egypt shall not escape. But he shall have power over the treasures of gold and of silver, and over all the precious things of Egypt: and the Libyans and the Ethiopians shall be at his steps. (ver, 42, 43.) We read, saith (5) Jerome, that Antiochus did these things in part: but what follows relating to the Libyans and Ethiopians, our doctors assert, agrees better with Antichrist; for Antiochus did not possess Libya and Ethiopia. Theodoret too (6) affirms, that these things also by no means fit Antiochus, for he neither possessed Libya, nor Ethiopia, nor even Egypt itself. This prophecy then cannot belong to Antiochus; and indeed the proper application is to the Ottoman emperor. He shall stretch forth his hand also upon the countries: This implies that his dominions should be of large extent; and he hath stretched forth his hand upon many, not only Asian and European, but likewise African countries. Egypt in particular was destined to submit to his yoke: And the land of Egypt shall not escape; but he shall have power over the treasures of gold and of silver, and over all the precious things of Egypt: and the conquest of Egypt with the neighbouring countries follows next in order after the conquest of Judaea with the

(5) Hieros. ibid.
(6) Hieros. ibid.
neighbouring countries, as in the prophecy, so likewise in history. The Othman emperor Selim (7) having routed and slain Gauri sultan of Egypt in a battle near Aleppo, became master of all Syria and Judea. He then marched into Egypt against Tumanbâbi the new sultan, whom also having vanquished and taken prisoner, he barbarously ordered him to be hanged before one of the gates of Cairo: and so put an end to the government of the Mamalusks, and established that of the Turks in Egypt. The prophecy says particularly, that he should have power over the treasures of gold and of silver, and over all the precious things of Egypt: And history informs us, that (8) when Cairo was taken, "the Turks rifled the houses of the Egyptians, as well friends as foes, and suffered nothing to be locked up or kept private from them; and Selim caused 500 of the chiefest families of the Egyptians to be transported to Constantinople, as likewise a great number of the Mamalusks wives and children, besides the sultan's treasure and other vast riches." And since that time, it is impossible to say what immense treasures have been drained out of this rich and fertile, but oppressed and wretched country. The prophecy says farther, that some others also of the African nations should submit to the conqueror, the Libyans and the Ethiopians should be at his steps: And we read in history, that (9) after the conquest of Egypt, "the terror of Selim's many victories now spreading wide, the kings of Afric bordering upon Cyreniacca, sent their ambassadors with proffers to become his tributaries. Other


"more remote nations also towards Ethiopia were easily induced to join in amity with the Turks." At this present time also many places in Africa besides Egypt, as Algiers, Tunis, &c. are under the dominion of the Turks. One thing more is observable with regard to the fate of Egypt, that the particular prophecy coincides exactly with the general one, as it did before in the instance of Arabia. It was foretold by Ezekiel, (xxix. 14. xxx. 12.) that Egypt should always be a base kingdom, and subject to strangers; and here it is foretold, that in the latter times it should be made a province to the Turks, as we see at this day.

The two next, which are the two last verses of this chapter, I conceive, remain yet to be fulfilled. But tides out of the east, and out of the north, shall trouble him; therefore he shall go forth with great fury to destroy, and utterly to make away many. And he shall plant the tabernacles of his palace, or rather of his camp, between the seas in the glorious holy mountain, or as it is in the margin the mountain of delight and holiness; yet he shall come to his end, and none shall help him. (ver. 44, 45.) Prideaux (1) and other learned men as well as Porphyry and Grotius, refer this passage to Antiochus; and to his hearing of the revolt of the provinces in the east, and of Artaxias in the north; and to his going forth therefore in great anger and with a great army to reduce them to obedience. But if this part might be fitly applied to Antiochus, yet how could he be said afterwards to plant the tabernacles of his camp between the seas in the glorious holy mountain;

(1) Prideaux Connect. Part 2. B. 3. Anno 161. Hominig in locum, &c. &c. Porphyry, spol Hicron, Col. 1133. Grotius in locum. Nuntius belii a Partio et Armenio. Partiha ad orientem Antiochus Armentum ad septentrionem. De Parto testimonium Labenuz Taciti, ubi de Judaeis agit, "Rex Antiochus demere superstitionem et mores Graecorum dare aminus, quo minus sementum grannum in melius nutaret, Parthico helle prohibuit est." ['Tidings came of a war from the Parthians and Armenians. Parthia lay on the east of Antiochus, Armenia on the north. Concerning the Parthian war, we have the testimony of Tacitus, where he is treating of the Jews, "king Antiochus attempting to destroy their superstition, and to introduce the Greek manners among them, was hindered by a Parthian war, from improving that most depraved nation."']
for he returned no more into Judea, but died in that eastern expedition? Porphyry therefore (2) considers the word Aphedno, which we translate his palace or his camp, as the proper name of a place situated between the two great rivers, Tigris and Euphrates: But as Jerome replies, he cannot produce any history, wherein mention is made of any such place; neither can he say which is the glorious and holy mountain; beside the folly of interpreting two seas, by two rivers. Father Houbigant (3) understands it as the name of a place situated in the mountains, in which mountains the book of Maccabees relates Antiochus to have died. This place, says he, was between two seas, namely the Caspian and Euxine, in Armenia itself, where Artaxias prepared rebellion. But neither doth he produce any authority for his assertions. Where doth he read of any such place as Aphedno between the Caspian and Euxine seas? Where doth he read that Antiochus died in the mountains of Armenia? The book of Maccabees, which he allegeth, testifieth no such thing. Both the (4) books of Maccabees agree, that Antiochus died returning out of Persia, through Babylon according to the first book, through Ecbatana according to the second, in the mountains indeed, but it is not said in what mountains. Antiochus was victorious in Armenia, and did not die there. Besides, with what propriety could any mountain in Armenia be called the

(2) Aphedno, qui inter duo latissima situs est flumina. Tigrinum et Euphratem. Quomque hincusque processerit, in quo monte incipit sedere. et sancto, dicere non potest: quocumque in dextra maris cum sedisse probare non potest; et utrum sit duo Mesopotamiae flumina, duo maria interpreteri. Aphedno, which is situated between two very large rivers, the Tigris and Euphrates. Where he has proceeded thus far, he cannot tell us, in what illustrious or holy mountain he encamped; although he cannot prove that he encamped between two seas; it is besides foolish to interpret the two rivers of Mesopotamia by two seas." Hieron. Col. 1133.

(3) Assumption Aphedno, ut nomen loci, in monibus narrat liber Machabaeorum Antiochum occidisse; hic locus erat inter duo maris, minimum mare Caspium et Pontum Euximum, in Armenia propria, ubi rebellionem parabat Artaxias. " We understand Aphedno to be the name of a place. The book of Maccabees relates that Antiochus died in a mountainous country. This place lay between two seas, namely the Caspian and the Euxine, in Armenia itself, where Artaxias was organising a rebellion." Houbigant in locum.

(4) 1 Macc. iv. 4. 2 Macc. ix. 2. 28.

glorious holy mountain? Theodotion and Aquila took (5) render it Aphednos the proper name of a place, as doth Jerome also, who taketh it for a place near Nicopolis, which formerly was called Emmaus. Indeed if it be the name of any place, it must be some place in the holy land; because in the Psalms (cvi. 24.) the pleasant land, in Jeremiah (iii. 19.) the pleasant land, the goodly heritage, and in Ezekiel (xx. 6.) the glory of all lands, and constantly throughout the book of Daniel, the pleasant land, (viii. 9.) the glorious land (xi. 16.) and again the glorious land (ver. 41.) are appellatives of the holy land; and so consequently the glorious holy mountain must be Sion, or Olivet, or some mountain in the holy land, which lieth between the seas, (6) the Dead Sea on the east, and the Mediterranean on the west. But after all Aphedno doth not seem to be the name of any place. They who render it the proper name of a place, most probably did not know what else to make of it: but the word (7) occurs in Jonathan's Targum of Jeremiah, (xiii. 10.) and there it signifies, a pavilion, and he shall spread his royal pavement over them; and to the same purpose it should be translated here, he shall plant the tabernacles of his camp between the seas in the glorious holy mountain. This prophecy then cannot by the help of any explanation be made to fit and agree with Antiochus: and in our application of it to the Ottoman empire, as these events are yet future, we cannot pretend to point them out with any certainty and exactness. Mr. Mede (8) supposeth that "the tidings

(5) Theodotion: Et fiet terrae munda in Aphedno inter maria. [And he shall fix his tent in Aphednos between the seas.] Aquila: Et plantabit terrae munda in Aphedno inter maria. [And he shall plant the tabernacle of his tent in Aphednos between the seas.] Aphednos junta Nicopolim, quae primum Emmaum vocatur. [Aphedno near Nicopolis, which was formerly called Emmaus.] Hieron. Col. 1134.

(6) Inter duo maria, mare videlicet montis ab oriente et mare occidentale. [Between two seas, namely the sea on the east which is now called the Dead Sea, and the Mediterranean.] Hieron. Col. 1135.

(7) Ἑλληνικά: Ἐτέρ στεφανήματος παραστατήματος τοῦ θεοῦ. [And he shall spread his pavement over them.]

"from the east and north may be that of the return of Judah and Israel from those quarters. For Judah was carried captive at the first into the east, and Israel by the Assyrian into the north, (namely in respect of the holy land) and in those parts the greatest number of each are dispersed at this day. Of the reduction of Israel from the north, see the prophecies Jer. xvi. 14, 15. and chap. xxiii. 3. also chap. xxxiii. 8. Or if this tidings from the north may be some other thing, yet that from the east I may have some warrant to apply to the Jews return, from that of the sixth vial in the Apocalypse xvi. 12. where the waters of the great river Euphrates are dried up, to prepare the way of the kings of the cast. If this application be not admitted, yet it is universally known, that the Persians are set to the cast of the Othman dominions, and the Russians to the north. Persia hath indeed of late years been miserably torn and distracted by intestin divisions; but when it shall unite again in a settled government under one soverain, it may become again, as it hath frequently been, a dangerous rival and enemy to the Othman emperor. The power of Russia is growing daily; and it is a current tradition among the common people in Turkay, that their empire shall one time or other be destroyed by the Russians. Sir Paul Rycaut in his account of the Present state of the Greek Church, speaking of the respect and reverence which the Muscovites have for the see of Constantinople, says also that "the Greeks on the other side have an esteem and affection for the Muscovites, as for those whom ancient prophecies mentioned to be designed by God, for their avengers and deliverers in after-ages." Which, if it prove nothing more, yet proveth that the Greek church interpreteth this prophecy much in the same sense as we explain it. However this may be, the Port is at all times jealous of the junction of the two powers of Persia and Russia, and exerts all its policy to prevent it. They are certainly two very formidable neighbours to the Turks; and who can say what tidings may or may not come from thence to trouble the Port? who can say, how unlikely soever it be at present, that they may not hereafter be made instruments of providence in the restoration of the Jews? Whatever be the motive and occasion, the Turk shall go forth with great fury to destroy, and utterly to make away many. The original word, which we translate utterly to make away, (1) signifieth to anathematize, to consecrate, to devote to utter perdition, so that it strongly implies, that this war should be made upon a religious account. And he shall plant the tabernacles of his camp between the seas in the glorious holy mountain. It is a notion advanced by (2) some commentators, that here both the Turk and the Pope are signified, the former of whom hath fixed his seat between the Mediterranean and Euxine seas at Constantinople, and the latter between the Mediterranean and Adriatic at Rome; both Antichrists, the one without, the other within the temple of God. But such notions are more ingenious than solid, and have rather the resemblance of worth than the substance. Between the seas in the glorious holy mountain must denote, as we have shown, some part of the holy land. There the Turk shall incamp with all his power, yet he shall come to his end, and none shall help him, shall help him effectually, or deliver him. The same times and the same events seem to be presignified in this prophecy, as in that of Ezekiel concerning Cog of the land of Magog. He likewise is a northern power. He is represented as of (3) Scythian extraction. (xxviii. 2.) He cometh from his place out of the north parts. (ver. 15.) His army too is described as consisting chiefly of horses and horsemen, (ver. 4.)

(9) Cap. 3. p. 83.

(1) ἀναθηματίζεσθαι. Anathematizevel anathematiz, intersecioni, perditione devotari: Consecratum, Devotum efficit. (He anathematized, or devoted to a curse, to utter destruction, to perdition, he consecrated, or rendered devoted.) Buxtorf.

(2) See Poole, and his additional commentators.

He likewise hath Ethiopia and Lybia with him. (ver. 5.) He shall come up against the people of Israel in the latter days (ver. 16.) after their return from captivity. (ver. 8.) He too shall incamp upon the mountains of Israel. (xxxix 2.) He shall also fall upon the mountains of Israel, and all the people that is with him. (ver. 4.) There the divine judgments shall overtake him, (xxxviii 29, 23.) and God shall be magnified and sanctified in the eyes of many nations.

At that time there shall be great tribulation, (xii 1.) such as never was since there was a nation, even to that same time: And after that shall be the general resurrection, (ver. 2.) and many of them that sleep in the dust of the earth shall awake, some to everlasting life, and some to shame and everlasting contempt. They certainly are guilty of manifest violence and injury to the sacred text, and rack and torture the words to confess a meaning which they never meant, who contend that nothing more was meant in this passage, than the persecution of the Jews by Antiochus, and the Maccabees after some time coming out of the holes and caves of the earth, wherein they had concealed themselves from the fury and cruelty of their enemies. These critics usually pretend to be strong advocates for the literal and obvious meaning of the prophecies: but here they pervert the plainest expressions into figures, and prefer the most forced to the most natural interpretation. The troubles under Antiochus were neither in degree nor in duration to be compared to what the nation had suffered under Nebuchadnezzar; so that the time of Antiochus could not be reckoned a time of trouble, such as never was since there was a nation. The Maccabees too came out of their lurking holes and caves, and recovered their city, and cleansed the sanctuary, even before the death of Antiochus himself: but the resurrection in this place is described as something subsequent to the destruction of the king of the north. Besides how could the Maccabees, who were a set of brave virtuous men, zealously devoted to their religion, liberty and country, by coming forth from the rocks and caves to oppose the enemy in the open field, be said to awake, some to everlasting life, and some to shame and everlasting contempt? Such expressions can with truth and propriety be applied only to the general resurrection of the just and unjust: and though it be said many of them that sleep in the dust of the earth shall awake, yet that is no objection to the truth here delivered; for as (4) Theodoret observed long ago, the prophet had said many for all, in the same manner as St. Paul hath put many for all, when he said, If through the offence of one many be dead, much more the grace of God, and the gift by grace which is by one man, Jesus Christ, hath abounded unto many: (Rom. v. 15.) and again (ver. 19.) As by one man's disobedience many were made sinners, so by the obedience of one shall many be made righteous. The proper conclusion of all is the general resurrection, and the consequent happiness of the wise and good; (ver. 3.) And they that be wise, shall shine as the brightness of the firmament, and they that turn many to righteousness as the stars for ever and ever.

The angel having thus finished his prophecy of the things noted in the scripture of truth, an inquiry is made relating to the time of these events. It was said before (xi. 40.) At the time of the end shall the king of the south push at him: and here the question is asked (ver. 6.) (5) How long shall be the end of these wonders? The answer is returned in the most solemn manner; (ver. 7.) that it shall be for a time, times, and a half. A time, times, and a half, as there hath been occasion to show in a former dissertation are three prophetic years and a half; and three prophetic years and a half

---

(4) συνελήφθη δὲ, αὐτὶ τῷ οὐνίτις ἔρχοντα καὶ πέρα ὁ μεσίρας Παλαιάς · αὐτὶ τῷ οὐνίτις, οὕτω τινὶ πάλιν ἔρχοντα. καὶ τῷ οὐνίτις. ἡ λαθοῦς ἡ αἰώνιος πάντων, καὶ τῷ οὐνίτις. ἡ λαθοῦς ἡ αἰώνιος πάντων. 
(5) τάς ἡμέρας τοῖς παρθένοις ὄψιν εἰσελθοῦταν. τῆς ἀνθρώπου ἡ αἰώνιος πάντων.
are 1260 prophetic days; and 1260 prophetic days are 1260 years. The same time therefore is prefixed for the desolation and oppression of the eastern church, as for the tyranny of the little horn (vii. 25.) in the western church: And it is wonderfully remarkable, that the doctrine of Mohammed was first forged at Mecca, and the supremacy of the Pope was established by virtue of a grant from the wicked tyrant Phocas, in the very same year of Christ 606. "It is to be observed, says (6) Dean Prideaux, that Mahomet began this imposture about the same time that the Bishop of Rome, by virtue of a grant from the wicked tyrant Phocas, first assumed the title of Universal Pastor, and thereon claimed to himself that supremacy which he hath been ever since endeavoring to usurp over the christian church. [Phocas made this grant A.D. 606, which was the very year that Mahomet retired to his cave to forge that imposture there, which two years after A.D. 608, he began to propagate at Mecca.] And from this time both having conspired to found themselves an empire in imposture, their followers have been ever since endeavoring by the same methods, that is, those of fire and sword, to propagate it among mankind; so that Antichrist seems at this time to have set both his feet upon Christendom together, the one in the east, and the other in the west; and how much each hath trampled upon the church of Christ, the ages ever since succeeding have abundantly experienced."

There is a farther notation of the time in the following words, And when he shall have accomplished to scatter the power of the holy people, all these things shall be finished: when the Jews shall be recalled from their dispersion, then all these things shall receive their full and final completion. The prophet, not sufficiently understanding this answer (7) inquired, (v. 8.) What or how long shall these latter times or latter wonders? And it is answered again, (ver. 11.) that from the time of taking away the daily sacrifice, and setting up the abomination that maketh desolate, there shall be a thousand two hundred and ninety days. The days still are prophetic days or years: but even if they were natural days, they could by no manner of computation be accommodated to the times of Antiochus Epiphanes. The setting up of the abomination of desolation appears to be a general phrase, and comprehensive of various events. It is applied by the writer of the first book of Maccabees (i. 54.) to the profanation of the temple by Antiochus, and his setting up the image of Jupiter Olympus upon the altar of God. It is applied by our Saviour (Matt. xxiv. 15.) to the destruction of the city and temple by the Romans, under the conduct of Titus, in the reign of Vespasian. It may for the same reason be applied to the Roman emperor Adrian's building a temple to Jupiter Capitolinus, in the same place where the temple of God had stood; and to the misery of the Jews, and the desolation of Judea that followed. It may with equal justice be applied to the Mohammedans invading and desolating Christendom, and converting the churches into mosques: and this latter event seems to have been particularly intended in this passage. If this interpretation be true, the religion of Mohammed will prevail in the east the space of 1260 years; and then a great and glorious revolution will follow; perhaps the restoration of the Jews, perhaps the destruction of Antichrist; but another still greater and more glorious will succeed: and what can this be so probably as the full conversion of the Gentiles to the church of Christ and the beginning of the millennium or reign of the saints upon earth? For (ver. 12.) blessed is he that waiteth, and cometh to the thousand three hundred and five and thirty days. Here are then three different periods assigned, 1260 years, 1290 years, and 1335 years: and what is the precise time of their beginning and consequently of their ending, as well as what are the great and signal events, which will take place at the end of each period.
we can only conjecture, time alone can with certainty discover. If we are mistaken in our conjectures, it is no more than (8) Mr. Mede and other much more learned men have been, who have gone before us in this argument. It is indeed no wonder that we cannot fully understand and explain these things; for as the angel said to Daniel himself, (ver. 4. and 9.) though many should run to and fro, should inquire and examine into these things, and whereby knowledge should be increased; yet the full understanding of them is reserved for the time of the end. the words are closed up, and sealed till the time of the end. But however the great uncertainty of these events, which remain yet to be fulfilled, cannot shake the credit and certainty of those particulars, which have already been accomplished. As (9) Prideaux judiciously observes, it is the nature of such prophecies not to be thoroughly understood, till they are thoroughly fulfilled. Not that such prophecies are therefore like the pagan oracles, of an ambiguous, equivocal, and delusive nature. Obscure they may be, but there is a wide difference between obscurity and equivocation. The pagan oracles were purposely worded in such a manner, that if they failed in one sense, they might hold good in another, though (1) directly the contrary: the scripture prophecies have a determined meaning, and though sometimes they may comprehend more events than one, yet are they never applicable to contrary events. The pagan oracles were delivered for the immediate direction of those who consulted them; and therefore a mistake at first was of more fatal consequence; the scripture-prophecies were intended more for the instruction and illumination of future ages, and therefore it is sufficient if time shall illustrate the particulars. The pagan oracles are no sooner understood than they are despised, whereas

the reverse is true of the scripture prophecies, and the better you understand, the more you will admire them. The completion of the former demonstrates their fraud and futility, the completion of the latter their truth and dignity.

Upon the whole, what an amazing prophecy is this, comprehending so many various events, and extending through so many successive ages, from the first establishment of the Persian empire, above 350 years before Christ, to the general resurrection! And the farther it extends, and the more it comprehends, the more amazing surely, and the more divine it must appear, if not to an infidel like Porphyry, yet to all who like Grotius have any belief of revelation. How much nobler and more exalted the sense, more important and more worthy to be known by men and to be revealed by God, when taken in this extended view, and applied to this long and yet regular series of affairs, by the most easy and natural construction; than when confined and limited to the times and actions of Antiochus, to which yet it cannot be reconciled by the most strained and unnatural interpretation! What stronger and more convincing proofs can be given or required of a divine providence, and a divine revelation, that there is a God who directs and orders the transactions of the world, and that Daniel was a prophet inspired by him, a man greatly beloved, as he is often addressed by the angel! Our blessed Saviour (Matt. xxiv. 15.) hath bestowed upon him the appellation of Daniel the prophet; and that is authority sufficient for any Christian: but in this work have been produced such instances and attestations of his being a prophet, as an infidel cannot deny, or if he denies, cannot disprove. The character that is given of him by Josephus is nothing more than strictly his due. It expresseth the sense of the Jewish church; and the same must be the sentiments of every man, who will consider and compare the prophecies and events together. This historian is commending the superior excellence of Daniel’s predictions; ‘for he was

(1) As in those instances,
Cretans Halyan penetrans magnum pervvertat opum vim, [‘Cretus in passing the river Halys will destroy a great empire.’
Aio te, Aecida, Romanos vincerem posse. ‘I declare, you, son of Aecus, Romans can subdue.’]
THE PROPHECIES.

pulse, and have perished and come to nought, as we see ships, which are destitute of pilots, overwhelmed by the storms, and chariots overturned and broken to pieces, which have no drivers. For by these things predicted by Daniel, they appear to me widely to err from the truth, who declare, that God hath no care of human affairs; for we should not see all things succeed according to his prophecies, if it happened that the world was governed by chance.

In short we see how well Daniel deserves the character which his contemporary Ezekiel hath given him (xiv. and xxviii.) for his piety and wisdom; and these usually go together, for as the angel saith, (ver. 10.) none of the wicked shall understand, but the wise shall understand. Happy are they, who both know the will of God, and do it!